

12-7-2006

Observation of Fano Asymmetry in Raman Spectra of SrTiO₃ and Cax Sr_{1-x}TiO₃ Perovskite Nanocubes

Sarbajit Banerjee

Dae In Kim

Richard D. Robinson

Irving P. Herman

Yuanbing Mao

The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, yuanbing.mao@utrgv.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/chem_fac

 Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Banerjee, Sarbajit; In Kim, Dae; Robinson, Richard D.; Herman, Irving P.; Mao, Yuanbing; and Wong, Stanislaus S., "Observation of Fano Asymmetry in Raman Spectra of SrTiO₃ and Cax Sr_{1-x}TiO₃ Perovskite Nanocubes" (2006). *Chemistry Faculty Publications and Presentations*. 9.

https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/chem_fac/9

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact justin.white@utrgv.edu, william.flores01@utrgv.edu.

Authors

Sarbajit Banerjee, Dae In Kim, Richard D. Robinson, Irving P. Herman, Yuanbing Mao, and Stanislaus S. Wong

Observation of Fano asymmetry in Raman spectra of SrTiO₃ and Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ perovskite nanocubes

Sarbajit Banerjee, Dae-In Kim, Richard D. Robinson, and Irving P. Herman^{a)}

Materials Research Science and Engineering Center, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027 and Department of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

Yuanbing Mao

Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794

Stanislaus S. Wong

Department of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794 and Condensed Matter Physics and Materials Science Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

(Received 7 August 2006; accepted 22 October 2006; published online 1 December 2006)

Bulk SrTiO₃ is cubic and not expected to exhibit any first-order Raman scattering. However, nanocubes of SrTiO₃ with an edge length of 80±10 nm show strong first-order Raman scattering originating from the breaking of symmetry caused by frozen surface dipoles (local tetragonality) and the presence of nanoscopic polar domains (arising from incorporated impurities). Rapid polarization fluctuations within these nanoscopic ferroelectric regions interfere with a polar phonon, resulting in a Fano-like asymmetric line shape in these SrTiO₃ nanocubes, as well as in Ca_{0.3}Sr_{0.7}TiO₃ nanocubes. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2400095]

Ferroelectric perovskites, such as SrTiO₃ and Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃, have attracted much attention due to their nonlinear optical properties and electric-field dependent dielectric constants that make them potentially useful in phase and frequency agile electronics, monolithic microwave integrated circuits, and tunable high-*Q* resonators.¹ There has been interest in using thin films of ferroelectrics and incipient ferroelectrics (materials with unusual dielectric properties, such as suppressed paraelectric to ferroelectric transitions) to enable integration with microelectronics.² However, the dielectric properties of incipient ferroelectrics can be quite different in thin film or nanostructured form as compared to bulk single crystals.³ While there have been advances in the controlled synthesis of nanocrystalline SrTiO₃ and related perovskites,⁴⁻⁶ there has been relatively little progress in understanding how these systems differ from bulk SrTiO₃. Such differences are observed here using Raman scattering. Raman spectroscopy can provide useful insight into the long- and short-range structures of these nanocrystalline materials and also into phonon absorption, which affects dielectric loss.

SrTiO₃ nanocubes with an average edge length of 80±10 nm, as determined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], are grown using a molten-salt solid-state reaction in the presence of NaCl.⁴ The scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image in Fig. 1(a) shows clusters of these nanocubes. From x-ray diffraction (XRD) and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns, these nanocrystals are cubic in structure (*Pm3m*) with a lattice constant $a=3.894$ Å [JCPDS value for cubic SrTiO₃ of $a=3.905$ Å (Ref. 7)].⁵ CaTiO₃ nanostructures [Fig. 1(b)] and intermediate mixed perovskites with a composition of Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ are also synthesized by a modification of this molten salt technique.⁵ They are approximately nanocubes,

with lengths ranging from 70 to 110 nm. XRD and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy measurements indicate the formation of pure phases without contamination from anatase or rutile titania.⁴⁻⁶ Moreover, TEM energy dispersive x-ray and SAED observations do not show the presence of any TiO₂ structures. We use Raman spectroscopy to study SrTiO₃ and mixed perovskite Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ nanocubes using the 514.5 nm line of an Ar-ion laser, which are compared to bulk micrometer-sized SrTiO₃ and CaTiO₃ powder obtained from Alfa Aesar and Aldrich, respectively.

Bulk SrTiO₃ has a centrosymmetric cubic structure at room temperature. Since all the zone-center optical phonons are of odd parity, no first-order Raman scattering is expected to occur. Instead broad second-order peaks are seen between 200 and 500 cm⁻¹ and between 550 and 750 cm⁻¹, as shown in Fig. 1(e).⁸ For SrTiO₃ nanocubes, we observe clear first-order peaks near ~145, 175, and 545 cm⁻¹ [Fig. 1(e)]. The first peak can be ascribed to the E_g mode associated with noncentrosymmetric SrTiO₃,⁹ whereas the latter two modes originate from polar TO₂ and TO₄ phonons, which are associated with O–Ti–O bonding.¹⁰

First-order Raman scattering has been seen in SrTiO₃ due to the breaking of symmetry by the application of an electric field, strain from lattice mismatch and grain boundaries, and doping by impurity atoms in the crystal lattice.^{10,11} For our freestanding SrTiO₃ nanostructures, the lowering of symmetry likely arises from the presence of nanoscopic impurities incorporated in the lattice. The lowering of symmetry may also be associated with the frozen dipole moments at the nanocrystal surfaces. The polarization induced by these dipoles likely penetrates into the crystal, imparting a local tetragonal structure and destroying the inversion symmetry.¹²

A pronounced Fano asymmetric line shape is seen at the TO₂ peak, which suggests coherent interference between the discrete phonon and a broad peak or continuum.¹³ Such asymmetric line shapes have been observed for polar TO₂

^{a)}FAX: 1-212-854-1909; electronic mail: iph1@columbia.edu




FIG. 1. (a) Scanning electron microscopy image of SrTiO₃ nanocubes. SEM images were obtained on a Hitachi S4700 instrument with an accelerating voltage of 10 kV. (b) SEM image of CaTiO₃ nanocubes. (c) TEM image of SrTiO₃ nanocubes. (d) TEM image of a single nanocube. TEM images were obtained on a Philips CM12 instrument at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. (e) Raman spectra of bulk SrTiO₃ and SrTiO₃ nanocubes at room temperature. The spectra for the nanocubes are represented by dashed lines. The two spectra are offset from each other for clarity. Fits to the E_g , TO₂, and TO₄ peaks for the SrTiO₃ nanocubes are shown. E_g and TO₄ were fitted using Lorentzian line shapes, whereas the TO₂ peak was fitted with a Fano profile (fits are superimposed on the spectra as solid lines). The Fano line shape is depicted more clearly in the inset to (e). The Fano fitting parameters are $A=0.38$, $q=5.07$, $\omega_0=168.4$ cm⁻¹, and $\Gamma=17.41$ cm⁻¹. (f) Raman spectra of bulk CaTiO₃ and CaTiO₃ nanocubes (dashed lines) at room temperature. All the peaks can be fitted with Lorentzian line shapes (shown for the nanocubes as solid lines). Peak *a* is attributed to a CaTiO₃ lattice mode, *b*–*f* to the O–Ti–O bending modes, and *g* to a torsional mode.

modes in SrTiO₃ thin films and have been ascribed to the interaction of the phonon with a continuum arising from rapid polarization fluctuations in nanoscopic polar regions induced by impurities.^{10,14} Apparently, Fano line shapes have not been seen for nanocrystals previously.

The E_g mode is not seen for epitaxially grown films and is likely observed in the nanocubes due to the frozen dipole moments at the surfaces, which break the inversion symmetry of the crystal; this is analogous to the effect of grain boundaries in SrTiO₃ ceramics.^{12,15} Such a frozen dipole moment does not, however, explain the Fano line shape seen for the polar TO₂ phonon. Since the phonons will not interact with static polarizations, there must be additional rapid polarization fluctuations, leading to the continuum response, which gives rise to the Fano lineshape.¹⁰ These rapid polarization fluctuations likely arise from the presence of defect-induced ferroelectric nanopolar regions in the nanoparticles.¹⁰ Defects could be present due to the incorporation of impurities or oxygen vacancies produced by insufficient oxygenation.

The E_g and TO₄ phonons in SrTiO₃ nanocubes are fitted with Lorentzian line shapes, as shown in Figure 1(e). The Fano TO₂ peak is fit by

$$I(\omega) = A \frac{[q + E(\omega)]^2}{1 + E(\omega)^2}, \quad (1)$$

after subtracting a constant base line, where

$$E(\omega) = 2(\omega - \omega_0)/\Gamma \quad (2)$$

and ω_0 is the phonon frequency, A is the amplitude, Γ is the full width at half maximum, and q is the asymmetry parameter. This peak cannot be fitted with a Lorentzian plus a linear base line. The asymmetry parameter q is always positive for these SrTiO₃ nanocubes, which means that the scattering intensity is greater on the high-energy side of the peak. Interference with electronic continua is usually characterized by a negative value of q . Since the continuum interacts with a polar phonon, it is expected to be polar in nature, and thus may reasonably be ascribed to polarization fluctuations in defect-induced nanopolar regions.¹⁰ This would explain the absence of Fano broadening for the nonpolar E_g mode which is not able to couple to the polar continuum. Since the TO₄ peak is much higher in energy, it is thought that the density of states for the “continuum” vanishes at this frequency, and thus no Fano interference is seen for this mode, even though it has the same symmetry.

Phonon confinement is not significant for these nanocubes and does not explain any asymmetry in the Raman spectra, as is shown by simulations¹⁶ using the phonon dispersion curves for the TO₂ phonon in SrTiO₃.¹⁷ Using a “worst-case” scenario (maximum phonon dispersion in the model), the modeled spectra for 80 ± 10 nm particles are the same as for bulk SrTiO₃; finite size effects become significant only for particles <5 nm in size.

Any clustering of the nanocubes, as seen in Fig. 1(a), will not affect the defects that lead to the Fano resonance. Such clustering would also not affect phonon confinement, as is clear from studies of nanocrystalline films.

Figure 2 shows the temperature dependence of the Fano peak. The asymmetry parameter q increases and then decreases with temperature, as seen in Fig. 2(b), and this differs from the monotonic decrease for thin films in this temperature range. Not much is known about the precise behavior of the continuum of states arising from the dynamics of the local dipole moments in the local nanopolar regions. The asymmetry factor q depends on the interaction strength between the phonon and the continuum states and on the density of the continuum states.¹⁸ A more systematic study as a function of size and impurity concentration is required to derive more definitive conclusions about the variation of the asymmetry with temperature.

Figure 1(f) compares the Raman spectra of bulk and nanoparticulate CaTiO₃. The nanocubes are orthorhombic, just like the bulk, as confirmed by XRD and SAED observations.⁵ The first-order peaks for the CaTiO₃ nanoparticles are superimposed on several broad second-order peaks and are fitted with Lorentzians and assigned to CaTiO₃ lattice modes, O–Ti–O bending modes, and torsional modes.¹⁹

Figure 3 shows the room temperature Raman spectra for perovskites in the SrTiO₃–CaTiO₃ nanoparticle system. With increasing Sr content, most of the peaks broaden and shift to lower frequencies, as is observed for bulk samples.^{19,20} The peak position of the torsional A_g mode is




FIG. 2. (a) Representative Raman spectra obtained at different temperatures for SrTiO₃ nanocubes. The temperature was controlled within ± 1 °C using a Linkam TMS 600 stage. (b) Temperature dependence of the asymmetry parameter q as obtained by fitting the Fano profiles to Eq. (1).

plotted versus the Sr concentration in Fig. 3(b). The lower energy peaks are more dramatically affected by the increasing Sr concentration. A striking feature for the intermediate compositions Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ is the prominent E_g peak, which




FIG. 3. (a) Room temperature Raman spectra for Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ nanocubes. [(b) and (c)] Plots depicting the peak position of structural modes identified in each with increasing Sr concentration. The TO₂ mode is marked for the $x=0$ and 0.3 samples.

is the highest intensity peak for all the intermediate compositions. In contrast, this peak is quite weak for bulk samples.¹⁹ This may again be due to the surface dipoles on the nanoparticles, which strongly favor the breaking of inversion symmetry.^{12,15} The TO₂ phonon feature in Ca_{0.3}Sr_{0.7}TiO₃ nanocubes displays a Fano asymmetry, but these features in more Ca rich nanocubes do not.

In summary, we present the first Raman measurements of SrTiO₃ and Ca_xSr_{1-x}TiO₃ nanocrystals, which show behavior quite distinct from the bulk. Cubic SrTiO₃ shows first-order Raman scattering due to permanent surface dipoles and the presence of nanoscopic polar regions, which break the inversion symmetry. The polar TO₂ structural phonon feature in SrTiO₃, and also Ca_{0.3}Sr_{0.7}TiO₃, has an asymmetric Fano line shape attributed to the interference of the optical phonon with rapid polarization fluctuations in the nanopolar domains. Future work will focus on a more extensive delineation of the phase diagram for nanoparticulate SrTiO₃.

This work was supported primarily by the MRSEC Program of the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMR-0213574 and the New York State Office of Science, Technology and Academic Research and partially by the NSEC Program of the National Science Foundation under Award No. CHE-0641523.

¹J. F. Scott, *Ferroelectr. Rev.* **1**, 1 (1998).

²R. Waser and D. M. Smyth, *Ferroelectric Thin Films: Synthesis and Basic Properties* (Gordon and Breach, Amsterdam, 1996), Vol. 10, p. 47.

³R. Waser, *Integr. Ferroelectr.* **15**, 39 (1997).

⁴Y. Mao, S. Banerjee, and S. S. Wong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **125**, 15718 (2003).

⁵Y. Mao and S. S. Wong, *Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.)* **17**, 2194 (2005).

⁶Y. Mao, T.-J. Park, and S. S. Wong, *Chem. Commun. (Cambridge)* 2005, 5721.

⁷Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards Card No. 73-0661 (International Center for Diffraction Data, Newton Square, PA).

⁸W. G. Nilsen and J. G. Skinner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **48**, 2240 (1968).

⁹R. Ouilion, J.-P. Pinan-Lucarre, P. Ranson, P. Pruzan, S. K. Mishra, R. Ranjan, and D. Pandey, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **14**, 2079 (2002).

¹⁰A. A. Sirenko, I. A. Akimov, J. R. Fox, A. M. Clark, H.-C. Li, W. Si, and X. X. Xi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4500 (1999).

¹¹J. M. Worlock and P. A. Fleury, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19**, 1167 (1967).

¹²J. Petzelt and T. Ostapchuk, *J. Optoelectron. Adv. Mater.* **5**, 725 (2003).

¹³U. Fano, *Phys. Rev.* **124**, 1866 (1961).

¹⁴S. Gupta and R. S. Katiyar, *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **32**, 885 (2001).

¹⁵J. Petzelt, T. Ostapchuk, I. Gregora, I. Rychetsky, S. Hoffmann-Eifert, A. V. Pronin, Y. Yuzyuk, B. P. Gorshunov, S. Kamba, V. Bovtun, J. Pokorný, M. Savinov, V. Porokhonsky, D. Rafaja, P. Vanek, A. Almedia, M. R. Chaves, A. A. Volkov, M. Dressel, and R. Waser, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 184111 (2001).

¹⁶J. E. Spanier, R. D. Robinson, F. Zhang, S.-W. Chan, and I. P. Herman, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 245407 (2001).

¹⁷R. A. Cowley, *Phys. Rev.* **134**, A981 (1964).

¹⁸P. H. M. van Loosdrecht, M. M. Maior, S. B. Molnar, Y. M. Vysokhanskii, P. J. M. van Bentum, and H. van Kempen, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 6014 (1993).

¹⁹S. Qin, X. Wu, F. Seifert, and A. I. Becerro, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 2002, 3751.

²⁰T. Hirata, K. Ishioka, and M. Kitajima, *J. Solid State Chem.* **124**, 353 (1996).