University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

School of Integrative Biological and Chemical Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations

College of Sciences

2-13-2024

Iron-Imine Cocktail in Drug Development: A Contemporary Update

Judith Anane The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Esther Owusu The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Gildardo Rivera-Sanchez Instituto Politecnico Nacional, Mexico

Debasish Bandyopadhyay The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, debasish.bandyopadhyay@utrgv.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/ibcs_fac

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Anane, J., Owusu, E., Rivera, G., & Bandyopadhyay, D. (2024). Iron-Imine Cocktail in Drug Development: A Contemporary Update. International journal of molecular sciences, 25(4), 2263. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms25042263

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Integrative Biological and Chemical Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact justin.white@utrgv.edu, william.flores01@utrgv.edu.





Iron–Imine Cocktail in Drug Development: A Contemporary Update

Judith Anane¹, Esther Owusu¹, Gildardo Rivera² and Debasish Bandyopadhyay^{1,3,*}

- ¹ School of Integrative Biological and Chemical Sciences (SIBCS), University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA; judith.anane01@utrgv.edu (J.A.); esther.owusu01@utrgv.edu (E.O.)
- ² Laboratorio de Biotecnología Farmacéutica, Centro de Biotecnología Genómica, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Reynosa 88710, Mexico; gildardors@hotmail.com
- ³ School of Earth, Environmental, and Marine Sciences (SEEMS), University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA
- * Correspondence: debasish.bandyopadhyay@utrgv.edu

Abstract: Organometallic drug development is still in its early stage, but recent studies show that organometallics having iron as the central atom have the possibility of becoming good drug candidates because iron is an important micro-nutrient, and it is compatible with many biological systems, including the human body. Being an eco-friendly Lewis acid, iron can accept the lone pair of electrons from imino(sp²)-nitrogen, and the resultant iron–imine complexes with iron as a central atom have the possibility of interacting with several proteins and enzymes in humans. Iron–imine complexes have demonstrated significant potential with anticancer, bactericidal, fungicidal, and other medicinal activities in recent years. This article systematically discusses major synthetic methods and pharmacological potentials of iron–imine complexes having in vitro activity to significant clinical performance from 2016 to date. In a nutshell, this manuscript offers a simplistic view of iron complexes in medicinal inorganic chemistry: for instance, iron is presented as an "eco-friendly non-toxic" metal (as opposed to platinum) that will lead to non-toxic pharmaceuticals. The abundant literature on iron chelators shows that many iron complexes, particularly if redox-active in cells, can be quite cytotoxic, which can be beneficial for future targeted therapies. While we made every effort to include all the related papers, any omission is purely unintentional.

Keywords: imines; Schiff base; iron complex; anticancer; antimicrobial; antioxidant

1. Introduction

The terms 'imine' and 'Schiff base' were invented by Albert Ladenburg and Hugo Schiff, respectively, and refer to the condensation products of carbonyl compounds (aldehydes and ketone) and amines. They are formed by the condensation of a primary amine with a carbonyl (aldehyde or ketone) compound [1] and an azomethine (-RC=N-) linkage [2]. Imines have wide applicability in many fields, especially in drug development research, because of their versatile characteristics that enable them to form a wide range of stable products. Imines can be polarized to generate an electrophilic carbon center that makes the nitrogen more nucleophilic. In mild acidic conditions, the nitrogen is protonated, making the carbon significantly electrophilic. Since their discovery by Hugo Schiff in 1864, Schiff bases have become the most important ligands in transition metal coordination chemistry due to their ease of synthesis, electronic features, solubility in many solvents, structural diversity, and abundance in biological systems [3–5].

The coordination of metals to organic ligands (organometallics) was not widely employed until the discovery of cisplatin and other metal-derived drugs. Many organometallic drugs have effectively been used to treat several diseases, including cancer, diabetes, and ulcers, and in imaging studies, etc. Metal–Schiff base complexes have improved antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, and anticancer activity relative to their



Citation: Anane, J.; Owusu, E.; Rivera, G.; Bandyopadhyay, D. Iron–Imine Cocktail in Drug Development: A Contemporary Update. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2024, *25*, 2263. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms25042263

Academic Editor: Anastasios Lymperopoulos

Received: 28 December 2023 Revised: 4 February 2024 Accepted: 7 February 2024 Published: 13 February 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). free Schiff base ligands [6,7]. Schiff base ligands coordinate with metal ions and stabilize them in various oxidation states. Depending on their dipole moment, solubility, enzymatic action, and cell permeability, they can increase biological activity [5]. The challenge, how-ever, is that some of these therapeutics have raised concerns due to the fatal side effects they confer on patients. The need for new, less toxic, and more potent organometallic drugs has led to extensive research on iron–imine complex formation. So far, iron–imine complexes have been found to exhibit effective biological activities [8]. For instance, Sarkar et al. found a significant photocytotoxicity of an iron(III)–Schiff base complex (obtained for methics and a significant photocytotoxicity of an iron(III)–Schiff base complex (base desited base desited base desited base desited base and base desited base desited base desited base and base desited base and base and base desited base desited base and base

from thiosemicarbazide and vitamin B6) against cervical cancer cells (HeLa) through the intracellular generation of ROS [9]. Also, some iron(III)–Schiff base complexes derived from aminophenol/aminobenzene and salicylaldehyde have shown better antibacterial and antifungal activity when compared to antibacterial and antifungal standard drugs, chloramphenicol and terbinafine, respectively [10].

It is worth mentioning that iron is the fourth most abundant (5.6%) element in the Earth's crust [11]. It is important for the normal functioning of mammalian cells because iron plays essential roles in many dynamic biological processes that occur in the human body, like DNA synthesis, metabolism, respiration, electron transport, and erythropoiesis, among others [12,13], making their participation in mammalian cells vital for appropriate cellular function [14,15]. It is, therefore, a safer alternative for developing organometallic drugs. This review outlines the syntheses and pharmacological potential of iron–imine complexes.

2. Bioactivity of Imine–Iron Complexes

Imine–iron complexes provide an intriguing insight into the future of organometallic chemistry. Limited attention was given to organometallic drugs until recently when some metal-containing drugs were discovered to be useful in the battle against various diseases like cancer, antimicrobial resistance diseases, oxidative stress [3], HIV [16], bacterial (malaria), fungal, and viral infections [17], tuberculosis [18], diabetes, rheumatoid arthritis, and cardiovascular diseases [19]. Among the exciting tapestry of organometallic compounds, imine–iron complexes stand out with their bright threads of fascination and ability. These unique compounds attach iron atoms to ligands with the intriguing imine functional group, which is a nitrogen–carbon double bond generated by an amine and a carbonyl compound. Imine–iron complexes are adaptable building blocks with high promise in catalysis, biomimicry, magnetic materials, and beyond. This distinguishing trait endows them with an enticing combination of properties, making them the focus of significant research and interest in the sector.

2.1. Imine–Iron Complexes as Anticancer Agents

The success of cisplatin as a potent anticancer drug led to researchers exploring and discovering platinum-based drugs like carboplatin and oxaliplatin. The downside of these platinum-based drugs is their adverse side effects and drug resistance. This shifted attention to other metal-based anticancer drugs, particularly iron-based complexes, after the prolific activity of naturally occurring iron–bleomycin and ferrocenium salts like trichloroacetate and ferrocenium picrate was discovered. Their effectiveness was attributed to the oxidative DNA damage they caused by upsetting the oxidative homeostasis in cancer cells [11]. Iron–imine complexes provide a unique and potential avenue for cancer therapy due to their tailored delivery and different mechanisms of action, which include altering iron metabolism, producing reactive oxygen species (ROS), and blocking key enzymes. They additionally enhanced tumor penetration and retention and have the ability to overcome drug resistance.

Iron–imine complexes and other organometallic complexes have been found to have potent anticancer activity [20].

El-Lateef et al. synthesized two tetradentate dibasic chelating imine–iron complexes (3 and 4, Scheme 1) from the reaction of 1 and 2 with Fe^{3+} salt. The free ligand (1 and 2)

and its synthesized complexes (3 and 4) were investigated for their in vitro cytotoxic effect against MCF-7, HepG-2, and HCT-116 cancer cell lines at different concentrations. These tested compounds had activity on breast carcinoma cells, with the cytotoxicity of the complexes being higher than that of their free ligands. Compound 4 showed the highest cytotoxicity activity against MCF-7, HepG-2, and HCT-116 (5.14, 6.75, and 4.45 μ M, respectively), comparable to the standard drug doxorubicin, which had the activity of 4.10, 5.15, and 4.35 μ M, respectively, and could be used as a tumor drug candidate (Table 1). The cytotoxicity of metal complexes is assumed to be due to their ability to bind DNA, hence disrupting its structure, causing replication and transcription processes to be inhibited, and eventually damaging the cancer cells (Table 1) [21].



Scheme 1. Synthesis of imine-iron complexes 3 and 4 with anticancer activity.

Nguyen et al. [22] synthesized unsymmetrical tetradentate imine–Fe(III) complexes (5–9, Scheme 2) by coordinating the imine ligands with FeCl₃·6H₂O and tested them on KB and Hep-G2 human cancer cell lines. The iron–imine complexes showed excellent cytotoxicity for KB and Hep-G2 (IC₅₀ < 20 μ M). The presence of substituted groups in the salicyl rings affects the electrical properties and bulk of the complexes. Complex 5, which did not have the substituted group in the second salicyl ring, exhibited the best cytotoxic activity for KB and Hep-G2 (0.68 and 0.83 μ M, respectively), even better than the standard compound ellipticine, which showed an activity of 1.14 and 2.11 μ M, respectively (Table 1) [22].



Scheme 2. Synthesis of unsymmetrical tetradentate imine-Fe(III) complexes 5-9.

Nine iron(III) complexes (**10–18**, Figure 1) were synthesized by Kalındemirtaş et al. The in vitro cytotoxicity activity of the iron complexes was investigated on P3HR1, K562, JURKAT, HUVEC, and 3T3 cell lines. The complexes **11**, **14**, **16**, and **17** showed a better cytotoxicity effect (in the range of 4.81–14.05 μ M) on the K562 cell line than the standard imatinib, which had an activity of 9.67 μ M. Five complexes had significantly lower IC50 values than the positive control (imatinib) for P3HR1 cells (Table 1). Complexes **12**, **15**, and **18**, which had a 3,5-dichloro substituent, could not compete with imatinib. All

the synthesized complexes were ineffective against the JURKAT cell line in the studied concentrations. Different cells may die in different ways, and cancer cells of different types might respond very differently to the same treatment. P3HR1 and JURKAT are lymphoid cells with T- and B-lymphocytes of origin, respectively, whereas K562 is myeloid. T-cell lineage-derived leukemia includes a diverse range of neoplasms. They are typically more aggressive than their B-cell counterpart, differing in clinicopathological characteristics and biological function, and are marked by resistance to conventional chemotherapy and a bad prognosis for the patients [23]. Studies have also shown miRNAs to be critical regulators in tumorigenesis [24,25]. When exposed to chemotherapeutic drugs that are commonly used in T-cell leukemia/lymphoma treatment, like cisplatin, cytarabine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide, JURKAT cells' expression of miR181a increased along with AKT activation [26]. The different results obtained in the JURKAT cells may be due to these differences [27].







Figure 1. Cont.



Figure 1. Some medicinally privileged iron-imine complexes.

Wongsuwan et al. synthesized a series of Fe(II) complexes (19–22, Scheme 3) and Fe(III) complexes (23–26, Scheme 3) by coordinating imine derived from 8-aminoquinoline and salicylaldehyde with Fe(II)/(III) chloride (Scheme 3). Imine complexes were screened against the A549 human lung adenocarcinoma cell line. The imine ligand showed no anticancer activity, but the complexes showed moderate-to-high anticancer activity against A549 cells with IC₅₀ values ranging from 10 to 34 μ M. Complex 22 showed the highest antiproliferative activity of 10 μ M, which is higher than that of two well-known commercial drugs, etoposide (19 μ M) and cisplatin (16 μ M) (Table 1). Transition metal complexes can bind to DNA through both covalent and non-covalent interactions. Complex 6 showed very high DNA affinity and induced high levels of ROS (hydroxyl and peroxyl radicals) in A549 cancer cells. These two factors together contributed to the antiproliferative activity of complex 6. Therefore, DNA binding and intracellular ROS that cause macromolecular or DNA damage and cell death are potential mechanisms by which the complexes enter A549 cells [28].



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Fe(II) and Fe(III) complexes 19-26 with antitumor activity.

An iron(III) complex (**30**, Scheme 4) of novel imine ligand **29** was synthesized by Ismail et al., and its cytotoxicity activity against the Hep-G2 cell line was evaluated. The Fe(III) complex (**30**, Scheme 4) showed an enhanced antitumor activity (7.31 μ g/mL) compared to that of the solo Schiff base (IC₅₀ = 27 μ g/mL). Still, compared to the standard drug vinblastine, which showed a value of 2.93 μ g/mL, its antitumor activity was moderate (Table 1) [29].



Scheme 4. Synthesis of iron(III)-imine complex 30.

The ligand (33) and its metal complex (34, Scheme 5) were synthesized by Kavitha et al. and studied over three cancer cell lines: human pancreatic carcinoma (MiaPaCa-2), human cervical adenocarcinoma (HeLa), and murine melanoma cancer cells (B16F10), and one normal cell N1H/3T3 (fibroblast cells). The IC₅₀ value for the complex, 106.26 μ g/mL, was beyond 100 μ g/mL, signifying very low anticancer activity against the selected cancer cell lines (Table 1). DNA binding studies showed that the complex had a low binding affinity for the DNA, which could have been responsible for its low antitumor potency [30].



Scheme 5. Synthesis of iron complex 34.

Abdelrahman et al. synthesized new nano-Fe(III) complexes (**38–40**) of pyridazinoneacid hydrazone ligand **37** and new mixed-ligand complexes using 8-hydroxyquinoline or 1, 10-phenanthroline (Scheme 5) as an auxiliary ligand. The complexes and the imine ligand were tested against hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines (HepG-2 cells) for their antitumor activity in vitro. The imine ligand showed strong antitumor activity against the HepG-2 cells, but the activity of the synthesized iron complexes (**38–40**) was insignificant (Scheme 6, Table 1). Complex **37** showed an antitumor activity of 3.80 µg/mL against HepG-2, whilst the standard drug, Cisplatin, showed an activity of 3.27 µg/mL (Table 1) [31].

Farhan et al. synthesized two heterocyclic imine ligands (43 and 46) and prepared complexes (44 and 47, Scheme 7) from the fusion of the imine ligands with Fe(III), resulting in an octahedral geometry and paramagnetic complex (44 and 47). The ligands and imine complexes were investigated for their anticancer potency against the L20B cell line at a 4000 μ g/mL concentration. The iron complex 44 demonstrated a high anticancer activity of 8.7 μ g/mL against the (L₂₀B) cell line. The anticancer activity of 47 was comparatively low, 22.9 μ g/mL (Table 1). The results were not compared with a standard anticancer agent [32].



Scheme 6. Synthesis of iron complexes 38-40.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of complexes 44 and 47.

Table 1. Product, synthesis conditions, and in vitro anticancer activity (IC₅₀ in μ M) of selected iron–imine complexes compared to the respective positive controls [†].

Entry No.	Complex No.	Structures	Synthesis Condition	Complex and Positive Control	Cancer Cell L	ines		Ref.	
					MCF-7	HepG-2	HCT-116	_	
1	2.4		EtOH Roflux 2 h	3	21.35 ± 0.12	27.70 ± 0.11	15.75 ± 0.07	[01]	
1.	3,4		Stirring	4	5.14 ± 0.05	6.75 ± 0.12	4.45 ± 0.14	- [21]	
		3:R=01 n=1 4:R=H n=2		Doxorubicin	4.10 ± 0.13	5.15 ± 0.07	4.35 ± 0.15	_	
					KB	HepG-2			
				5	0.68 ± 0.05	0.83 ± 0.05		_	
		R^1 N $\sim R^2$	EtOH	6	3.25 ± 0.16	7.05 ± 0.25	_		
2	5-9 R ¹ N Fe N F ²			EtOH	7	1.84 ± 0.10	6.07 ± 0.22	_	[22]
2.	2. 5-9		Reflux, 3 h	8	2.76 ± 0.17	19.78 ± 1.07	_	[]	
		5-6		9	1.95 ± 0.13	2.38 ± 0.17	-		
				Ellipticine	1.14 ± 0.06	2.11 ± 0.12		_	
					K562	P3HR1	JURKAT		
				10	>25	>25	>25	-	
				11	9.25 ± 0.42	5.61 ± 0.19	>25	_	
				12	22.24 ± 0.06	8.09 ± 0.62	>25	_	
				13	>25	>25	>25	-	
3.	10–18		Stirring, 30 min	14	4.81 ± 0.15	11.98 ± 0.69	22.79 ± 0.54	- [27]	
		S-alkyl		15	>25	22.4 ± 0.47	>25	-	
				16	14.05 ± 0.31	5.72 ± 0.28	>25	_	
				17	5.04 ± 0.18	11.47 ± 0.42	22.0 ± 0.39	-	
			18	>25	21.03 ± 0.39	>25	-		
				Imatinib	9.67 ± 0.49	23.74 ± 1.02	3.73 ± 0.21	-	

Entry No.	Complex No.	Structures	Synthesis Condition	Complex and Positive Control	Cancer Cell L	ines		Ref.
				19	A549 30 ± 1.1			
				20	30 ± 7.7	-		
				21	28 ± 2.0	-		
				22	28 ± 2.0	-		
4.	19–26		0 °C, 7 days	23	28 ± 2.0	-		[28]
		x i		24	10 ± 2.1	-		
		19–26 {Fe(II): n = 0, Fe(III): n = 1} X – CI Br		25	34 ± 4.7	-		
		л = Q, Di		26	32 ± 1.5	-		
				Etoposide	19 ± 1.3			_
				Cisplatin	16 ± 1.9			
		CH3			Hep-G2			
5.	30		Stirring, 2 h	30	7.31			[29]
			0.	Vinblastine	2.93			-
6.	34		Reflux, 8–9 h		Hela	MiaPaCa-2	B16F10	- [30]
				34	106.26 ± 0.5	112.13 ± 0.6	104.15 ± 1.2	
					Hep-G2			_
7	28 40		Stir, 2 h	37	3.8058.00			
7.	30-40		Reflux, 12–15 h	38	R			[31]
				39	R			_
				40	3.27			_
		L 39 —		Cisplatin				-
					L ₂₀ B			_
	44			44	8.70			
8.	45		Reflux, 3–4 h	45	13.20			[32]
	46			46	18.4			_
	47	*		47	22.9			

⁺ C₅₀ values written as these have been reported in the literature.

2.2. Imine–Iron Complexes as Antimicrobial Agents

Antimicrobial agents are compounds that can inhibit (stop or reduce) the growth of microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, protozoa, etc. Microbial resistance to antibiotics and other antimicrobial drugs has become one of the major health concerns globally. Due to their distinct characteristics and action methods, research has focused on imine–iron complexes as promising agents [33]. Imine–iron complexes appear as possible game changers in the fight against microorganisms, outperforming many traditional antimicrobials. They are considered possible game changers because of the following:

1. Novel mechanism of action: Traditional antibiotics typically target specific bacterial functions like cell wall synthesis or protein translation, which can lead to resistance development as bacteria mutate those targets, imine–iron complexes employ diverse

mechanisms, including iron starvation, DNA cleavage, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, making it harder for bacteria to develop resistance.

- 2. Broad-spectrum activity: Traditional antibiotics often have specific targets, limiting their effectiveness against different types of bacteria, whilst imine–iron complexes can exhibit activity against a wider range of bacteria, including multi-drug-resistant strains, due to their multiple attacking mechanisms.
- 3. Biofilm disruption: Traditional antibiotics may struggle to penetrate bacterial biofilms, protective communities are resistant to many drugs, whilst imine–iron complexes show potential to disrupt biofilms, exposing bacteria within to attack further.
- 4. Reduced side effects: Traditional antibiotics can harm beneficial gut bacteria and other healthy cells due to their broad targeting, whilst imine–iron complexes can be designed to be more selective for bacterial targets, potentially reducing the side effects on human cells.

In recent years, metal complex-based antibiotic compounds have become a promising avenue in drug development. According to research, 21% of the metal compounds examined exhibited antibacterial action against typical strains of *Candida* and *Cryptococcus* strains [34]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop next-generation antimicrobial agents, and imine-iron complexes can be the right avenue to move forward because these complexes are known for their antimicrobial activity. The observed microbial activity can also be traced to (i) the concept of cell permeability and the chelation process which reduces the polarity of a metal ion; (ii) the chelation process which increases electron delocalization on the chelate ring and enhances the lipophilicity of the complex, granting it easy penetration through microbial cells; (iii) the toxicity of metal ions [35]; (iv) the introduction of an azomethine linkage improves the hydrophobicity and liposolubility of the molecules; and additional factors that contribute to the improved biological activity are the solubility, conductivity, and dipole moment of the metal ion [36–38]. The antimicrobial activities of Schiff bases and their metal complexes have been studied against different bacterial and fungal strains [39].

Rahmatabadi et al. synthesized the iron metal complex (**51**, Scheme 8) of imine ligand (**50**), prepared by condensing **48** with **49**. Imine–iron complex (**51**) was tested for its in vitro antibacterial potency against Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) bacteria and Gram-positive bacteria *Bacillus cereus* (*B. cereus*) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) with tetracycline, gentamicin, chloramphenicol, and cephradine as a standard control. Complex **51** showed enhanced activity compared to the free ligand (**50**). It had the highest antibacterial activity against *B. cereus* (29 mm) and *S. aureus* (14 mm), which was higher than the activity of the standard drug tetracycline against *B. cereus* (11 mm) and *S. aureus* (9 mm), but it showed moderate activity against *E. coli* (14 mm) and *P. aeruginosa* (14 mm), which was for both bacteria (Table 2). These recorded activities of the complexes are due to the more pronounced lipophilic nature of the metal centers in the complexes [40,41].



Scheme 8. Synthesis of complex 51.

Shukla et al. synthesized imine ligand 54 by condensing 52 with 53 in a 1:2 molar ratio. Imine ligand 54, 1,10-phenanthroline, and FeCl₃ were combined to form a mixed-ligand iron complex (55, Scheme 9) and analyzed for their antibacterial activity against Gramnegative bacteria *E. coli* in comparison to amoxicillin and chloramphenicol standard drugs.

Complex **55** exhibited enhanced activity against *E. coli* (29 mm) compared to **54** (23 mm). Still, it showed moderate antibacterial activity compared with standards chloramphenicol and amoxicillin, which showed inhibition zones of 39 mm and 41 mm, respectively (Table 2). The action of metal ions on the normal cell membrane may cause the metal complex's increased activity. Either the microbes' cells' impermeability or variations in the ribosomes of microbial cells determine the complex's ability to combat *E. coli*. The outcome could be explained by considering the chelation theory, which suggests that chelation could facilitate a complex's capacity to pass across a cell membrane [42,43].



Scheme 9. Synthesis of complex 55.

El-Lateef et al. explored **3** and **4** (Scheme 1) for their antibacterial potency against three selected bacterial strains: *S. marcescence, E. coli,* and *M. Luteus*. Both complexes showed high antibacterial activity against the selected bacteria, with **4** showing the highest antibacterial activity against *M. luteus* (2.50 μ g/mL) (Table 2). The values of the activity of standard drugs were not provided. The activity of the complexes was high compared to that of the free ligands (**1** and **2**) due to the chelation theory. The polarity of the metal ion is greatly reduced during chelation due to electron delocalization throughout the entire chelate ring system and partial sharing of its positive charge with the hetero-donor atoms of the ligand [44,45]. The different activities displayed by the complexes against the various microbes are due to the differences in the chemical makeup of the microorganisms' cell walls [21].

The iron complex **59** (Scheme 10) was synthesized by Karem et al., and its antibacterial potency was evaluated against *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *B. subtilis*. The iron complex showed no activity for all the bacterial strains except for *E. coli*, against which it showed an activity of 25 μ g/mL. This value was higher than that of the free ligand, which showed an inhibition of 2.5 μ g/mL (Table 2). The observed increase in activity against *E. coli* can be explained by Tweedy's theory [46]. The results obtained were not compared to a standard drug [47].

The imine ligand **62** synthesized by the condensation of **60** and **61** (Scheme 11) was complexed with Fe(III) by Shukla et al. to form two imine–iron complexes, **63** and **64**. The complexes were tested against Gram-positive bacteria, *B. subtilis*, and Gram-negative bacteria, *E. coli*, with amoxicillin as a standard. The complex **64** (14 mm and 18 mm, respectively) showed enhanced activity compared to the free ligand (11 mm and 15 mm, respectively) against *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*, and this activity of **64** was similar to that of the standard amoxicillin (16 mm and 20 mm, respectively) against the same microbes. The antimicrobial activity of complex **63** is similar to that of ligand **62** against the selected microbes (Table 2). The result shows that chelation makes it easier for these complexes to traverse the cell membrane, which is consistent with Tweedy's chelation theory. Due to the partial sharing of the metal ion's positive charge with donor groups during chelation, the metal ion's polarity will be lowered, and the delocalization of π -electrons over the entire chelate ring will be increased. This improves the complex's lipophilicity, favoring its passage through the lipid membrane, and interferes with the metal binding sites in the microbes' enzymes [48].



59

Scheme 10. Synthesis of complex 59.





The imine ligand **66**, prepared by the condensation of **65** with 1,2-diaminobenzene and its iron complex (**67**, Scheme 12), was synthesized by Anacona et al. and analyzed for its antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacteria Gram-positive *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) ATCC 29212 and *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 and clinical isolates of *Streptococcus viridans* (*S. viridans*), *Enterococcus* Sp., and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA). The iron complex (**67**) showed enhanced activity against all the selected microbes compared to the ligand **66**. It exhibited very good antibacterial activity against methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (15 mm), whereas the standard drug and free ligand showed no activity at all. The complex under study [49] showed moderate activity against the other bacterial strains (Table 2). The moderate-to-high activity of the complex is attributed to not only the chelation theory but



also other factors like the nature of the metal ion, the type and quantity of donor atoms, stereochemistry, chelate stability, and pharmacokinetic factors [50].

Scheme 12. Synthesis of iron complex 67.

Pahontu et al. synthesized an Fe(III) complex (**68**, Figure 1) and tested its antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus*, *B. cereus*, and *E. faecalis* and Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* and *A. baumannii*, as well as fungal strains *Candida albicans* (*C. albicans*), *Candida krusei* (*C. krusei*), and *Cryptococcus neoformans* (*C. neoformans*). The MIC values of the iron–Schiff base complex obtained correlated with very low antibacterial activity against all the bacterial strains selected compared to the standards used (furacilin, ciprofloxacin, and amikacin). The complex showed improved antifungal activity against *C. albicans* and *C. neoformans*, with values of 0.0156 and 0.0078µg/mL, respectively, compared to the standard drugs nystatin (*C. albicans* = 0.032 µg/mL, *C. neoformans* = 0.032 µg/mL) and miconazole (*C. albicans* = 0.016 µg/mL, *C. neoformans* = 0.0162 µg/mL) used in studies (Table 2) [6]. The lack of activity of the synthesized complex against the bacterial strain is unclear. Still, its impressive antifungal activity against *C. albicans* can be attributed to the metal ion's ability to reduce binding energy while increasing the binding affinity of the microbe protein, hence interrupting its biological processes [10].

Mumtaz et al. complexed iron(II) with an imine ligand to form the iron(II) metal complex **69** (Figure 1), which was investigated for its antimicrobial activity against *E. coli*, *Enterobacter aerogenes* (*E. aerogenes*), *S. aureus*, *B. pumilus*, *K. oxytoca*, and *C. butyrium*. The iron complex's zone of inhibition of the various bacterial strains was quite small, demonstrating low antibacterial activity towards the bacteria. Still, these values were higher than those of the free imine ligand. Complex **69** showed an activity of 12, 10, and 9 (mm) against *E. coli*, *E. aerogenes*, and *C. barium*, respectively, and the ligand showed an activity of 14, 12, and 12 (mm), respectively (Table 2). The complex's enhanced activity compared to the ligand can be explained by chelation theory [46,51].

Al-Wasidi et al. synthesized an iron–Schiff base complex by complexing imine ligand **72** with Fe(III) to form an octahedral iron complex (**73**, Scheme **13**) which was investigated for its antibacterial and antifungal activity against Gram-positive *B. subtilis, S. pneumonia*, and *S. aureus*, Gram-negative *E. coli* Sp. and *Pseudomonas* Sp., and fungal strains *Aspergillus niger* (*A. niger*) and *Penicillium* Sp. The iron–imine complex **73** showed enhanced antibacterial activity relative to the free ligand **72** with a great zone of inhibition against *S. pneumonia* (7–10 mm) and *S. aureus* (7–10 mm). It demonstrated low inhibition against the selected fungal strains (Table 2). The results obtained were not compared to any standard drug [52].



Scheme 13. Synthesis of complex 73.

El-Sonbati et al. synthesized imine–iron complex **76** with ligand **75** (Scheme **14**) and evaluated its antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*; Gram-negative *bacteria* such as *Salmonella* sp, *P. aeruginosa*, and *E. coli*; and fungal strains *A. fumigatus* and *C. albicans*. For all the bacterial strains selected, complex **76** showed similar antibacterial activity to the free imine ligand and low antibacterial activity when compared with the selected standard drugs ampicillin and gentamycin (Table 2). Against the fungal strains *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*, the complex showed improved inhibition of 16 mm and 18 mm, respectively, compared to the free imine ligand (13 mm and 15 mm, respectively). This inhibition was low compared to the standard antifungal drug amphotericin, which had an activity of 25 mm and 23 mm zones of inhibition, respectively. The improved antifungal activity of complex **76** in relation to the free ligand (**75**) can be explained by the chelation theory, where the chelation of the ligand causes an increase in the lipophilicity properties of the metal chelate, enhancing its ability to permeate the lipoid layers of the microbe membrane blocking the metal binding site [4,46].





Kumar et al. synthesized the imine–iron complex **79** (Scheme **15**) of imine ligand **78** and evaluated its antibacterial activity against Gram-positive *P. aeruginosa* and Gramnegative *S. aureus* bacteria. The complex (**79**) showed an improved antibacterial activity compared to the free ligand (**78**) against *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* with a zone of inhibition of 14 mm and 11 mm, respectively, whereas the ligand showed an inhibition of 8 mm and 6 mm, respectively. Complex **79** had a comparable zone of inhibition to that of the standard drugs ampicillin against *S. aureus* (14 mm) and chloramphenicol against *P. aeruginosa* (8 mm) (Table 2) and can be further investigated as an antibacterial drug candidate. The improved antibacterial activity of the complex can be attributed to the chelation theory [46,53].

Fe(II) complex **82** (Scheme 16) of compound **81** was synthesized by Shinde et al., and upon investigating its antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* (ATCC 29737), Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), and fungal strains *C. albicans* (MTCC 277) and *A. niger* (MCIM 545), it was found to possess high activity against *E. coli* (ATCC25922) and *S. aureus* with an MIC value of 10 μ g/mL against both bacteria. This value is the same for the standard drug gentamicin, which also showed an activity of 10 μ g/mL. Complex **82** also showed improved activity against both fungal strains *C. albicans* (MTCC 277) and *A. niger* (MCIM 545) with an MIC value of 10 μ g/mL when compared with the standard drug fluconazole, which showed an activity of 20 μ g/mL against both strains (Table 2) [2]. The reason for the exceptional antimicrobial potency of the synthesized complex (**82**) was not stated, but it could be due to chelation theory [46] and the good binding interaction of **82** with the proteins of the selected strains.



Scheme 15. Synthesis of imine-iron complex 79.

Mukhtar et al. synthesized an imine–iron metal complex (83, Figure 1), and its antimicrobial activity was investigated against five bacterial isolates, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *P. aureginosa*, *K. Pneumoniae*, and *S. aureus*, and three fungal species, *F. solani*, *A. fumigate*, and *C. albicans*. The results of these studies revealed that the complex showed the highest antibacterial activity against *E. coli* (14 mm) at a concentration of 1000 μ g/mL but moderate activity against the other bacterial isolates (Table 2). Its antifungal activity was quite low. It inhibited the growth of *C. albicans* and *F. solani* by 7 mm at a concentration of 2000 μ g/mL and 12 mm at a concentration of 4000 μ g/mL, respectively. It showed no antifungal activity against *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* at the given concentrations. It, however, showed similar activity to the complex against *S. aureus* (12 mm) at a concentration of 1000 μ g/mL. It also showed no activity against all the selected fungal strains (Table 2). The results obtained in this study were not compared to any standard drug [54]. The reason for the improved antimicrobial activity of the synthesized complex can be attributed to the chelation theory [46].



Scheme 16. Synthesis of iron complex 82.

The synthesis of a chromone imine nano-complex of Fe(III) (87, Scheme 17) was conducted by Shebl et al., and its antimicrobial activity was tested against microorganisms such as *E. coli*, *P. vulgaris*, *K. pneumonia*, *S. aureus*, and *C. albicans*. The results showed that the iron complex (87) has moderate activity against fungal species *C. albicans* (8 μ g/mL) when compared to free ligand 86 (4 μ g/mL) and a standard (2 μ g/mL); it, however, exhibited very low activity (>50 μ g/mL) toward all the selected bacterial stains in comparison to the standard drug doximycin which showed activity in the range of 2–4 μ g/mL (Table 2) [3].

Knittl et al. synthesized two different iron–imine complexes (**88** and **89**, Figure 1) and evaluated them for their antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* (ATCC25923), Gram-negative *P. phaseolicol* (S97), and fungal species *F. oxysporium* using cephalothin, chloramphenicol, and cycloheximide, respectively, as standard antibiotics. The results indicate that **88** exhibits higher antibacterial and antifungal activity against the selected microbes, *S. aureus* (37 mm), *P. phaseolicol* (26 mm), and *F. oxysporium* (31 mm),

in comparison to **89**, which showed an inhibition of 32 mm, 23 mm, and 30 mm against *S. aureus*, *P. phaseolicol*, and *F. oxysporium*, respectively. These values suggest moderate antibacterial and antifungal activities of the complexes compared to the standard antibiotic and antifungal drugs cephalothin, chloramphenicol, and cycloheximide. Both synthesized complexes showed improved antimicrobial activity against the selected microbes compared to the free ligand (Table 2). Chelation tends to increase the ligand's effectiveness as a potent antibacterial agent. From the results obtained, there is evidence for the relationship between the structure of the complexes and their activity. Antimicrobial activity is enhanced by binuclear complexes rather than acyclic complexes, revealing that these complexes are biologically more efficient and, therefore, can be useful as new drugs. It is also discussed that the chemical geometry of compounds is important in explaining the biological activity of the complexes [55].



Scheme 17. Synthesis of complex 87.

Alosaimi et al. synthesized two symmetrical imine ligands (94 and 95) and reacted each with FeCl₃·6H₂O to form mononuclear octahedral Fe(III) complexes 96 and 97 (Scheme 18). The complexes were screened for their antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacterial strains S. epidermidis, S. aureus, and E. faecalis and Gram-negative bacterial strains P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and P. mirabilis. Antifungal activity was also determined against the common pathogenic fungal strain C. albicans. The tested Schiff base ligands (94 and 95) exhibited negligible antibacterial action against Gram-positive bacterial species with growth-limiting diameters of 15 mm. They also showed no antifungal activity against C. albicans. Iron complex 96 showed higher antibacterial activity against the Gram-positive bacterial strain S. epidermidis (14 mm) than iron complex 97 (with a zone of inhibition of 12 mm). The Gram-negative bacterial strain *P. mirabilis* was slightly inhibited by both iron complexes, 96 (8 mm) and 97 (22 mm), but all the other strains were resistant to both complexes. The complexes exhibited low antibacterial activity compared to the standard antibiotic agent, amoxicillin. The antibiotic agent inhibited S. epidermidis and P. mirabilis with zones of inhibition of 28 mm and 44 mm, respectively. The fungus C. albicans was resistant to both iron complexes and showed no significant antifungal activity (Table 2). Overtone's permeability concept and Tweedy's chelation theory can both be used to explain why coordination compounds have more activity than their parent ligands [46,56]. The complexes become more permeable when a metal ion is present because they dissolve in lipids and enter the cell more readily, causing negative changes in the cell environment and its enzymes, further hindering the microbe's growth. Additionally, the metal complexes impede the production of proteins by impeding the cell's respiration process, further inhibiting the organism's growth. Additionally, the probability of hydrogen bonds forming between the azomethine linkage and the cell components will negatively impact the cell's normal functions [57,58].



Scheme 18. Synthesis of complexes 96 and 97.

Iron(III) was complexed with two imine ligands (98 and 99, Scheme 19) by Naureen et al. to form iron complexes 100 and 101. The ligands and their complexes were evaluated for their antibacterial activity against Gram-positive *P. aeruginosa* and Gram-negative *E. coli* and *S. aureus* using tetracycline as the standard drug. Their antifungal activity was also evaluated against *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* with nystatin as the standard drug. The antimicrobial activity of the synthesized complexes was enhanced when compared to their free Schiff ligands. Both complexes showed similar inhibition against all the bacterial strains used in this research, but 101 showed better activity against *S. aureus* (20 mm) and *C. albicans* (24 mm) compared to 100, which showed a zone of inhibition of 16 mm and 20 mm, respectively. The complexes showed low antibacterial activity when compared with the standard drug tetracycline. Both complexes showed higher antifungal activity against *C. albicans* than the standard drug nystatin (19 mm) and could be investigated as promising antifungal drug candidates (Table 2) [59]. The chelation theory can explain the improved activity of the complexes compared to their free Schiff ligands [46].



Scheme 19. Synthesis of complexes 100 and 101.

Singh et al. synthesized an imine ligand by the condensation of compounds **102** and **103** in the molar ratio of 2:1, respectively. The synthesized ligand (**104**) was complexed with iron to form an octahedral **105** (Scheme 20) and tested against *S. epidermidis*, *E. coli*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, and *C. lunata* to validate its antibacterial and antifungal potentials. The complex showed better antibacterial activity against the selected bacterial and fungal strains than the Schiff base ligand. The complex showed the highest activity against *A. niger* (16 mm) and low activity against *E. coli* fungal strains (15 mm) (Table 2) [60]. The improved activity of the complexes in relation to their ligands can be explained based on Overtone's concept and Tweedy's chelation theory [46,56].



Scheme 20. Synthesis of complex 105.

Kavitha et al. evaluated **34** (Scheme 5) for its antibacterial and antifungal activity against Gram-positive *Staphylococcus* Sp. and *Bacillus* Sp. as well as Gram-negative *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* bacterial strains and fungal strains *Macrophamina phaseolina* (*M. phaseolina*) and *Sclerotium rolfsii* (*S. rolfsii*). The iron complex **34** showed enhanced biological activity against the bacterial and fungal strains, *B. subtilis* (4 mm), *E. coli* (4 mm), and *M. phaseolina* (14 mm) compared to the ligand (**33**), which showed an activity of 1, 1, and 8 mm, respectively. However, these activities of the complex are low when compared to the standard antibiotic streptomycin and the standard antifungal agent mancozeb (Table 2). The concept of Overtone explains the increased activity of complexes [51].

Borase et al. synthesized a pyridine imine transition metal complex of Fe(III) (**109**, Scheme 21) by reacting metal salts (FeCl₃) with compound **108**. The complex was evaluated for its antibacterial and antifungal potency against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and Gramnegative bacteria *E. coli*, as well as three fungal strains, *C. albicans*, *A. niger*, and *F. moniliforme*. The iron complex (**109**) showed potent antifungal activity against *A. niger* (15.80 mm) when compared to the standard amphotericin-B (15.78 mm). Complex **109** showed low antifungal and antibacterial activity against *C. albicans* (7.44 mm) and *S. aureus* (3.02 mm). The complex was resisted by *E. coli* and *F. moniliforme* (Table 2). The antimicrobial activities of the ligand were not provided in this study, so a comparison could not be made [61].



Scheme 21. Synthesis of complex 109.

Deshmukh et al. reported an imine ligand and used it to synthesize the Fe(III) complex **110** (Figure 1) and analyzed its antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive *S. aureus* and *S. pyrogenes* and Gram-negative *E. coli* and *S. typhi* pathogens. The complex showed the highest activity against *S. aureus* (22 mm) and the least activity against *E. coli* (16 mm) (Table 2). The results of antibacterial activity were not compared with ligands or standard drugs, and hence a comparison could not be made [62].

Savcı et al. synthesized a Schiff base ligand (112) and complexed it with FeCl₂·4H₂O to form the transition metal imine–iron complex 113 (Scheme 22). Compounds 111, 112, and 113 were evaluated for their antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive B. subtilis, S. aureus, and B. megaterium and Gram-negative E. aerogenes, E. coli, P. aeroginosa, and K. pneumonia bacterial strains and fungal strains C. albicans, Y. lipolytica, and S. cerevisiae. The results indicate that both **111** and **112** show better antibacterial activity against *B. subtilis* ATCC 6633 (zone of inhibition of 40 mm and 30 mm, respectively) than the synthesized iron complex 113 (21 mm) at a concentration of 0.2 mg/mL. The complex showed antibacterial activity against E. aerogenes (30 mm) and P. aeruginosa (36 mm) only at an elevated concentration of 1 mg/mL but did not show significant antifungal activity against the selected fungal strains (Table 2). Only 111 showed activities against K. pneumonia (36 mm) at a 0.2 mg/mL concentration. Compounds 111 and 112 were found to have superior antibacterial activity compared to all the standard antibiotic drugs against B. subtilis, B. megaterium, E. aerogenes, and *P. aeruginosa*. The sizes and load distributions of the metal ions, the shape of the metal chelate, and the potential for redox, as well as the increased lipophobicity of the molecules, may all affect the impact of the metal complexes on microbes [63]. However, it does not appear to be possible to simply attribute the bactericidal activity to the metal complex structure [64,65].



Scheme 22. Synthesis of complex 113.

Kumar et al. synthesized an imine ligand and complexed it with FeCl₃·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃· $9H_2O$, and $Fe(OAc)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ to form iron complexes **120**, **121**, and **122** (Scheme 23), respectively. The synthesized complexes were screened for their antimicrobial activity against S. aureus and B. subtilis (as Gram-positive bacteria), P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and Salmonella typhi (as Gramnegative bacteria), and fungi Rizoctonia sp., Aspergillus sp., and Penicillium Sp. Complex 122 demonstrated the highest antibacterial activity against S. aureus (62 mm) and P. aeruginosa (65 mm). Complex 120 showed the highest activity against E. coli (41 mm) and S. typhi (42 mm). The antibacterial activity of complexes 121 and 122 was higher against the Gram-positive bacteria than against the Gram-negative bacteria (Table 2), and this is due to the difference in the structure of the cell walls. Gram-negative cells have more complex cell walls than Grampositive ones (Table 2). The results for antifungal screening show that 122 has high antifungal potency against Aspergillus sp (80 mm) and Penicillium Sp. (66 mm), even better than that of the standard drug miconazole with an inhibition of 57 mm and 65 mm, respectively, at a concentration of 1.0 mg/mL. Complexes 120 and 121 showed moderate antifungal activity toward the selected strains (Table 2). Generally, the ligand demonstrated moderate activity and the complexes displayed moderate-to-high activity toward all the organisms compared to standard drugs. This could be due to the presence of the -NH group, which is believed to impart the biological system's transformation reaction and plays a significant role in biological



activity. Chelation theory also explains the enhanced activity of the complexes compared to the ligand [46,66].

Scheme 23. Synthesis of complexes 120–122.

Mohamed et al. synthesized the novel octahedral iron–imine complex **123** (Figure 1) and evaluated its antimicrobial potential on the bacterial strains *Clavibacter michiganensis*, *Xanthomonas campestris*, and *Bacillus megaterium* and fungal strains *Monilinia fructicola*, *Penicillium digitatum*, and *Colletotrichum acutatum*. The free Schiff base ligand showed better antibacterial activity against all the selected bacterial strains than its iron complex. The ligand exhibited higher antibacterial activity against *C. michiganensis* (32 mm) than the standard drug tetracycline (30 mm). It also showed similar activity to tetracycline against *B. megaterium* and *X. campestris* (Table 2). Also, complex **123** (Figure 1) showed enhanced antifungal activity against *M. fructicola*, (62.5 mm) and *P. digitatum* (62.5 mm) compared to both the free Schiff base ligand (36.0 and 28.0 mm, respectively) and the standard antifungal agent azoxystrobin (45.3 and 58.1 mm, respectively) and can be considered as an antifungal drug candidate. The microbicide impact of the investigated compounds may result from the chemical structure of the free ligand as well as the toxicity of the investigated metal ions [67,68]. The increased antimicrobial activity of freshly synthesized metal chelates was explained by the principle of cell permeability of the microbes [35].

The imine–iron complex **124** (Figure 1) was synthesized by Elshafie et al., and its biological activity was evaluated against both human and phytopathogens. Antimicrobial analysis was conducted on pathogenic bacterial strains *E. coli, B. cereus, Pseudomonas fluorescens,* and *P. aeruginosa* and phytopathogenic fungi *Monilinia fructicola, Aspergillus flavus, Penicillium italicum,* and *Botrytis cinerea.* The antibacterial activity of **124** was dosedependent. It showed the highest antibacterial activity against *B. cereus* with a measured zone of inhibition of 14 mm at a concentration of 100 µg/mL, higher than that of both the ligand (12 mm) and tetracycline (12 mm). Complex **124** inhibited the growth of *P. aeruginosa* (8 mm) and *P. fluorescens* (12 mm) only at a higher concentration of 200 µg/mL. Generally, the free imine showed better antibacterial activity than the metal complex **124**. Complex **124** exhibited no antifungal activity against *M. fructicola.* Still, it showed enhanced activity against *B. cinerea* (6.7 mm) at a concentration of 400 µg/mL, whereas at the same concentration, it was resisted by the free ligand. The activity of both the Schiff base ligand and the complex was low when compared to the standard natural antifungal drug

cycloheximide (Table 2). The acquired antimicrobial test findings demonstrated that the tested ligands and their metal complexes have the capacity to suppress the growth of all strains under study in a dose-dependent manner. In particular, the chemical structure of the free ligand itself and the toxicity of the metal ions under study could both contribute to the fungicidal effects of the compounds under study [63,64]. Chelation theory can also explain the enhanced activity of the complex. Also, the investigated gemifloxacin ligand and its metal complexes' capacity to block the DNA gyrase and DNA topoisomerase IV enzymes may potentially be related to their antifungal and antibacterial action [69,70].

Ismail et al. synthesized an imine–iron complex (**30**, Scheme 4) and evaluated it for its in vitro antibacterial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*, Gram-negative bacteria *P. vulgaris* and *E. coli*, and fungi *A. flavus and C. albicans*. The imine ligand exhibited better antibacterial and antifungal activity against all the microbial strains studied than **30**. Complex **30** showed lower antibacterial and antifungal activity against *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, and *C. albicans* with a zone of inhibition of 17, 19, and 15 mm, respectively, compared to the selected antibacterial standard drugs gentamycin (*S. aureus* = 24 mm and *E. coli* = 30 mm) and ketoconazole (*C. albicans* = 20 mm). The ligand had high action against *C. albicans*, displaying antimicrobial activity (25 mm) superior to that of the ketoconazole standard (20 mm). Additionally, the ligand's inhibition zone value against *B. subtilis* is 25 mm, which is comparable to the standard gentamycin (26 mm) (Table 2). The reason for the reduced antimicrobial efficiency of the complex was not stated [29].

Abdelrahman et al. evaluated complexes **38**, **39**, and **40** (Scheme 6) for their antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and *B. subtilis*, Gram-negative *S. typhimurium* and *E. coli* bacteria, and unicellular *C. albicans* and multicellular *A. fumigatus* fungi. The free ligand was ineffective against all the studied microbes except for *C. albicans*, which had an inhibition zone of 8 mm. Iron complexes **38** and **40** showed no activity against the selected bacterial strains. Complexes **38** and **39** showed moderate antifungal activity against *C. albicans* with an inhibition zone of 14 mm and 22 mm, respectively; these values were high when compared to the free ligand, which showed an inhibition of 8 mm. The lipophilicity of compounds significantly influences the antimicrobial activity. The enhanced antimicrobial activity of the complexes in relation to the ligand is due to chelation theory. Chelation results in an increase in the lipophilicity of the metal complexes, causing the concentration of complexes in the lipid membrane to increase and reducing microorganism multiplicity. It is hypothesized that the complexes' antifungal effects result from either killing the bacteria or preventing their growth by obstructing their active sites [31,71].

Ahmed et al. synthesized the imine–iron complex **125** (Figure 1) in a 1:1 ratio with the ligand. The synthesized compounds were tested for their antimicrobial activity against the Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli*, as well as fungal strains *C. albicans* and *A. flavus*. All the selected microbes resisted the ligand except *E. coli*, against which it showed an inhibition zone of 9 mm, a value higher than that of the antibacterial drug amikacin (6 mm). Complex **125** showed the same zone of inhibition (10 mm) as the standard drug amikacin against *S. aureus* and enhanced activity against *E. coli* (10 mm) compared to the same standard drug. Several factors could be responsible for the remarkable antibacterial activity of the complex, including interference with the creation of the cell wall, harm because of which the permeability of the cell may be changed, or disorganization of the lipoprotein, resulting in cell death. Also, different cellular enzymes, essential in the metabolic pathways of microbes, could be deactivated. Another factor could be the formation of a hydrogen bond between the azomethine group and the active center of the cell's components, interfering with proper cell function [72].

A mononuclear chelate of iron(III) was synthesized by Mohamed et al. by condensing a new tridentate Schiff base ligand (**128**) with iron chloride (FeCl₃·H₂O) in a 1:1 ratio. The complex formed (**129**) had an octahedral geometry. The in vitro antimicrobial potency of the synthesized complex (**129**) was evaluated against Gram-negative bacteria *E. coli* and Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* and fungal strains *C. albicans* and *A. flavus*. Complex **129** showed a broad zone of inhibition (14 mm/mg sample) against *A. flavus*, whereas the free Schiff base ligand demonstrated zero activity. This activity was much higher than that of ketoconazole (8 mm/mg sample), the selected standard antifungal agent. The enhanced microbial activity of the complex can be attributed to the increased lipophilicity of the metal complex upon coordination with the free ligand. This ensures the easy movement of the metal chelate into the fungal cell membrane, inhibiting microbial growth or distorting its active site [73,74]. For the other microbial strains, the Schiff base ligand showed activity similar to its free ligand (Table 2). The reason for the reduced activity of the Schiff base against *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, and *C. albicans* was not stated [75].

Hidayati et al. synthesized an N-(2-hydroxybenzylidene) chitosan Schiff base and its iron(II) complex and evaluated them for their antibacterial potency. Chitosan (poly- β -(1 \rightarrow 4)-glucosamine) is a very abundant non-toxic natural biopolymer, and its metal complexes are known to exhibit very good biological activities. Hidayati et al. evaluated chitosan, the synthesized chitosan Schiff base ligand, and its imine complex for their ability to inhibit the growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureus* and found—at a concentration of 1000 ppm—the complex being most active against both bacterial strains (9.86 mm and 10.16 mm, respectively), followed by the chitosan Schiff base (9.50 mm and 9.33 mm, respectively) and lastly the chitosan itself (8.75 mm and 9.25 mm, respectively). The observed improvement in the antibacterial activity of the chitosan Schiff base–iron complex can be explained by chelation, which enhanced the lipophilic nature of the complex, ensuring its faster diffusion across bacterial cell membranes [9,76].

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial	Biological Activity	y					Ref.
							Zone of inhibition,	mm			
			Stirring 30		S. aureus	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	B. cereus			_
1.	51		min	50	11	10	11	12			[40]
		S S S	Reflux, 7 h	51	14	14	14	29			_
				Tetracycline	9	10	12	11			
		Г., ¬+					Zone of inhibition,	mm			_
		C-CH6				E. coli					
2	55		Stirring, 1–2 h	54		23					- [40]
			Reflux, 2–11 h	55		29					[42]
				Amoxicillin		41					_
				Chlorampheni	col	39					
				_		Minimum Ir	nhibitory Concentrati	on (MIC)/µg/mL			
					Bao	cteria			Fungi		_
					S. marcescence	E. coli	M. luteus	G. candidum	A. flavus	F. oxysporum	_
3.	34		Reflux, 2 h	1	7.25	7.25	6.25	6.75	8.00	7.50	[21]
		3:R=0 n=1 4:R=H n=2		2	5.50	6.25	4.75	5.25	6.75	6.25	
				3	3.75	4.25	3.00	4.00	4.50	4.25	
				4	3.25	3.50	2.50	3.00	3.75	3.50	
						Minimum Ir	nhibitory Concentrati	on (MIC)/µg/mL			
4	59		Stirring		E. coli	Pseudomonas		S. aureus		Bacillus	[47]
			Ketlux, 1 h	58	2.5	8		15		17	
				59	25	R		R		** R	

Table 2. Product, synthesis conditions, and in vitro antimicrobial activity of imine–iron complexes compared to the respective positive controls [†].

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial	Biological Activ	ity				Ref.
						Z	Cone of inhibitio	n, mm		
	63	CI EI	Stirring, 1 h			Bacillus subtilis			E. coli	
5.	64		Reflux, 9 h	62		11			15	[48]
				63		12			11	
				64		14			18	
		64		Amoxicillin		16			20	
		. 0				Z	one of inhibitio	n, mm		
					S. v	E. sp	S. a	E. f	MRSA	
6.	67		Reflux, 5 h	65	15	24	16	17	R **	[65]
		H ₂ N-Fe H ₂ OH ₂		67	20	30	25	22	15	
		-		Standard	19	36	45	36	R	
						Minimum Inhi	bitory Concentra	ation (MIC)/µg/mL		
					C. albicans	C. neoformans	S. aureus	B. cereus	E. coli	
		HO CH		68	0.0156	0.0078	0.0625	0.0312	0.0625	
7.	68	HC WILd CH	Reflux, 4 h	Nystatin	0.032	0.032				[10]
		[hc,,,,,]		Miconazole Furacillinum Ciprofloxacin Amikacin	0.016	0.0162	0.0046 0.001	0.0046 0.0003	0.0046 0.008	
						Z	Zone of inhibitio	n, mm		
		HA N				E. coli	E. aerogenes		C. butyrium	
8.	69		Reflux, 2 h	Ligand		14	12		12	[51]
				69		12	10		9	
		o-s-Rind		Standard		11	7		9	
		$\langle \rangle$				Z	Cone of inhibition	n, mm		
0	50		Stir and reflux,			S. pneumonia		S. aureus		[52]
9.	13	H₂N—Ċ=S [™] ∱ OH₂ OH₂	1 h	72		7–10		1–3		
				73		7–10		7–10		

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial	Biological Activ	vity				Ref.
							Zone of inhibition,	mm		
		Г			S. aureus	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	C. albicans	A. fumigatus	_
			Stir and reflux.	75	15	14	16	13	15	-
10.	76	Fe OH2	2 h	76	16	14	15	16	18	- [4]
		^{γ0^{-ΟΗ}2}		Ampicillin Gentamycin Amphotericin	23	19	16	25	23	_
		NH2				2	Zone of inhibition,	mm		
		H ₈ C OC ₂ H ₅				S. aureus		P. aeruginosa		_
11.	79		Reflux, 4 h	78		8		6		[53]
		C2H6O OH2 CH3	,	79		14		11		
		H ₂ N		Ampicillin Choloramphen	icol	14		8		_
				Minimum Inhib	oitory Concentra	ation (MIC)/µg/mL				
					E. coli	S. aureus		C. albicans	A. niger	
12.	82		Stir (overnight)	82	10	10		10	10	[2]
				Gentamicin Fluconazole	10	10		20	20	
		02NN02 02NN02				2	Zone of inhibition,	mm		_
10	82		Reflux and		E. coli	P. aeruginosa	S. aureus	C. albicans	F. solani	[54]
13.	83	Q-o o-Q	suring, 5 n	Ligand	R	R	12	** R	** R	_
				83	14	8	12	7	12	
		г Л				Minimum Inhi	ibitory Concentrat	ion (MIC)/µg/mL		_
					E. coli	C. albicans	P. vulgaris	K. pneumonia	S. aureus	_
14.	87		Stirring, 6 h	86	12.5	4	>50	1	>50	[3]
				87	>50	8	>50	>50	>50	_
				Doxymycin Fluconazole	2	2	2	4	4	

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial E	Biological Activit	у				Ref.
		<u></u>				Z	Zone of inhibition	, mm		
						S. aureus	P. phaseolicol		F. oxysporium	_
				Ligand		22 ± 0.2	13 ± 0.1		17 ± 0.2	-
15.	88 89	and the NO3	Stir, 30 min Reflux, 6 h	88		37 ± 0.4	26 ± 0.1		31 ± 0.2	[55]
			,	89		32 ± 0.2	23 ± 0.1		30 ± 0.2	_
		° 89 04 0H2 0H2		Cephalothin Chloramphenico Cycloheximide	ol	42	36		40	_
						Z	Zone of inhibition	, mm		
		~ S S			S. epidermidis	E. faecalis	S. aureus	P. mirabilis	C. albicans	-
	96		Reflux and	94	5	9	7	** R	** R	-
16.	97		stirring, 4–5 h	95	6	8	9	** R	** R	[57]
		96,97 ×		96	14	15	12	8	** R	_
		X N C		97	12	8	7	22	** R	_
				Amoxicillin	28	26	27	44		_
						Z	Zone of inhibition	, mm		_
					E. coli	P. aeruginosa	C. albicans	S. aureus	C. glabrata	
	100		Reflux and	98	11	15	15	19	11	
17.	100 101	100 da	stirring, 50	99	12	12	12	18	12	[59]
			min	100	22	19	20	16	<10	
		101		101	20	16	13	20	12	_
				Tetracycline Nystatin	25	20	19	23	16	
						Z	Zone of inhibition	, mm		
18	105		Reflux, 15 h		E. coli	S. epidermidis	A. niger	A. flavus	C. lunata	[60]
10.	200	H GAS JNH		104	** R	6	11	9	10	_ [~~]
		L J		105	15	15	16	14	15	

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial Biological Activity Re						Ref.
						Z	one of inhibition,	mm		
					Bacillus	Staphylococcus	E. coli	S. rolfsii	M. phaseolina	_
19.	34		Reflux, 8–9 h	33	1	1	1	2	8	[30]
		F H20	,	34	4	3	4	6	14	
				Streptomycin Mancozeb	9	11	5	18	24	
						Z	one of inhibition,	mm		
			Reflux, 4–5 h		S. aureus	E. coli	A. niger	C. albicans	F. moniliforme	_
20.	109	H ₃ C Fe CH ₃		109	3.02	** R	15.80	7.44	** R	[61]
		<_>→−м_<_>>-он		Chloramphenico Amphotericin	^{ol} 15.11	25.44	15.78	23.23	12.58	
		Prr Mar CHo				Z	one of inhibition,	mm		
21.	110	CL FO NO ON OF OH	Reflux, 15–16 h							[62]
		HC NYPh			S. pyrogenes	E. coli		S. typhi		_
		HQ		110	25	16		19		_
						Zone of inhibi	tion, mm (concen	tration, mg/mL)		
		HQ OH2			B. subtilis	B. megaterium	P. aeroginosa	K. pneumonia	E. aerogenes	_
22.	113		Reflux, 6 h	111	40±0.47(0.2)	34±0.81(0.2)	42±1.24(1)	36±0.47(0.2)	45 ± 0.00	[64]
				112	$30 \pm 0.81(0.2)$	22±0.81(0.5)	33±0.81(0.2)	** R	28 ± 0.00	
				113	$21 \pm 0.00(0.2)$	** R	36±1.24(1)	** R	** R	_
				Erythromycin	20 ± 0.00	25±0.47	19±0.47	19±0.00	27 ± 1.24	

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	n Antimicrobial Biological Activity Ref.						Ref.	
		[a] 0 = 0 a 7				Z	one of inhibition,	mm			
					S. aureus	P. aureginosa	E. coli	S. typhii	Aspergillus sp.	Penicillium sp.	-
	120			119	36	08	10	10	48	29	-
23.	121		Reflux, 8 h	120	30	36	41	42	68	61	-
	122	X		121	24	25	22	28	51	54	
		120 Cl 121 NO ₃		122	62	65	33	35	80	66	-
		122 OAc		Imipenem Miconazole	100	100	100	100	57	65	-
						Z	one of inhibition,	mm			
					X. campestris	B. megaterium	C. michiganensis	M. fructicola		P. digitatum	-
24.	123		Reflux, 3 h	Ligand	30	28	32	36.0 ± 3.1		28.0±3.5	[35]
				123	26	19	20	62.5 ± 6.2		62.5 ± 8.2	_
				Tetracycline Azoxystrobin	34	28	30	45.3 ± 2.1		58.1 ± 1.2	-
				Zone of inhibition, mm (concentration, µg/mL)							
					E. coli	B. cereus	P. fluorescens	B. cinerea		A. flavus	-
25.	124			Ligand	20	12	11	0.0 ± 0.0		0.00 ± 0.0	[69]
		NH5"NQ PN TNH5	Keflux, 3 h	124	12	12	18	6.7 ± 2.3		6.7±2.6	_
		-		Tetracycline Cycloheximide	14	10	8	42.2±2.6		9.7±3.0	_
		_				Z	Cone of inhibition,	mm			
		CH3			S. aureus	B. subtilis	E. coli	C. albicans			_
26.	30		Stir and reflux,	Ligand	19	25	24	25			[29]
			4 h	30	17	16	19	15			
		_		Gentamycin Ketoconazole	24	26	30	20			

No.	Complex No.	Structures of Synthesized Complexes	Reaction Conditions	Antimicrobial I	Biological Activ	ity			Ref.
							Zone of inhibition	<i>,</i> mm	
			Stirring and			S. aureus	E. coli		
27.	125		reflux, 1 h	Ligand		0.00	9		[72]
				125		10	10		
				Amikacin		10	6		
						:	Zone of inhibition	<i>,</i> mm	
	28					S. typhimurium	1	C. albicans	
28.	39 39		Stirring, 2 h	Ligand		** R		8	
	40		Reflux, 12–15 h	38		** R		14	[31]
				39		15		22	
				40		** R		R	
		Ph C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		Cephalothin		36			
		40		Cycloheximide				35	
							Zone of inh	ibition, mm/mg	
					E. coli	S. aureus	C. albicans	A. flavus	
29.	129		Stirring and	128	14	12	10	0	[75]
		ОНОН	reflux, 1 h	129	13	11	12	14	[75]
		Fe -		Amikacin	6	10	-	-	
				Ketoconazole	-	-	9	8	

[†] Values written as these have been reported in the literature. ** R = 0 = Resistant.

2.3. Imine–Iron Complexes as Antioxidants

Oxidative metabolism is one of the crucial factors for cell survival. Free radicals and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced because of this reliance, which leads to oxidative alterations. When too many free radicals are produced, the ROS concentration becomes above average, which can overwhelm protective enzymes and have detrimental and fatal effects on cells by oxidizing membrane lipids, cellular proteins, DNA, and enzymes, which stops cellular respiration [18]. The way to counter the reaction of these free radicals is to introduce an antioxidant, which can be experimentally carried out using assays such as DPPH [77]. Compounds of metal chelates like iron-imine complexes offer advantages over conventional synthetic antioxidants because of the different geometry, oxidation states, and coordination numbers of metal chelates that support and promote the redox processes linked to antioxidant action. In its high oxidation state, the metal ion's ability to extract electrons influences the antioxidant activity of the resultant complex by moving the ligand's electron density to the metal center, where it functions as a modulator agent. When the metal is in its low oxidation state, it behaves in the opposite way. These actions significantly alter the ligand's electrical charge distribution, facilitating the loss of electrons and raising the complex's capacity to scavenge radicals. A metal ion like iron (Fe), which is found in many biologically privileged structures and essential to an organism's ability to function, is useful in constructing novel chelate antioxidants because it lowers toxicity [78].

Turan et al. synthesized an imine ligand and its octahedral imine–iron(II) complex **126** (Figure 1) and evaluated their in vitro antioxidant activity using 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl-hydrazyl-hydrate (DPPH) radical scavenging, 2,2'-azino-*bis*(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) cation radical scavenging, and the ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP). In the ABTS assay, a compound's antioxidant ability is measured based on the reduction of ABTS•+ cation radicals [79]. Complex **126** (0.6) demonstrated weak ABTS•+ radical scavenging activity, while the parent ligand exhibited no discernible ABTS•+ radical scavenging activity. The molecule's structure and single electron transfer potential influence the results. The complex showed a more enhanced DPPH radical scavenging ability (1.25) than the ligand (1.35) itself, but this activity was moderate when compared with the standard drugs (0.10–0.31). The FRAP method measures a compound's ability to cause the reduction of ferric ions (Fe³⁺) to ferrous ions (Fe²⁺). The ligand (0.5) showed an antioxidant ability similar to that of **126** (0.4) in this assay (Table 3). The antioxidant potency of a series of compounds has been studied for the potential that they can be influenced by the aromatic ring and the number of hydroxyl groups present in a compound [80,81].

El-Lateef et al. explored imine–iron complexes **3** and **4** (Scheme 1) for their antioxidant activity using the DPPH assay. The results revealed that the free ligand and its metal complexes have better antioxidant activity than the standard antioxidant agent vitamin C (55 μ g/mL). The complexes showed enhanced activity compared to the free ligands (**1** = 45 μ g/mL, **2** = 32 μ g/mL), with **3** possessing the highest DPPH free radical scavenging ability with an IC₅₀ value of 22 μ g/mL (Table 3). The results indicate that the complexes had greater antioxidant effects against the DPPH free radical than standard vitamin C and can be considered antioxidant drug candidates. This study did not state the reason for the exceptional activities of the ligands and complexes [21].

Naureen et al. explored the antioxidant activity of **100** and **101** (Scheme 19) using the DPPH assay. The free imine ligands **98** and **99** demonstrated better antioxidant activity (1.23 and 1.02 μ g/mL, respectively) than their iron complexes, **100** (1.70 μ g/mL) and **101** (1.41 μ g/mL). The free ligand **99** showed better antioxidant activity (1.02 μ g/mL) than the standard vitamin C (1.14 μ g/mL). Generally, both the free ligand and iron complexes exhibited good free radical scavenging abilities (Table 3). The modes of action of the ligands and their complexes were not outlined [59].

The tetradentate Schiff base **129** was synthesized along with its Fe complex **130** (Scheme 24) by Said et al. An in vitro antioxidant activity was determined using the DPPH radical scavenging, ferric thiocyanate (FTC), hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

(HRSA), and hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity methods. Complex **129** demonstrated a better free radical scavenging ability than the synthesized complex **130** in the DPPH radical scavenging, FTC, and HRSA methods with IC₅₀ values of 53.55, 48.81, and 63.43, respectively, whereas those of **130** were 44.65, 9.47, and 30.29. The complex showed moderate activity compared to the standard Trolox and BHA in the DPPH radical scavenging, FTC, and HRSA methods (Table 3). It, however, demonstrated a better ability (93.74 µg/mL) to remove H₂O₂ from the reaction mixture than **129** (92.52 µg/mL) and the standards Trolox (91.80 µg/mL) and BHA (92.97 µg/mL) when the hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity method was employed (Table 3). Due to the presence of the hydroxyl group on the ligand, its antioxidant activity was expected to be higher than that observed in this study, and this may be due to the steric hindrance or the presence of bulky donating groups (or both), making it challenging for the ligand to supply the hydrogen atom (H) to the DPPH radical [82].



Scheme 24. (a) Synthesis of complex 129. (b) Synthesis of complex 130.

Hayder et al. synthesized the new imine ligand **135** and its octahedral imine–iron complex **136** (Scheme 25). The antioxidant activity of **135** and **136** was evaluated using the DPPH radical scavenging activity method. The iron complex showed an enhanced ability to scavenge DPPH· radicals (49% scavenging) than the free ligand (24% scavenging). Compared to the standard ascorbic acid (82% scavenging), the complex showed a moderate ability to scavenge the free radicals in the reaction mixture (Table 3) [16].

Elshafie et al. evaluated complex **124** (Figure 1) for its in vitro antioxidant activity. The free imine ligand and complex **124** both showed a high antioxidant activity (164.6%), with the iron complex being slightly higher than the ligand (169.7%). Complex **124** can donate hydrogen to scavenge the free radicals, hence reducing the oxidation process (Table 3) [69].



Scheme 25. Synthesis of complex 136.

Borase et al. conducted an antioxidant assay on the metal complex **109** (Scheme 21) to determine its free radical scavenging ability, and it proved to have moderate antioxidant activity (1615.22 μ g/mL) (Table 3). The results of the antioxidant activity of ligands were not given, and subsequent comparisons could not be made [61].

Savcı et al. investigated 111, imine ligand 112, and its imine-iron complex 113 (Scheme 22) for their antioxidant activity using DPPH radical scavenging, total antioxidant activity, FRAP, and CUPRAC activity. The results obtained revealed that the iron complex **113** (0.7) had a high ability to remove DPPH from the reaction mixture when compared to 111 (1.9), 112 (0.8), and the standard BHT (1.1). For the total antioxidant activity assay, both 112 (0.62)) and 113 (0.61) showed a similar potential to the standard BHA (0.60) in eliminating lipid peroxide from the reaction mixture and an enhanced potential compared to the standard BHT (0.40). In the FRAP assay, 111 (0.06) showed the lowest reduction capacity in reducing the Fe^{3+} ions, followed by the standard BHT (0.08), complex (0.11), and BHA (0.2), and the ligand 112 (0.38) showed the highest activity. Finally, the CUPRAC method confirmed the results of the other assays, with **111** indicating the lowest antioxidant activity (Table 3). Most of the inhibitor's antioxidant effect comes from its ability to donate one electron or hydrogen to the radical centers formed in biological systems, thus neutralizing them. The inhibitor's structure and characteristics are critical factors in demonstrating activity [49]. Potential sites for biochemically active substances connected to the balance of molecular proton transfer and hydrogen bonds can be found in the Schiff bases. The biological activity of the Schiff base [83] is typically increased by complexes formed with transition metals. Hence, the good antioxidant activity of both the ligand and complex was achieved in this study [84].

Table 3. Products, synthesis conditions, and antioxidant activity of selected imine–iron complexes using DPPH, H₂O₂SA (hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity assay), %RSA (radical scavenging activity), and total antioxidant assay (TAC) ⁺.

Entry No.	Complex No.	Structures	Reaction Condition	Antioxida	nt Activity (IC ₅₀ /µg/mL)	Ref.
		R]			DPPH	
				1	45	_
1.	3	Br N ONO2 N Br NH2O	Reflux (2 h)	3	22	[21]
	1	Fe Fe		2	53	
				4	32	_
		3:R=CI n=1 4:R=H n=2		Vit C	55	_

Entry No.	Complex No.	Structures	Reaction Condition	Antioxida	nt Activity (I	C ₅₀ /μg/mL)			Ref.
		H _s co			DPPH				
				98	1.23				_
				100	1.70				
		OR RO R1		99	1.02				
2.	100 101	100	(50 min)	101	1.41				[59]
		H ₂ CO H ₃ CO H ₃ CO H ₃ CO CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI		Vit C	1.14				
		[]			ABTS (734 nm)	DPPH (517 nm)	FI (7	RAP '00 nm)	
				Ligand	1.90	1.35	0.	50	_
3.	126	s H	Reflux (10 min) and	126	0.60	1.25	0.	40	[81]
		H ₂ O OH 3H ₂ O	stirring (24 h)	Ascorbic acid	0.00	0.10	2.	10	,
		H₂Ó CI ∖		BHA	0.00	0.18	2.	90	_
				BHT	0.00	0.31	2.	30	
					DPPH	H_2O_2 SA	FTC	HRSA	
			Reflux and stir	129	$\begin{array}{c} 53.55 \pm \\ 2.95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 92.52 \\ \pm \ 0.07 \end{array}$	48.81 ± 5.04	$\begin{array}{c} 63.43 \\ \pm \ 5.66 \end{array}$	
4.	130		(overnight)	130	$\begin{array}{c} 44.65 \pm \\ 1.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93.74 \\ \pm \ 0.43 \end{array}$	9.47 ± 2.191	30.29 ± 0.81	[82]
		\wedge \wedge		Trolox	$\begin{array}{c} 85.42 \pm \\ 0.04 \end{array}$	91.80 ± 1.77	90.45 ± 6.70	57.72 ± 1.62	_
				BHA	75.69 ± 0.11	$\begin{array}{c} 92.97 \\ \pm \ 0.98 \end{array}$	50.57 ± 5.42	$\begin{array}{c} 10.00 \\ \pm \ 3.64 \end{array}$	
					DPPH (% s	scavenging)			_
				135	24				
5.	136	H _C CH	Reflux (3 h)	136	49				[16]
				Ascorbic acid	82				
					%RSA				
				Ligand	169.7				
6.	124		Reflux (3 h)	124	164.6				[69]
					DPPH				
7.	109		Reflux (4–5 h)	109	1615.22				[61]
					DPPH	Total antiox- idant	FRAP	CUPRA	\C
0		Fe CI.4H ₂ O	D - (lever ((le)	111	1.9	0.64	0.06	0.30	
δ.	113		Reflux (6 h) 111 111	112	0.8	0.62	0.38	3.50	[64]
				113	0.70	0.61	0.11	1.20	
		L ~ F ~]		BHT	0.60	0.60	0.08	3.20	_
				BHA	1.20	0.44	0.20	3.10	

⁺ Values are written as reported in the literature. DPPH: 2,2-diphenylpicrylhydrazyl, FTC: ferric thiocyanate, FRAP: Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power, CUPRAC: CUPric Reducing Antioxidant Capacity, BHA: beta hydroxy acid, BHT: butylated hydroxytoluene, HRSA: hydroxyl radical scavenging activity.

2.4. Other Pharmacological Activities of the Imine–Iron Complexes

Iron-imine complexes have been found to possess anti-inflammatory properties, and their mechanism of action has been studied extensively. One of the primary mechanisms by which these complexes exhibit anti-inflammatory activity is inhibiting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6. These cytokines play a crucial role in the inflammatory response by recruiting immune cells to the site of inflammation and activating them. By inhibiting the production of these cytokines, iron-imine complexes can reduce the activity of immune cells and thus reduce inflammation. Additionally, ironimine complexes have been found to inhibit the activity of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), an enzyme that plays a key role in producing prostaglandins. Prostaglandins are lipid mediators that are involved in the inflammatory response, and their production is increased during inflammation. By inhibiting COX-2 activity, iron-imine complexes can reduce the production of prostaglandins and thus reduce inflammation. Iron-imine complexes have also been found to inhibit the activity of NF- $\kappa\beta$, a transcription factor that plays a crucial role in regulating immune and inflammatory responses. NF- $\kappa\beta$ is activated in response to various stimuli, including pro-inflammatory cytokines, and its activation results in the transcription of genes involved in the inflammatory response. By inhibiting NF- $\kappa\beta$ activity, iron-imine complexes can reduce the expression of inflammatory genes and thus reduce inflammation.

Kumar et al. screened imine–iron complexes **120**, **121**, and **122** (Scheme 23) for their in vivo anti-inflammatory activity using albino rats. All the complexes showed anti-inflammatory activity higher than that of the standard drug phenyl butazone (18.2% anti-inflammatory activity), with **122** (31.1%) exhibiting the highest activity at the same concentration of 25 mg/kg. Complex **121** showed the least anti-inflammatory activity (27.2%). Compared to the complexes, the ligand (9.0%) showed very low anti-inflammatory drug candidate. The increased anti-inflammatory activity of the complex in relation to the ligand can be explained by the chelation theory, which describes the increase in polarity and the lipophilic nature of the complex due to chelation and how this causes it to efficiently cross the lipid layer, affecting the desired anti-inflammatory action [66].

Imine–iron complexes have shown a few other medicinal activities. Ahmed et al. screened complex **125** (Figure 1) on coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) using molecular docking. The molecular docking studies investigated the interaction that exists between the complex and the crystal structure of the virus's (SARS-CoV-2) main protease with unliganded active site (2019-nCoV, coronavirus disease 2019, or COVID-19) (PDB ID: 6Y84) proteins. The imine–iron complex **125** (Figure 1) had low energy, (-8.5 kcal/mol), which means it has a strong binding affinity and can inhibit the biochemical processes of the proteins, inhibiting viral capability (Table 4) [72].

Elkanzi et al. synthesized imine–iron complex **139** (Scheme 26) and screened its in vitro anti-inflammatory activity using the anti-denaturation method of egg albumin. Heat applied to the egg denatures the egg albumin, and the denatured protein produces certain antigens. These antigens are linked to type-III hypersensitivity reactions, which cause several diseases. The anti-inflammatory assay analyses test an agent's ability to limit the denaturation process. The result obtained from this study showed that **139** exhibited a moderate percentage of inhibition (0.70) as compared to the ligand (0.13) and standard anti-inflammatory drug ibuprofen (2.9) at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL (Table 4). The inverse relationship between the dipole moment of the complex and its activity explains the reduced activity of the complex. The dipole moment of the complex (10.11) is higher than that of the ligand (5.91), and this increases the polarity and decreases the lipophilic nature of the complex, lowering its efficiency to passing through the lipid layer, hence making it less efficient as an anti-inflammatory agent [83,84].



Scheme 26. Synthesis of complex 139.

 Table 4. Products, synthesis conditions, and biological activities of selected imine-iron complexes[†].

Entry No.	Complex No.	Structures	Reaction Conditions	Biological Activiti	ies	Ref
					Anti-inflammatory activity (%)	
	120			119	9.00	-
1.	121 122		Reflux, 8 h	120	28.50	[66]
	144			121	27.20	
				122	31.10	-
		121 NO ₃ 122 OAc		Phenyl butazone	18.20	-
					Binding energy (kcal/m	ol)
				Ligand	-2.1	-
2.	125		Stirring and reflux, 1 h	125	-8.5	[72]
		0=\$-{CH ₃			% Inhibition of heat-induced denaturation of proteins	
3. 1	139	OH ₂	Reflux 12 h	138	0.13	[84]
	157	O Fe O	iteliux, 12 it	139	0.70	
			_	Ibuprofen	2.9	

⁺ Values are written as reported in the literature.

3. Conclusions

While nitrogen is a vital macro-nutrient, iron is a significant micro-nutrient in the human body. Accordingly, the biocompatibility (possibility of being bioavailable) of ironimine complexes in the human body is higher than that of other organometallic complexes. This article discusses the recent development of organo-iron compounds as medicinally privileged compounds. As discussed herein, some of the iron-imine complexes demonstrated good-to-excellent pharmacological activity in several dreadful diseases like different types of cancers and microbial, oxidation, and inflammation-related diseases. The observed anticancer activity of iron-imine complexes is believed to be due to their tailored delivery and different mechanisms of action, which include altering iron metabolism, producing reactive oxygen species (ROS), and blocking key enzymes. As antimicrobial agents, they outperform many conventional antimicrobial agents due to novel mechanisms of action, broad-spectrum activity, and biofilm disruption, which can be traced to the chelation process, the toxicity of metal ions against bacteria, and the improvement in the hydrophobicity and liposolubility of the molecules due to the presence of an azomethine linkage in the complex. The different geometry, oxidation states, and coordination numbers of metal chelates like iron complexes support and promote the redox processes linked to antioxidant action. One of the primary mechanisms by which these complexes exhibit anti-inflammatory activity is inhibiting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6. They also inhibit the activity of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). This enzyme plays a key role in the production of prostaglandins (lipid mediators that are involved in the inflammatory response, and their production is increased during inflammation).

Iron (Fe), complex in many biological structures and essential to an organism's ability to function, can be useful in constructing novel chelate drugs because it can possibly lower toxicity. The chemistry and biology of iron is still under investigation. Quite recently, a unique version of an iron-dependent non-apoptotic cell death procedure was reported [85,86]. Iron–imine complexes can be developed as a valuable probe for antimicrobial, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant drug development. Accordingly, iron–imine complexes can play a crucial role in future drug development research. In a nutshell, iron, the fourth most abundant element in the Earth's crust, can form various non-toxic complexes with imines. Iron–imine complexes have demonstrated diverse medicinal activities, and some of these derivatives have shown promise in becoming commercial drugs in the future.

Author Contributions: D.B. conceived the idea and designed this study. J.A. collected the literature. J.A. and E.O. wrote the manuscript, and G.R. organized the manuscript. D.B. and G.R. contributed to editorial changes in the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially funded by the core facilities of CPRIT Integrated Cancer Research Core (ICRC) (RP210180) and UT-System and part of CPRIT South Texas Center of Excellence in Cancer Research (RP230419). DB is thankful to the Agricultural and Food Research Initiative grant no. 2022-68018-36606 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture. We acknowledge the funding support from the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley Faculty SEED Grant (to D.B.) and the School of Integrative Biological and Chemical Sciences for the Departmental Grant from the Robert A. Welch Foundation (Grant No. BX-0048).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data are available online. No unpublished data have been used in this paper.

Acknowledgments: We thank the School of Integrative Biological and Chemical Sciences (University of Texas Rio Grande Valley) for providing infrastructural support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Vija, K.J.; Abhishek, P.; Mohit, P.; Shweta, C.T.; Om, P.; Akansha, A.; Viveka, N. Schiff base metal complexes as a versatile catalyst: A review. J. Organomet. Chem. 2023, 999, 122825. [CrossRef]
- Manvatkar, V.D.; Patle, R.Y.; Meshram, P.H.; Dongre, R.S. Azomethine-functionalized organic–inorganic framework: An overview. *Chem. Pap.* 2023, 77, 5641–5662. [CrossRef]

- Ying, K.L.; Mohand, M.; Dominik, M.; Guy, B. An Air-Stable "Masked" Bis (imino) carbene: A Carbon-Based Dual Ambiphile. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2023, 145, 2064–2069. [CrossRef]
- Chérifa, B.; Hana, F.; Amel, D.; Amel, D.; Abdesalem, K.; Abir, B.; Ahmad, S.D.; Tarek, R.; Rajesh, V.; Yacine, B. Schiff bases and their metal Complexes: A review on the history, synthesis, and applications. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2023, 150, 110451. [CrossRef]
- El-Attar, M.S.; Elshafie, H.S.; Sadeek, S.A.; El-Farargy, A.F.; El-Desoky, S.I.; El-Shwiniy, W.H.; Camele, I. Biochemical Characterization and Antimicrobial Activity against Some Human or Phyto-Pathogens of New Diazonium Heterocyclic Metal Complexes. *Chem. Biodivers.* 2022, 19, 2. [CrossRef]
- Anjali Krishna, G.T.; Dhanya, M.; Shanty, A.A.; Raghu, K.G.; Mohanan, P.V. Transition metal complexes of imidazole derived Schiff bases: Antioxidant/anti-inflammatory/antimicrobial/enzyme inhibition and cytotoxicity properties. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2023, 1274, 134384. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.; Song, Q.; Jin, Y.; Feng, Y.; Li, J.; Zhang, K. Advances in Schiff Base and Its Coating on Metal Biomaterials—A Review. *Metals* 2023, 13, 386. [CrossRef]
- Sohtun, W.P.; Khamrang, T.; Kannan, A.; Balakrishnan, G.; Saravanan, D.; Akhbarsha, M.A.; Velusamy, M.; Palaniandavar, M. Iron(III) bis-complexes of Schiff bases of S -methyldithiocarbazates: Synthesis, structure, spectral and redox properties and cytotoxicity. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2020, 34, e5593. [CrossRef]
- 9. Tukki, S.; Samya, B.; Akhtar, H. Significant photocytotoxic effect of an iron (iii) complex of a Schiff base ligand derived from vitamin B6 and thiosemicarbazide in visible light. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 29276–29284. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dubey, R.K.; Mariya, A.; Mishra, S.K. Synthesis and spectral (ir, nmr, fab-ms and xrd) characterization of lanthanide complexes containing bidentate schiff base derived from sulphadiazine and ovanillin. *Int. J. Basic Appl. Sci.* **2011**, *1*, 70–78.
- Basu, U.; Roy, M.; Chakravarty, A.R. Recent advances in the chemistry of iron-based chemotherapeutic agents. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2020, 417, 213339. [CrossRef]
- 12. Satya, D.P.; Logesh, R.; Dhanabal, P.; Suresh, M.K. Importance of Iron Absorption in Human Health: An Overview. *Curr. Nutr. Food Sci.* **2021**, *17*, 293–301.
- Kargar, H.; Fallah-Mehrjardi, M.; Behjatmanesh-Ardakani, R.; Munawar, K.S.; Ashfaq, M.; Tahir, M.N. Diverse coordination of isoniazid hydrazone Schiff base ligand towards iron (III): Synthesis, characterization, SC-XRD, HSA, QTAIM, MEP, NCI, NBO and DFT study. J. Mol. Struct. 2022, 1250, 131691. [CrossRef]
- 14. Lee, H.W.; Jeong, G.-U.; Kim, M.-C.; Kim, D.; Kim, S.; Han, S.S. Atomistic origin of mechanochemical NH3 synthesis on Fe catalysts. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2023**, *48*, 3931–3941. [CrossRef]
- 15. Peterson, P.O.; Joannou, M.V.; Simmons, E.M.; Wisniewski, S.R.; Kim, J.; Chirik, P.J. Iron-Catalyzed C(sp²)-C(sp³) Suzuki-Miyaura Cross-Coupling Using an Alkoxide Base. *ACS Catal.* **2023**, *13*, 2443–2448. [CrossRef]
- 16. Hayder, M.; Hayder, A.M. In vitro antioxidant activity of new Schiff base ligand and its metal ion complexes. *J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **2019**, *11*, 2051–2061.
- 17. Yahaya, N.P.; Mukhtar, M.S. Synthesis, Characterization and Antibacterial Activity of Mixed Ligands of Schiff Base and Its Metal (II) Complexes Derived from Ampicilin, 3-Aminophenol and Benzaldehyde. *Sci. J. Chem.* **2021**, *9*, 9–13. [CrossRef]
- Antolovich, M.; Prenzler, P.D.; Patsalides, E.; McDonald, S.; Robards, K. Methods for testing antioxidant activity. *Anal. R. Soc. Chem.* 2002, 27, 183–198. [CrossRef]
- 19. Bharti, S.; Singh, S. Metal Based Drugs: Current Use and Future Potential. Der Pharm. Lett. 2009, 1, 39–51.
- 20. Li, Y.; Qian, C.; Li, Y.; Yang, Y.; Lin, D.; Liu, X.; Chen, C. Syntheses, crystal structures of two Fe(III) Schiff base complexes with chelating o-vanillin aroylhydrazone and exploration of their bio-relevant activities. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2021**, *218*, 111405. [CrossRef]
- El-Lateef, H.M.A.; Khalaf, M.M.; Shehata, M.R.; Abu-Dief, A.M. Fabrication, DFT Calculation, and Molecular Docking of Two Fe(III) Imine Chelates as Anti-COVID-19 and Pharmaceutical Drug Candidate. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2022, 23, 3994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Bednarski, P.; Nguyen, Q.T.; Pham, T.P.N.; Nguyen, V.T. Synthesis, Characterization, and In Vitro Cytotoxicity of Unsymmetrical Tetradentate Schiff Base Cu(II) and Fe(III) Complexes. *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* **2021**, 2021, 6696344.
- 23. Zhao, W.L. Targeted therapy in T-cell malignancies: Dysregulation of the cellular signaling pathways. *Leukemia* **2010**, *24*, 13–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sadeghi, M.S.; Lotfi, M.; Soltani, N.; Farmani, E.; Fernandez, J.H.O.; Akhlaghitehrani, S.; Mohammed, S.H.; Yasamineh, S.; Kalajahi, H.G.; Gholizadeh, O. Recent advances on high-efficiency of microRNAs in different types of lung cancer: A comprehensive review. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2023, 23, 284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gujrati, H.; Ha, S.; Wang, B.D. Deregulated microRNAs Involved in Prostate Cancer Aggressiveness and Treatment Resistance Mechanisms. *Cancers* 2023, 15, 3140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yan, Z.X.; Zheng, Z.; Xue, W.; Zhao, M.Z.; Fei, X.C.; Wu, L.L.; Huang, L.M.; Leboeuf, C.; Janin, A.; Wang, L.; et al. Is Overexpressed in T-Cell Leukemia/Lymphoma and Related to Chemoresistance. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 197241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kalındemirtaş, F.D.; Kaya, B.; Bener, M.; Şahin, O.; Kuruca, S.; Demirci, T.B.; Ülküseven, B. Iron(III) complexes based on tetradentate thiosemicarbazones: Synthesis, characterization, radical scavenging activity and in vitro cytotoxicity on K562, P3HR1 and JURKAT cells. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2021, 35, e6157. [CrossRef]
- Wongsuwan, S.; Chatwichien, J.; Pinchaipat, B. Synthesis, characterization and anticancer activity of Fe(II) and Fe(III) complexes containing N-(8-quinolyl)salicylaldimine Schiff base ligands. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 26, 327–339. [CrossRef]

- 29. Ismail, B.A.; Nassar, D.A.; El–Wahab Abd, Z.H.; Ali, A.M.O. Synthesis, characterization, thermal, DFT computational studies and anticancer activity of furfural-type schiff base complexes. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2021**, 1227, 129393. [CrossRef]
- 30. Kavitha, B.; Sravanthi, M.; Reddy, P.S. Studies on DNA binding, cleavage, molecular docking, antimicrobial and anticancer activities of Cr(III), Fe(III), Co(II) and Cu(II) complexes of o-vanillin and fluorobenzamine Schiff base ligand. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2022**, *36*, e6531. [CrossRef]
- Abdelrahman, M.S.A.; Omar, F.M.; Saleh, A.A.; El-ghamry, M.A. Synthesis, molecular modeling, and docking studies of a new pyridazinone-acid hydrazone ligand, and its nano metal complexes. Spectroscopy, thermal analysis, electrical properties, DNA cleavage, antitumor, and antimicrobial activities. J. Mol. Struct. 2022, 1251, 131947. [CrossRef]
- Farhan, L.K.; Awad, M.A.; Kshash, A.H. Synthesis, Characterization and Evaluation Anti-cancer Activity of Fe(III), Co(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) Complexes Derived from Heterocyclic Schiff bases Ligands. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 2019, 11, 1577–1581.
- Claudel, M.; Schwarte, J.V.; Fromm, K.M. New Antimicrobial Strategies Based on Metal Complexes. *Chemistry* 2020, 2, 849–899. [CrossRef]
- 34. Angelo, F.; Alysha, G.E.; Alex, K.; Hue, D.; Stefan, B.; Alice, E.B.; Mitchell, R.B.; Feng, C.; Dhirgam, H.; Nicole Jung, A.P.K.; et al. Metal complexes as antifungals? From a crowd-sourced compound library to the first in vivo experiments. *JACS Au* 2022, *2*, 2277–2294. [CrossRef]
- 35. Mohamed, A.A.; Elshafie, H.S.; Sadeek, S.A.; Camele, I. Biochemical Characterization, Phytotoxic Effect and Antimicrobial Activity against Some Phytopathogens of New Gemifloxacin Schiff Base Metal Complexes. *Chem. Biodivers.* 2021, 18, 9. [CrossRef]
- Raman, S.R.N.; Johnson, A.S. Transition metal complexes with Schiff-base ligands: 4-aminoantipyrine based derivatives—A review. J. Coord. Chem. 2009, 62, 691–709. [CrossRef]
- 37. Chohan, Z.H.; Shaikh, A.U.; Naseer, M.M.; Supran, C.T. In-vitro antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of metal-based furanyl derived sulfonamides. *J. Enzym. Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *21*, 771–781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chohan, Z.H.; Arif, M.; Akhtar, M.A.; Supuran, C.T. Metal-Based Antibacterial and Antifungal Agents: Synthesis, Characterization, and In Vitro Biological Evaluation of Co(II), Cu(II), Ni(II), and Zn(II) Complexes with Amino Acid-Derived Compounds. *Bioinorg. Chem. Appl.* 2006, 2006, 83131. [CrossRef]
- Tsacheva, I.; Todorova, Z.; Momekova, D.; Momekov, G.; Koseva, N. Pharmacological Activities of Schiff Bases and Their Derivatives with Low and High Molecular Phosphonates. *Pharmaceuticals* 2023, 16, 938. [CrossRef]
- Rahmatabadi, F.D.; Khojasteh, R.R.; Fard, H.K. New Cr, Mo, W, and Fe Metal Complexes with Potentially Heptadentate (S₃N₄) Tripodal Schiff Base Ligand: Synthesis, Characterization, and Antibacterial Activity. *Russ. J. Gen. Chem.* 2020, 90, 1317–1321. [CrossRef]
- 41. Parekh, J.; Inamdhar, P.; Nair, R.; Baluja, S.; Chanda, S. Synthesis and antibacterial activity of some Schiff bases derived from 4-aminobenzoic acid. *J. Serbian Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *70*, 1155–1162. [CrossRef]
- Shukla, S.N.; Gaur, P.; Vaidya, P.; Chaurasia, B.; Jhariya, S. Biomimetic complexes of Mn(II), Fe(III), Co(II), and Ni(II) with 1,10phenanthroline and a salen type ligand: Tailored synthesis, characterization, DFT, enzyme kinetics, and antibacterial screening. *J. Coord. Chem.* 2018, 71, 3912–3933. [CrossRef]
- 43. Gehad, G.M.; Carmen, M.S. Metal complexes of Schiff base derived from sulphametrole and o-vanilin: Synthesis, spectral, thermal characterization and biological activity. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2007**, *66*, 949–958. [CrossRef]
- Gowda, K.R.S.; Naik, H.S.B.; Kumar, B.V.; Sudhamani, C.N.; Sudeep, H.V.; Naik, T.R.R.; Krishnamurthy, G. Synthesis, antimicrobial, DNA-binding and photonuclease studies of Cobalt(III) and Nickel(II) Schiff base complexes. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A* 2013, 105, 229–237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abu-Dief, A.M.; Nassr, L.A.M.E. Tailoring, physicochemical characterization, anti-bacterial and DNA binding mode studies of Cu (II) Schiff bases amino acid bioactive agents incorporating 5-bromo-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde. J. Iran. Chem. Soc. 2015, 12, 943–955. [CrossRef]
- 46. Tweedy, B.G. Plant extracts with metal ions as potential antimicrobial agents. *Phytopathology* 1964, 55, 910–914.
- 47. Karem, L.K.A.; Al-Noor, T.H. Mixed Ligand Complexes of Schiff Base and Nicotinamide: Synthesis, Characterization and Antimicrobial Activities. J. Phys. Conf. 2020, 1660, 012094. [CrossRef]
- Shukla, S.N.; Gaur, P.; Raidas, M.L.; Chaurasia, B.; Bagri, S.S. Novel NNO pincer type Schiff base ligand and its complexes of Fe(III), Co(II) and Ni(II): Synthesis, spectroscopic characterization, DFT, antibacterial and anticorrosion study. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2021, 1240, 130582. [CrossRef]
- 49. Ibrahim, M.; Khan, A.; Ikram, M.; Rehman, S.; Shah, M.; Un Nabi, H.; Ahuchaogu, A.A. Ahuchaogu, in vitro Antioxidant Properties of Novel Schiff Base Complexes. *Asian J. Chem. Sci.* 2017, 2, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 50. Anacona, J.R.; Ruiz, K.; Loroño, M.; Celis, F. Antibacterial activity of transition metal complexes containing a tridentate NNO phenoxymethylpenicillin-based Schiff base. An anti-MRSA iron (II) complex. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* **2019**, 33, e4744. [CrossRef]
- Mumtaz, A.; Mahmud, T.; Elsegood, M.; Weaver, G.W. Synthesis, Characterization and in vitro Biological Evaluation of a New Schiff Base Derived from Drug and its Complexes with Transition Metal Ions. *Rev. Chim.* 2019, 69, 1678–1681. [CrossRef]
- 52. Al-Wasidi, A.S.; Naglah, A.M.; Al-Omar, M.A.; Al-Obaid, A.-R.M.; Alosaimi, E.H.; El-Metwaly, N.M.; Refat, M.S.; Ahmed, A.S.; El-Deen, I.M.; Soliman, A.H.; et al. Manganese (II), ferric (III), cobalt (II) and copper (II) thiosemicarbazone Schiff base complexes: Synthesis, spectroscopic, molecular docking and biological discussions. *Mater. Express* 2020, 10, 290–300. [CrossRef]

- 53. Kumar, K.S.; Aravindakshan, K. Synthesis, Characterization Antimicrobial and Antioxidant Studies of Complexes of Fe (III), Ni (II) and Cu (II) with Novel Schiff Base Ligand (E)-Ethyl 3-((2-Aminoethyl) Imino) Butanoate. *J. Pharm. Chem. Biol. Sci.* 2017, *5*, 177–186.
- 54. Mukhtar, H.; Sani, U.M.; Shettima, U.A. Synthesis, Physico-chemical and Antimicrobial Studies on Metal (II) Complexes with Schiff Base Derived from Salicylaldehyde and 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine. *Int. Res. J. Pure Appl. Chem.* **2019**, *19*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 55. Knittl, E.T.; Abou-Hussein, A.A.; Linert, W. Syntheses, characterization, and biological activity of novel mono- and binuclear transition metal complexes with a hydrazone Schiff base derived from a coumarin derivative and oxalyldihydrazine. *Monatshefte Für Chem. Chem. Mon.* **2018**, *149*, 431–443. [CrossRef]
- 56. Abdullah, A.A.A. Biomedical applications of selective metal complexes of indole, benzimidazole, benzothiazole and benzoxazole: A review (From 2015 to 2022). *Saudi Pharm J.* **2023**, *31*, 101698. [CrossRef]
- 57. Alosaimi, A.M.; Mannoubi, I.E.I.; Zabin, S.A. In Vitro Antimicrobial and In Vivo Molluscicidal Potentialities of Fe(III), Co(II) and Ni(II) Complexes Incorporating Symmetrical Tetradentate Schiff Bases (N₂O₂). *Orient. J. Chem.* **2020**, *36*, 373. [CrossRef]
- 58. Priteshkumar, M.T.; Rajesh, J.P.; Ranjan, K.G.; Sunil, H.C.; Ankurkumar, J.K.; Yati, H.V.; Parth, T.; Anjali, B.T.; Jatin, D.P. Synthesis, Spectral Characterization, Thermal Investigation, Computational Studies, Molecular Docking, and In Vitro Biological Activities of a New Schiff Base Derived from 2-Chloro Benzaldehyde and 3,3'-Dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4,4'-diamine. ACS Omega 2023, 8, 33069–33082. [CrossRef]
- 59. Naureen, B.; Miana, G.A.; Shahid, K.; Asghar, M.; Tanveer, S.; Sarwar, A. Iron (III) and zinc (II) monodentate Schiff base metal complexes: Synthesis, characterisation and biological activities. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2021**, 1231, 129946. [CrossRef]
- Singh, N.P.; Kumar, K.; Kumar, A.; Agarwal, U. Synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of Mn(II), Fe(II), Ni(II), Co(II) and Zn(II) complexes of schiff base derived from 2,2-Dimethylpropane 1, 3-Diamine and 5-Chloro isatin. *Rasayan J. Chem.* 2020, 13, 215–221. [CrossRef]
- 61. Borase, J.N.; Mahale, R.G.; Rajput, S.S.; Shirsath, D.S. Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of heterocyclic methyl substituted pyridine Schiff base transition metal complexes. *SN Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *3*, 197. [CrossRef]
- 62. Deshmukh, S.Y.; Padole, N.S.; Wadekar, M.P.; Chaudhari, M.A. Synthesis, Spectroscopic and Antimicrobial Studies of Cu (II) and Fe (III) Complexes of Heterocyclic Schiff Base Ligand. *J. Chem. Pharm. Res.* **2021**, *13*, 1–5.
- Sutha, S.; Perumal, S.; Liviu, M.; Jeyaprakash, D.; Sundaram, A.N. Synthesis, structural elucidation, biological, antioxidant and nuclease activities of some 5-Fluorouracil–amino acid mixed ligand complexes. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2015, 134, 333–344. [CrossRef]
- 64. Savcı, A.; Buldurun, K.; Kırkpantur, G. A new Schiff base containing 5-FU and its metal Complexes: Synthesis, Characterization, and biological activities. *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 2021, 134, 109060. [CrossRef]
- 65. Anacona, J.R.; Rodriguez, H. Metalloantibiotics: Synthesis and antibacterial activity of cefepime metal complexes. *J. Coord. Chem.* **2009**, *62*, 2212–2219. [CrossRef]
- 66. Kumar, G.; Devi, S.; Kumar, D. Synthesis of Schiff base 24-membered trivalent transition metal derivatives with their antiinflammation and antimicrobial evaluation. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2016**, *1108*, 680–688. [CrossRef]
- 67. Elshafie, H.S.; Sadeek, S.A.; Camele, I.; Mohamed, A.A. Biological and Spectroscopic Investigations of New Tenoxicam and 1. 10-Phenthroline Metal Complexes. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 1027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Sakr, S.H.; Elshafie, H.S.; Camele, I.; Sadeek, S.A. Synthesis, spectroscopic, and biological studies of mixed ligand complexes of emifloxacin and glycine with Zn (II), Sn (II), and Ce (III). *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 1182. [CrossRef]
- 69. Elshafie, H.S.; Sadeek, S.A.; Camele, I.; Mohamed, A.A. Biochemical Characterization of New Gemifloxacin Schiff Base (GMFX-ophdn) Metal Complexes and Evaluation of Their Antimicrobial Activity against Some Phyto- or Human Pathogens. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 2110. [CrossRef]
- Heaton, V.J.; Ambler, J.E.; Fisher, L.M. Potent Antipneumococcal Activity of Gemifloxacin Is Associated with Dual Targeting of Gyrase and Topoisomerase IV, an In Vivo Target Preference for Gyrase, and Enhanced Stabilization of Cleavable Complexes In Vitro. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000, 44, 3112–3117. [CrossRef]
- 71. Sultana, N.; Naz, A.; Arayne, M.S.; Mesaik, M.A. Synthesis, characterization, antibacterial, antifungal and immunomodulating activities of gatifloxacin–metal complexes. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2010**, *969*, 17–24. [CrossRef]
- 72. Ahmed, Y.M.; Omar, M.M.; Mohamed, G.G. Synthesis, spectroscopic characterization, and thermal studies of novel Schiff base complexes: Theoretical simulation studies on coronavirus (COVID-19) using molecular docking. *J. Iran. Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *19*, 901–919. [CrossRef]
- 73. Sivaprakash, G.P.; Tharmaraj, M.; Jothibasu, A. Arun, antimicrobial analysis of schiff base ligands pyrazole and diketone metal complex against pathogenic organisms. *Int. J. Adv. Res.* **2017**, *5*, 2656–2663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Malik, M.A.; Dar, O.A.; Gull, P.; Wani, M.Y.; Hashmi, A.A. Heterocyclic Schiff base transition metal complexes in antimicrobial and anticancer chemotherapy. *MedChemComm* **2018**, *9*, 409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Gehad, G.; Omar, M.M.; Yasmin, M.A. Metal complexes of Tridentate Schiff base: Synthesis, Characterization, Biological Activity and Molecular Docking Studies with COVID-19 Protein Receptor. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2021, 647, 2201–2218.
- 76. Hidayati, N.F.; Purwaningrum, W. Synthesis and characterization schiff base and complexes with Copper (II) and Iron (II) and their application as antibacterial agents. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **2019**, *1282*, 012074. [CrossRef]
- 77. Kitouni, S.; Chafai, N.; Chafaa, S.; Houas, N.; Ghedjati, S.; Djenane, M. Antioxidant activity of new synthesized imine and its corresponding α-aminophosphonic acid: Experimental and theoretical evaluation. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2023**, *1281*, 135083. [CrossRef]

- El-Lateef, H.M.A.; El-Dabea, T.; Khalaf, M.M.; Abu-Dief, A.M. Recent Overview of Potent Antioxidant Activity of Coordination Compounds. *Antioxidants* 2023, 12, 213. [CrossRef]
- 79. Nevin, T.; Memet, Ş. Synthesis and Spectral Studies of Novel Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), Cd(II), and Fe(II). Metal Complexes with N-[5'-Amino-2,2'-bis(1,3,4-thiadiazole)-5-yl]-2-hydroxybenzaldehyde Imine (HL). *Spectrosc. Lett.* **2009**, *5*, 258–267. [CrossRef]
- 80. Ercan, B. Kinetic Properties of Peroxidase Enzyme from Chard (*Beta vulgaris* Subspecies *cicla*) Leaves. *Int. J. Food Prop.* **2013**, *16*, 1293–1303. [CrossRef]
- 81. Turan, N.; Buldurun, K. Synthesis, characterization and antioxidant activity of Schiff base and its metal complexes with Fe(II), Mn(II), Zn(II), and Ru(II) ions: Catalytic activity of ruthenium(II) complex. *Eur. J. Chem.* **2018**, *9*, 22–29. [CrossRef]
- Said, M.A.; Al-unizi, A.; Al-Mamary, M.; Alzahrani, S.; Lentz, D. Easy coordinate geometry indexes, τ4 and τ5 and HSA study for unsymmetrical Pd(II), Fe(II), Zn(II), Mn(II), Cu(II) and VO(IV) complexes of a tetradentate ligand: Synthesis, characterization, properties, and antioxidant activities. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2020, 505, 119434. [CrossRef]
- 83. Preeti, S.; Preeti, Y.; Kushneet, K.S.; Anurag, T.; Shilpika, B.M. Advancement in the synthesis of metal complexes with special emphasis on Schiff base ligands and their important biological aspects. *Results Chem.* **2024**, *7*, 101222. [CrossRef]
- Elkanzi, N.A.A.; Ali, A.M.; Hrichi1, H.; Abdou, A. New mononuclear Fe(III), Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), and Zn(II) complexes incorporating 4-{[(2 hydroxyphenyl) imino]methyl}phenyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonate (HL): Synthesis, characterization, theoretical, anti-inflammatory, and molecular docking investigation. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 2022, 36, e6665. [CrossRef]
- Dixon, S.J.; Lemberg, K.M.; Lamprecht, M.R.; Skouta, R.; Zaitsev, E.M.; Gleason, C.E.; Patel, D.N.; Bauer, A.J.; Cantley, A.M.; Yang, W.S.; et al. Ferroptosis: An Iron-Dependent Form of Nonapoptotic Cell Death. *Cell* 2012, 149, 1060–1072. [CrossRef]
- 86. Chen, X.; Kang, R.; Kroemer, G.; Tang, D. Targeting ferroptosis in pancreatic cancer: A double-edged sword. *Trends Cancer* 2021, 7, 891–901. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.