University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

Computer Science Faculty Publications and Presentations

College of Engineering and Computer Science

11-2023

A comprehensive survey of complex brain network representation

Haoteng Tang

Guixiang Ma

Yanfu Zhang

Kai Ye

Lei Guo

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/cs_fac

Part of the Computer Sciences Commons

Authors

Haoteng Tang, Guixiang Ma, Yanfu Zhang, Kai Ye, Lei Guo, Guodong Liu, Qi Huang, Yalin Wang, Olusola Ajilore, and Alex D. Leow Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Meta-Radiology



journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/meta-radiology

A comprehensive survey of complex brain network representation

Haoteng Tang^{a,*}, Guixiang Ma^b, Yanfu Zhang^c, Kai Ye^c, Lei Guo^c, Guodong Liu^c, Qi Huang^d, Yalin Wang^e, Olusola Ajilore^f, Alex D. Leow^f, Paul M. Thompson^g, Heng Huang^h, Liang Zhan^{c,*}

^a Department of Computer Science, College of Engineering and Computer Science, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 W University Dr, Edinburg, 78539, TX, USA

^b Intel Labs, 2111 NE 25th Ave, Hillsboro, 97124, OR, USA

^c Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Swanson School of Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, 3700 O'Hara St., Pittsburgh, 15261, PA, USA

^d Department of Radiology, Utah Center of Advanced Imaging, University of Utah, 729 Arapeen Drive, Salt Lake City, 84108, UT, USA

^e School of Computing and Augmented Intelligence, Arizona State University, 699 S Mill Ave., Tempe, 85281, AZ, USA

^f Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois Chicago, 1601 W. Taylor St., Chicago, 60612, IL, USA

^g Department of Neurology, University of Southern California, 2001 N. Soto St., Los Angeles, 90032, CA, USA

^h Department of Computer Science, University of Maryland, 8125 Paint Branch Dr, College Park, 20742, MD, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Brain structural network Brain functional network Brain network analysis Network representation learning Deep learning

ABSTRACT

Recent years have shown great merits in utilizing neuroimaging data to understand brain structural and functional changes, as well as its relationship to different neurodegenerative diseases and other clinical phenotypes. Brain networks, derived from different neuroimaging modalities, have attracted increasing attention due to their potential to gain system-level insights to characterize brain dynamics and abnormalities in neurological conditions. Traditional methods aim to pre-define multiple topological features of brain networks and relate these features to different clinical measures or demographical variables. With the enormous successes in deep learning techniques, graph learning methods have played significant roles in brain network analysis. In this survey, we first provide a brief overview of neuroimaging-derived brain networks. Then, we focus on presenting a comprehensive overview of both traditional methods and state-of-the-art deep-learning methods for brain network mining. Major models, and objectives of these methods are reviewed within this paper. Finally, we discuss several promising research directions in this field.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, brain studies have gained more and more attention for understanding brain structures and functions, as well as their changes related to different clinical phenotypes or neurodegenerative diseases. The advancement of neuroimaging technologies has provided a broad research perspective and foundation for the studies of brain structure and function. These neuroimaging technologies, such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), and electroencephalography (EEG), provide insights into brain inner working patterns, allowing us to capture detailed snapshots of brain activities, organizations, and architectures. One of the valuable resources to promote the development of neuroimaging studies is the neuroimaging data samples. Credit to the advancement of medical informatics technologies (e.g., picture archiving and communication system, or PACS¹) and the contributions provided by the amount of neuroimaging communities, the longitudinal collections of neuroimaging data serve as a strong foundation of current brain imaging studies, particularly for the big-data imaging studies (e.g., machine learning and deep learning on neuroimaging studies). Another factor that boosts the progress of this field is the development and spread of high-performance computing technologies, such as super-computing servers with advanced Central Processing Units (CPUs) and Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), which provide powerful computation resources for neuroimaging data computing. Moreover, a large number of studies have been proposed to establish many computational methods for neuroimaging data analysis from different perspectives, which is the third significant impetus in this research field. This paper reviews the current neuroimaging studies from one of the significant perspectives of brain imaging computational methods, i.e., brain network methods, to summarize a few current studies and provide some potential future research directions.

* Corresponding authors. *E-mail addresses:* haoteng.tang@utrgv.edu (H. Tang), liang.zhan@pitt.edu (L. Zhan).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metrad.2023.100046

Received 28 October 2023; Received in revised form 4 December 2023; Accepted 13 December 2023 Available online 16 December 2023



^{2950-1628/© 2023} The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co. Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1.1. Introduction to brain networks

Current neuroimaging studies can be roughly categorized based on the structure of utilized data. Some studies focus on time sequences obtained by different neuroimaging modalities (e.g., EEG signal, fMRI Blood Oxygen Level-Dependent, or BOLD signal) with signal processing techniques.^{2–5} Many other studies^{6–10} focus on using imaging features from voxels or regions-of-interest (ROIs). However, increasing evidence¹¹⁻¹⁴ indicates the brain is organized and functionalized based on the interactions among many brain regions, particularly in explaining various brain-related clinical phenotypes, resulting in more and more attention in using brain networks for these clinical phenotype predictions. Brain network¹⁵⁻¹⁷ represents a 3D brain graph model, comprising the nodes and the edges among brain nodes. The nodes are brain ROIs and the edges can be defined using DTI-derived fiber tracking or fMRI-derived correlation. Brain network has great potential to gain system-level insights into the brain dynamics related to different clinical phenotypes. The details of brain network definitions and constructions will be discussed in Section 2.

1.2. Traditional methods

We state that the term "traditional methods" here refers to methods distinct from deep neural network methods. The traditional methods aim to design novel algorithms to extract discriminative network features from brain networks and investigate specific clinical tasks based on these network features. The network features are pre-defined by researchers with different research purposes, and we may leverage these purposes to roughly categorize these traditional methods. Many research works aim to explore the heterogeneity of topological structures of brain networks from different groups (e.g., disease group and healthy group), which propose various network topological measures such as the betweenness centrality to measure the node centralities in brain networks.¹⁸ Some other studies^{19,20} aim to distinguish brain networks from different groups based on network similarities, which defines many distance metrics or kernels to measure the network similarity features. A few other studies focus on the frequency domain, which yields methods for spectrum feature analysis.²¹⁻²⁴ Typical dimension reduction methods, such as Principal Component Analysis, are also utilized to extract informative brain network features for different clinical prediction tasks. The details of traditional methods of brain network analysis will be discussed in Section 3.

1.3. Deep learning methods

Though great progress has been achieved, there are several limitations existing in the traditional methods for brain network analysis. Traditional methods may be sub-optimal since the pre-defined brain network features contain less information than the original whole networks, which may also ignore important brain network attributes. Meanwhile, a few traditional methods, due to the algorithm complexity, may not be utilized for large-scale brain network studies. To analyze the large-scale complex network data (e.g., brain networks), deep graph learning techniques²⁵⁻³¹ have gained significant attention. A few outstanding review papers^{32,33} have summarized recent deep learning methods on brain network analysis, where the reviewed studies are categorized based on the methodologies proposed in their works. However, our survey paper reviews the current studies from another perspective, where we categorize current deep learning methods in brain network studies based on their research objectives. We conducted a comprehensive review of a series of papers published in top-tier journals and conferences about deep learning on brain networks over the past three years. Particularly, we collected 126 papers in this direction mainly from Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Interventions

(MICCAI), Information Processing In Medical Imaging (IPMI), Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD), IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging (TMI), IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems (TNNLS), Medical Image Analysis, and Nature Neuroscience published in the year of 2020, 2021 and 2022. Based on their research objectives, these papers can be broadly summarized into 4 categories including multimodal brain network representation learning, multiscale brain network representation learning, dynamic brain network modeling, and interpretable brain network learning models. The details of deep learning methods on brain network studies will be discussed in Section 4.

The following sections of this review paper are organized as follows. We provide an overview of the brain network data, including the data constructions and publicly available datasets in Section 2. In Section 3 and Section 4, we provide a taxonomy for the traditional methods and deep neural networks on brain network studies, respectively. In Section 5, we propose a few potential challenges and future research directions for brain network studies. And we conclude our paper in the Section 6.

2. Brain network overview

In this section, we first introduce some preliminaries of graphstructured data which is a standard mathematical model utilized to represent the brain network. We then introduce different types of typical brain networks as well as their construction methods. Finally, we summarize several public brain network datasets that are widely utilized in current brain network studies.

2.1. Preliminaries of graph structured data

We denote an attributed graph with *N* nodes as $G = \{V, E\} = (A, X)$, where *V* is the set of graph vertices (or nodes) and *E* is the set of the graph edges. Let $v_i \in V$ denote a graph node (i.e., i - th node) in the graph and $e_{ij} \in E$ denote a graph edge pointing from the node v_i to v_j . Particularly, e_{ij} equals e_{ji} in an undirected graph, while this may not true in the directed graph. Given a node v_i , its neighbor nodes can be defined as $N(v) = \{u \in V | (u, v) \in E\}$. $A \in \mathcal{R}^{N \times N}$ is the adjacency matrix of *G*, where the element a_{ij} of *A* is the weight of the edge e_{ij} . Particularly, *A* is a symmetric matrix for an undirected graph, while is an asymmetric matrix for a directed graph. $X \in \mathcal{R}^{N \times c}$ is the node feature matrix of *G*, where $x_i \in \mathcal{R}^{1 \times c}$ of *X* is a *c*-dimensional feature vector of v_i .

2.2. Construction of brain networks

Due to the vast number of neurons, synapses, and fibers existing in the human brain that will cause a computationally expensive task, it may be intractable to construct the brain network based on each signal brain neuron. Generally, a node in brain networks represents a brain region-of-interest (ROI) that consists of a group of brain neurons, while an edge in brain networks represents anatomical or functional connections among these ROIs.³⁴ Different types of brain networks (e.g., functional networks, structural networks, morphological networks) can be derived from the corresponding neuroimaging modalities (e.g., functional magnetic resonance imaging, diffusion tensor imaging, T1-weighted MRI). Here, we mainly introduce 4 different types of brain networks including structural networks, functional networks, morphological networks, and effective networks.

2.2.1. Structural networks

A structural network is formulated through the abstraction of a graph originating from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)³⁵ or diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI).^{36–38} These neuroimaging techniques gauge the diffusion patterns of water molecules to create contrast in MRI scans, facilitating the differentiation between gray matter and the underlying white matter. With the preprocessed DTI data, 5 key steps are involved in constructing a structural network:

- Estimate the diffusion tensor based on the preprocessed DTI data at each voxel. The diffusion tensor provides information on the local orientation and anisotropy of white matter tracts.
- Perform fiber tracking or tractography (e.g., deterministic algorithms or probabilistic algorithms^{39–43}) to identify white matter pathways based on the estimated diffusion tensor information.⁴⁴
- Define regions of interest (ROIs) within the brain, where the defined ROIs correspond to different anatomical regions or functional regions.
- Identify fibers between pairs of ROIs. A fiber is considered to connect two ROIs if it passes through both regions. The presence of a fiber between two ROIs indicates a potential structural connection between them.
- Count the number of fibers connecting each pair of ROIs or compute the average fractional anisotropy along the fibers connecting the ROIs as the edge weights within structural networks.

2.2.2. Functional networks

Traditionally, the construction of a functional network entails the utilization of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), specifically focusing on the blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal indicating changes in blood oxygenation linked to neural activity in a brain region.⁴⁵ With the preprocessed fMRI data, 4 key steps are involved in constructing a functional network:

- Extract the BOLD time series for each voxel or brain ROI. Brain ROIs can be defined anatomically, functionally, or through parcellation techniques.
- Process and filter the BOLD time series data to remove low-frequency drifts and high-frequency noise.
- Estimate the edge weights in functional networks by computing the correlation between the time series of different brain regions.
- Threshold the correlation matrix to maintain meaningful connections and denoise.

2.2.3. Morphological networks

Morphological networks utilize cortical metrics, such as sulcal depth and cortical thickness, to quantify morphological differences between brain regions.^{46–48} Extracted from T1-weighted MRI via Freesurfer preprocessing,⁴⁹ the steps include skull stripping, motion correction, normalization, topology correction, and hemisphere delineation.⁵⁰ Hemispheres are segmented into regions using atlases (e.g., Desikan-Killiany). For each region, average cortical attribute values are computed. The absolute difference in these values between pairs of regions establishes edge weights in the networks. With the preprocessed T1-weighted data, 6 key steps are involved in constructing a morphological network:

- Extract the brain regions by demarcating the boundary between brain and non-brain tissues with specialized techniques, such as FSL-BET.^{51,52}
- Segment the brain to discern the distinct tissues in T1-weighted images, such as gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid.
- Partition the brain into discrete ROIs using parcellation strategies rooted in anatomical landmarks and/or functional considerations.
- Extract a comprehensive array of pertinent morphological attributes from each ROI, including volumetric measures, surface area, thickness, and geometrical descriptors.
- Quantify the inter-ROI morphological resemblances through the computation of a similarity matrix, delineating the degree of structural convergence between pairs of ROIs.
- Present the emergent morphological relationships as graph structured data, where the nodes correspond to the designated ROIs and edges encapsulate the ascertained morphological interconnections among the identified regions.

2.2.4. Effective networks

Effective networks aim to capture the causal relationships and directional influences among different brain regions, which is essential to

understanding the brain functional activities under specific tasks and different.^{53–55} Several techniques, such as Dynamic Causal Modeling (DCM)^{56–59} and Granger Causality Analysis,^{60–64} are used in inferring and modeling the effective connections between brain regions by analyzing the temporal dynamics of neural activities. The construction of effective networks can be summarized in the following steps:

- Obtain the signals from different modalities (e.g., fMRI, EEG, MEG) to record brain activities of subjects engaged in specific cognitive tasks or at a resting-state.
- Define regions of interest (ROIs) within the brain. These ROIs can correspond to specific anatomical regions or functional areas that are relevant to the study. ROIs can be defined based on anatomical atlases or functional parcellation schemes.
- Extract time series data from the selected ROIs that represent the neural activities of each brain region over time.
- Apply different methods, such as DCM and Granger Causality, to estimate the effective connectivity within effective brain networks. The parameter estimation in for the applied will determine the strength and directionality of these effective connections.
- Conduct statistical tests to assess the significance of the effective connections, and correct the reconstructed brain effective networks.

2.3. Datasets and implementation tools

We overview widely used publicly available brain network datasets and algorithm implementation toolboxes (or libraries) in brain network analysis.

2.3.1. Public brain network datasets

In recent years, the efforts invested in collecting and organizing large-scale neuroimaging datasets have empowered researchers to design and implement innovative computational intelligent approaches, including deep learning models, for different brain studies. Based on this, multiple brain connectomic projects were proposed to initiate and provide a series of brain network datasets for brain connectome studies. We briefly summarize several representative brain network datasets here.

- Human Connectome Project (HCP^a). The HCP is one of the most comprehensive brain mapping initiatives, providing high-quality data on functional and structural connectivity in the human brain. It includes data from multiple modalities, such as resting-state fMRI, task-based fMRI, DTI, and behavioral assessments.^{65,66}
- Open Access Series of Imaging Studies (OASIS^b). The OASIS (Open Access Series of Imaging Studies) dataset is a well-known and publicly available collection of neuroimaging data that primarily focuses on structural MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging) scans of the brain. It has been a valuable resource for researchers studying various aspects of brain structure, aging, and neurodegenerative diseases.^{67,68}
- Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI^c). The ADNI dataset is a well-known and publicly available collection of neuro-imaging and clinical data primarily focused on Alzheimer's disease (AD) research. ADNI is a landmark project that aims to accelerate the understanding of AD by providing valuable resources for researchers studying various aspects of the disease, including its diagnosis, progression, and treatment.^{69,70}
- Autism Brain Imaging Data Exchange (ABIDE^d): The ABIDE offers a collection of resting-state fMRI data from individuals with autism and

^a https://www.humanconnectome.org

^b https://www.oasis-brains.org

^c https://adni.loni.usc.edu

^d http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/abide/

typically developing controls. It is a valuable resource for studying brain connectivity in the context of autism spectrum disorders.^{71,72}

- Center for Biomedical Research Excellence (COBRE^e). The COBRE provides resting-state fMRI and structural MRI data from individuals with schizophrenia and healthy controls. It supports research into the neural basis of schizophrenia.^{73–76}
- NKI-Rockland Sample (NKI¹). This dataset includes resting-state fMRI and other neuroimaging data from the Nathan Kline Institute (NKI) Rockland Sample, offering insights into various aspects of brain connectivity.⁷⁷
- BNU1^g and BNU3^h Dataset. These datasets provide resting-state fMRI data from the Beijing Normal University (BNU), which can be used to study brain connectivity and its variations across different populations.⁷⁸
- ADHD-200 Dataset.ⁱ The ADHD-200 offers neuroimaging data, including resting-state fMRI, from individuals with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and controls. It supports research into the neural basis of ADHD.^{79,80}
- The Human Brainnetome Atlas¹. This atlas provides comprehensive connectivity data, including resting-state fMRI and diffusion MRI, to map and understand the human brain's functional and structural connectivity.^{81,82}

2.3.2. Programming toolboxes and libraries

We summarize a few important programming toolboxes and libraries in this section to facilitate researchers to implement their algorithms on brain network studies.

2.3.2.1. Neuroimaging DATA Preprocessing. The widely used toolboxes for neuroimaging data preprocessing include but are not limited to: SPM^k (Statistical Parametric Mapping),⁸³ FSL¹ (FMRIB Software Library),⁸⁴ FreeSurfer,^{m,49} AFNIⁿ (Analysis of Functional NeuroImages),⁸⁵ ANTs^o (Advanced Normalization Tools),⁸⁶ MRtrix3^{p,87} and CONN^q(Functional Connectivity Toolbox).⁸⁸

The key services, benefits, and drawbacks of these toolboxes are summarized in Table 1.

2.3.2.2. Graph analysis. The broadly utilized graph analysis libraries for brain network studies include but are not limited to: NetworkX^{r,89} Graph-tool,^{\$,90} brainGraph^{t,91} BCT (Brain Connectivity Toolbox),^{u,18} GRETNA (Graph Theoretical Network Analysis),^{v,92} scikit-network,^{w,93} PyG (Pytorch-Geometric),^{x,94} DGL (Deep Graph Library),^{y,95} and BGL (Boost Graph Library).^{z,96}

- ^h https://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/CoRR/html/bnu_3.html
- ⁱ http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/adhd200/
- ^j http://atlas.brainnetome.org
- k https://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/
- ¹ https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki
- ^m https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu
- ⁿ https://afni.nimh.nih.gov
- http://stnava.github.io/ANTs/
- ^p https://www.mrtrix.org
- ^q https://web.conn-toolbox.org
- ^r https://networkx.org
- ^s https://graph-tool.skewed.de
- ^t https://github.com/cwatson/brainGraph
- ^u https://sites.google.com/site/bctnet/
- v https://www.nitrc.org/projects/gretna/
- w https://github.com/sknetwork-team/scikit-network
- * https://pytorch-geometric.readthedocs.io
- ^y https://www.dgl.ai
- ^z https://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_82_0/libs/graph/doc/index.html

The primary services, advantages, and disadvantages of these libraries are outlined in Table 2.

3. Traditional brain network mining methods

Generally, traditional pipelines for brain network analyses include two stages. The first stage refers to feature extraction, where the effective network features are extracted by different pre-defined methods. After the feature extraction stage, statistical analysis will be conducted based on the extracted features in the second stage. We summarize a few traditional and widely-used methods for brain network analyses in both stages in this section.

3.1. Network feature extraction

Four different types of network feature extraction methods are summarized here including (1) network topological measure, (2) graph kernel, (3) spectral graph analysis, and (4) dimension reduction.

3.1.1. Network topological measure

In network science, network measures refer to various quantitative metrics or characteristics used to describe and quantify the topological structures and/or functional properties of brain networks. These measures assist in gaining biological insights into the organization and properties of brain networks. The network measures, proposed to investigate brain networks from a different perspective, can be categorized as follows:

- Degree and Similarity such as brain node degree and node strength.
- Density and Rentian Scaling such as brain node density and Rentian scaling.
- Clustering and community structure such as clustering coefficient, mularity, and transitivity.
- Assortativity and core structure such as Rich club coefficient and core/periphery structure.
- Paths and distances such as characteristic path length and cycle probability.
- Efficiency and Diffusion such as global and local efficiency, as well as diffusion efficiency.
- Centrality such as betweenness centrality and within-module degree z-score.
- Motifs and self-similarity such structural motifs and functional motifs.

The full list of network measures categories is summarized in Table 3. The definition of each network measure is summarized in Rubinov and Sporns ¹⁸; and the implementation of these measures can be found in the Brain Connectivity Toolbox (BCT-Toolbox).

3.1.2. Graph kernel

Graph kernel-based methods are a set of techniques employed in the field of network analysis to extract valuable features from graph structured data. The primary objective of graph kernel methods is to capture the inherent structural information and patterns within these graphs, thereby to simplify the high-dimensional complex network data which facilitates the following statistical analysis. These methods rely on mathematical functions known as graph kernels to compute similarity measures between pairs of graphs, effectively quantifying their structural similarities or differences. By applying these kernel functions to pairs of brain networks, similarity scores are generated, serving as high-dimensional features that depict the likeness between two brains. The advantages and disadvantages of different graph kernel methods, including Graph Edit Distance Kernel,^{97,98} Graphlet Kernel,^{99,100} Weisfeiler-Lehman Kernel,^{101,102} Subgraph Matching Kernel,^{103,104} Graph Path Kernel,^{105,106} and Graph Alignment Kernel,^{107,108} are summarized in Table 4.

^e http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/retro/cobre.html

^f https://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/enhanced/

^g http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/CoRR/html/bnu_1.html

Table 1

Toolboxes for neuroimaging data preprocessing.

Toolboxes	Main Service	Advantages	Disadvantages
SPM FSL	Functional and structural MRI analysis fMRI and DTI data analysis	Widely used and comprehensive tools User-friendly with GUI, extensive functionality	MATLAB dependency, steep learning curve Some tasks are memory-intensive
FreeSurfer	Structural MRI analysis	Specialized for brain morphometry, quality segmentation	Limited for fMRI data, resource-intensive
AFNI	fMRI and neuroimaging data analysis	Broad analysis techniques, active user community	Command-line interface, learning curve
ANTs	Image registration and normalization	Powerful image registration, multi-modal support	Command-line usage, learning curve
MRtrix3	DTI data processing	Specialized for diffusion MRI, advanced tractography	Limited to diffusion MRI, learning curve
CONN	fMRI connectivity analysis	Specialized for connectivity, user-friendly	Focus on functional connectivity, MATLAB-based

Table 2

Libraries for brain network analysis.

Libraries	Main Service	Advantages	Disadvantages
NetworkX	Graph analysis and manipulation	Python-based, extensive documentation	Slower for large graphs, not optimized for performance
Graph-tool	Graph analysis and modeling	Efficient C++ library, supports large graphs	Steeper learning curve
BrainGraph	Brain network analysis	Specialized for neuroimaging data, user-friendly	Limited scope outside neuroimaging, fewer features
BCT	Brain network analysis	Comprehensive toolset for neuroimaging data	MATLAB-based, may require additional toolboxes
GRETN	Brain network analysis	User-friendly GUI, supports multiple imaging modalities	Limited flexibility for custom analysis
scikit-network	Graph analysis and machine learning	Integration with scikit-learn, Pythonic API	Smaller user community, fewer specialized tools
PyG	Graph analysis and deep learning	Deep learning integration, GPU support	Requires familiarity with PyTorch or TensorFlow
DGLD	Dynamic graph analysis	Specialized for temporal and dynamic graphs	Less support for static graph analysis
BGL	General-purpose graph analysis	High-performance C++ library, extensive features	Steeper learning curve for non-C++ users

Table 3

Network Measure	Examples		
Degree and similarity	Node degree and strength, joint degree, topological overlap, neighborhood overlap, matching index		
Density and rentian scaling	Density, rentian scaling		
Clustering and community structure	Clustering coefficient, transitivity, local efficiency, connected components, community structure and modularity, modularity degeneracy and consensus partitioning		
Assortativity and core structure	Assortativity, rich club coefficient, core/ periphery structure, K-core, S-core		
Paths and distances	Paths and walks, distance and characteristic path length, cycle probability, Characteristic path length, global efficiency, eccentricity, radius, diameter		
Efficiency and diffusion	Global and local efficiency, mean first passage time, diffusion efficiency, resource efficiency, path transitivity, search information, navigation		
Centrality	Betweenness centrality, edge betweenness centrality, within-module degree z-score, participation and related coefficients, eigenvector centrality, PageRank centrality, subgraph centrality, k-coreness centrality, flow coefficient, shortcuts		
wotus and self-similarity	Structural motifs, functional motifs, quasi- idempotence		

Table 4

Advantages and disadvantages of different graph kernel methods. GEDK = Graph Edit Distance Kernel, GLK = GraphLet Kernel, WLK = Weisfeiler-Lehman Kernel, SGMK = Subgraph Matching Kernel, GPK = Graph Path kernel, and GAK = Graph Alignment Kernel.

Methods	Advantages	Disadvantages
GEDK	Captures structural differences effectively Can incorporate domain-specific knowledge	Computationally expensive for large graphs Sensitivity to edit operation costs
GLK	Efficient and quick for large graphs Captures local structural patterns	May not capture global structural properties Limited in handling variations in graph size and structure
WLK	Captures both local and global structure Computationally efficient, especially with hashing	May not perform well on highly irregular graphs Limited in capturing fine- grained structural differences
SGMK	Captures local and global structural patterns Measures similarity based on common subgraphs	Computationally expensive for large graphs Sensitive to subgraph size and similarity definition
GPK	Captures structural info through shortest paths Can handle weighted graphs effectively	Computationally expensive for large graphs May not capture fine-grained structural variations
GAK	Handle labeled and attributed graphs effectively Capture both structural and semantic information	Computationally expensive for large graphs

3.1.4. Dimension reduction

Spectral analysis methods focus on analyzing different frequency components for the connectivity patterns of brain networks (particularly for functional brain networks derived from fMRI, EEG, and MEG). These methods are specially considered to understand the oscillatory dynamics of brain activity, and the role of different frequency bands in information

3.1.3. Spectral graph analysis

methods are specially considered to understand the oscillatory dynamics of brain activity, and the role of different frequency bands in information processing and communication among different brain regions. Numerous studies for special analysis methods on brain networks have been proposed, yielding various analysis methods such as frequency decomposition,^{22–24,109,110} power spectrum analysis,^{21,111–113} and time-frequency analysis.^{114–116}

Since the brain networks are high-dimensional complex graph structural data, it will result in information redundancy and dimension explosion if we directly apply machine learning algorithms to original brain network data, particularly for small-size datasets. Hence, a dimension reduction or feature engineering (e.g., feature extraction and selection) should be performed to remove redundancy information and maintain discriminative features of brain networks before we apply machine learning algorithms for specific tasks. General dimension reduction methods include Principal Component Analysis (PCA),¹¹⁷ Independent Component Analysis(ICA),¹¹⁸ Isometric Mapping (Isomap),¹¹⁹ t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding (t-SNE),¹²⁰ Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA),¹²¹ and Laplacian Eigenmaps.¹²²

3.2. Statistical analysis

After extracting features from brain networks, various statistical analyses can be employed to unveil patterns of brain changes across different groups, such as control versus disease or male versus female. Diverse statistical tests, including t-tests, ANOVA tests, and network permutation tests, can be applied to investigate the presence of significant group differences among various brain network groups. Currently, machine learning techniques, such as linear regression, logistic regression, support vector machines, and k-means clustering, serve as powerful tools for classifying and performing regressions on brain networks. Additionally, network visualization techniques (e.g., BrainNet visualization as demonstrated in Ref. 123) are sometimes employed to visually represent distinctions within brain networks.

4. Deep brain network representation learning

With the development of artificial intelligence (AI) techniques, learning-based methods (e.g., machine learning, deep learning) are broadly investigated and applied to brain network data for different research purposes. Most of these learning methods are based on the graph neural networks (GNN), a class of deep neural networks for graph-structured data representations.^{27–29,124–128} Many research objectives on brain network learning have been proposed in recent years. For example, a few studies focus on developing deep learning methods to model the multiview representations across different modalities-derived brain network data. Some other studies focus on investigating the interpretability of the deep learning models to yield biological insights (e.g., finding new biomarkers that closely relate brain networks to different neurological disorders) for the model outcomes. As shown in Fig. 1, we summarize these studies based on these research objectives.

4.1. Multimodal brain network learning

Brain networks can be generated from different neuroimaging modalities to depict and record the human brain from diverse perspectives. Two main perspectives are generally considered, including brain anatomical structures and brain functionalities, in multimodal brain network studies. The target of the multimodal brain network learning is to aggregate effective information from multiple data modalities to yield comprehensive brain network representations for different clinical tasks.^{129–154} For example, Li et al.¹⁴¹ proposed a joint graph convolution network (joint-GCN) to combine the functional and structural networks by introducing inter-network edges between the corresponding brain regions within these two brain networks. The weights of these inter-network edges are trainable parameters that reflect the non-uniform structure-function coupling strength across the brain. This structure-function joint graph is embedded by a single GCN, which allows for the integration of both functional and structural information in the brain network learning stage. Another strategy to combine multimodal networks is to model the network communications by constructing a map between different network modals, where networks of different modals constrain each other. For example, Zhang et al.¹⁵⁰ and Tang et al.¹⁴⁵ proposed generative graph neural networks to construct mappings from functional brain network to the structural counterpart, while Zhang et al.¹⁵⁵ construct the mapping inversely. Ye et al.¹⁵⁶ propose a bidirectional mapping framework to model the communication between functional and structural networks from both sides and an ROI-level contrastive learning method is utilized to yield a unified multimodal network representation. Besides combining the networks of different modals in the latent space, Zhang et al.¹⁴⁹ performed the network fusion directly original graph space by creating a fused adjacency matrix based on both structural networks and the corresponding functional network profiles. In Table 5, we compare several multimodal brain network learning approaches on HCP and OASIS datasets for two classification tasks: gender classification and disease classification.

4.2. Multiscale brain network learning

The complex human brain networks are organized hierarchically, where different brain regions collaborate to maintain brain functionalities. Multiscale brain network learning refers to the process of modeling high-order patterns in brain networks at multiple levels or scales of organization, aiming to capture and understand the interactions within and between these different levels of the organization.^{148,157–178} One of the strategies for multiscale brain network learning is based on multigraph investigation. For example, Tang et al.^{171–173} proposed a series of hierarchical graph representation learning models to extract hierarchical structures (e.g., network communities) within brain networks, and perform the graph pooling for brain network downscale based on the captured the structures. The multiscale network representations yielded from different pooling layers are fused for downstream task predictions (e.g., neurodegenerative disease classifications). The generative graphic



Fig. 1. Key research objectives of deep learning models on brain network studies.

Table 5

Accuracy (%) for gender classification on HCP data and Alzheimer Disease classification on OASIS dataset by applying different deep learning models.

Multimodal Methods	Gender	Disease	Multiscale Methods	Gender	Disease
Joint-GCN ¹⁴¹ DSBGM ¹⁴⁵ EC-GNN ¹⁵²	$\begin{array}{c} 83.45 \pm 1.61 \\ 82.19 \pm 2.01 \\ 85.20 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$78.26 \pm 0.71 \\ 78.92 \pm 1.38 \\ -$	MMTGCN ¹⁴⁸ HSGPL ¹⁷³ Dual-HINet ¹⁶⁰	$\begin{array}{c} 81.97 \pm 0.71 \\ 81.51 \pm 1.14 \\ 82.20 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$	$78.47 \pm 1.13 \\ 77.51 \pm 1.84 \\ 76.42 \pm 0.77$

model is also a promising method to capture the hierarchical high-order information from brain networks for multiscale learning. For example, Pang et al.^{169,179} proposed different deep belief networks (e.g., a prior knowledge guided deep belief network (PKG-DBN)) which fully leverage prior knowledge to capture the hierarchical structures in functional brain networks. Moreover, the diffusion kernel-based graph learning models may also be considered for multiscale brain network learning. For example, Zhang et al.¹⁷⁸ proposed a Diffusion Kernel Attention Network that uses the Transformer model to incorporate high-order information from interactions among much broader brain regions. Similarly, we compare several multiscale brain network learning methods on HCP and OASIS datasets in Table 5.

4.3. Dynamic brain network learning

Dynamic brain network learning refers to the process of modeling and analyzing the time-varying or dynamic aspects of brain networks, which are representations of the functional or structural connections between different regions of the brain over time. Static brain network analysis treats connectivity as constant, instead, dynamic brain network learning considers that brain connectivity patterns change over time and can capture fluctuations in brain activity or organization. The common neuroimaging data sources for dynamic brain network learning include fMRI, EEG, MEG, and DTI-derived brain networks. Dynamic brain network learning can be used to study cognitive processes, investigate brain changes resulting from neurological and psychiatric disorders, and understand brain development.^{152,153,166,180-206} The recurrent neural network (RNN) based architecture is one of the methods to model temporal dynamics in brain networks. For example, Demirbilek and Rekik¹⁸³ proposed a recurrent multigraph integrator network (ReMI-Net) to predict the longitudinal evolution of population-driven brain connectivity templates over time, which enables the identification of brain biomarkers in dementia prediction. Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) are another class of powerful modeling frameworks for capturing temporal dependencies and dynamics in dynamic brain networks. For example, Moguilner et al.²⁰⁷ introduced a Bayesian machine learning pipeline based on dynamic connectivity fluctuation analysis (DCFA) on resting-state fMRI data for neurodegenerative condition predictions. Moreover, the transformer plays an undoubted role in modeling the brain dynamics over time sequences. For example, Zhao et al.¹⁵³ proposed a continuous multi-head attention-based graph transformer for Brain Dynamics modeling, where heterogeneous network representations can be extracted from both spatial and temporal domains.

4.4. Interpretable brain network learning

Many deep graph learning models have been proposed for brain network analysis, yet most current models lack interpretability, which makes it hard to gain any heuristic biological insights into the results, and to identify novel biomarkers indicating brain pattern heterogeneity among different clinical phenotypes. A few recent studies make contributions to proposing interpretable graph learning models which, from different perspectives, yield biological insights and explanations on their model outputs.^{145,152,171–173,208–216} For example, Cui et al.²¹⁰ proposed an explainable mask to identify the most important brain nodes and edges as closely related biomarkers to different disease prediction tasks.^{172,173} designed an interpretable hierarchical graph pooling module to identify the important brain regions as biomarkers related to multiple clinical phenotypes and brain disorders. Liu et al.²¹⁵ proposed a framework, DeepHoloBrain, that represents a region-adaptive interference pattern between neural activities and a collection of reference harmonic wavelets as a symmetric and positive-definite (SPD) matrix, allowing for interpretability and analysis of brain states and disease connectomes. D'Souza and Venkataraman²¹¹ proposed an mSPD neural network with bilinear fully connected layers with tied weights, which achieves interpretability by leveraging the underlying geometric structure of connectomes in fMRI brain networks to discover stable biomarkers associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).

4.5. Other research topics

The scope of brain network studies is so broad that many additional topics are also worthy of attention, such as causality exploration,^{189,192,206,217} powerful reconstruction tools,^{168,218–221} and multisite brain network learning.^{143,222} Causal inference in brain networks refers to the study of causal relationships between different brain regions that involves identifying and understanding how one brain region's activity or state causally affects the activity or state of another brain region. For example, Zhuang et al.²⁰⁶ proposed a Bayesian framework, named Multiple-Shooting Adjoint (MSA), to perform dynamic causal modeling to estimate the directed causality among different brain regions in the functional brain networks. Neuroimaging dataset is typically in small size, therefore, data obtained from different sites as well as different scanners may be jointly trained for deep learning models. However, domain gaps obviously exist across different scanners introduced by the heterogeneity of imaging modalities, radiologists, and imaging protocols, which makes multisite learning exceptionally important.

5. Discussions and challenges

Although recent studies have made significant strides in the domain of brain network analysis, numerous open questions persist, providing ample opportunities for researchers to explore. In this section, we delineate several noteworthy challenges that could serve as potential future directions.

Initially, this review consolidates the construction procedures of several frequently employed brain networks, along with publicly accessible datasets for brain network analysis. Most existing studies focus more on structural brain networks and functional brain networks, where both brain networks are undirected attributed graphs with undirected edge e_{ii} between v_i and v_i (i.e., $e_{ii} = e_{ii}$). The difference between structural networks and functional networks is that the $e \in E$ in structural networks are positive values, while they can be negative in functional networks. Since the functional networks are constructed based on the BOLD signal correlation among different brain nodes, the positive and negative edges represent synchronous activation and asynchronous activation among brain regions, respectively. However, the directed brain graph (e.g., effective brain networks) is rarely studied, which may be a potential direction to explore the functional influence among brain regions (e.g., causality influence between brain nodes). To this end, preliminary studies should be conducted first to build up several effective directed brain network datasets. Another challenge of the current brain network dataset is data insufficiency, which will further limit the progress of big data mining on brain network studies. For example, the current brain network datasets may not be easy to utilize for the group difference studies based on the deep learning model since the number of networks in a few subgroups may not be enough to train the neural networks. Instead of enlarging the current dataset, technical methods in addressing data quantity issues should also be strictly considered. These methods include but are not limited to data augmentation techniques, fast algorithms for brain network constructions from neuroimaging data, multisite learning for dataset combinations, and pre-trained model development.²²³

We also discussed the model interpretability for current brain network learning methods in this review, which is a very important direction in the future that is closely related to clinical translations. Most of the current studies provide biological explanations of their model outcomes based on identified biomarkers related to different clinical phenotypes, such as the most important brain regions corresponding to Alzheimer's Disease. However, the pattern changes of the pathway of information flow among brain regions, resulting from neurodegenerative diseases, gain more attention in clinical translation studies. Also, the generalization ability of current interpretable models is always challenged across diverse populations and brain network datasets. The proposed model may yield different explanations (e.g., identify different biomarkers) for the same prediction task when utilizing different brain network datasets, which may be due to the diversity of different population groups, heterogeneity of brain network data, and the model's robustness. Another more profound challenge is that the current model yielded explanations (e.g., discovered biomarkers) are only evaluated by the previous clinical references, while real clinical validations are required for these biomarkers before the clinical translation stage in the future.

Another future direction is distributed computing and resourcedecentralized techniques in medical big-data studies, which will boost the development efficiency of AI communities. The collaborations across multiple institutions and research centers will be closer in the future, where the machine learning algorithms may be collaboratively trained without sharing raw medical data. Therefore, distributed algorithms such as federated learning, aiming to address privacy, security, and data ownership sensitivities, will be a promising future direction undoubtedly. Moreover, Large Language Models (LLMs) such as GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer) are also likely to have a substantial impact on brain network studies in the future, opening up new possibilities and enhancing various aspects of research in this field. The large language pre-trained model can serve as a powerful feature extractor for brain network representation learning. It also has great potential to tackle the brain network annotation issues, model interpretability issues, and data augmentation issues by generating more synthetic brain networks.²²⁴

6. Conclusion

This survey paper commences with an overview of brain network constructions and publicly available brain network datasets. Then the research objectives of recent studies, encompassing both traditional and deep learning methods for brain network analysis, are comprehensively discussed. Finally, we propose several pertinent future directions, aiming to serve as a catalyst for additional contributions to this evolving field.

Authorship statement

Haoteng Tang and Liang Zhan took charge of project design, data preprocessing and analysis and manuscript revising.

Haoteng Tang, Guixiang Ma, Yanfu Zhang, Kai Ye, Lei Guo, Guodong Liu and Qi Huang took charge of literature survey, paper organization and manuscript writing.

Haoteng Tang, Yalin Wang, Olusola Ajilore, Alex D. Leow, Paul M. Thompson, and Heng Huang took charge of manuscript proofreading and discussion.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. The author Liang Zhan is the Editorial Board Member of the journal, but was not involved in the peer review procedure. This paper was handled by another Editor Board member.

Acknowledgements

This study was partially supported by The National Institutes of Health (R01AG071243, R01MH125928, and U01AG068057), by the National Science Foundation (IIS 2045848, IIS 1837956, and IIS 2319450), and by the Presidential Research Fellowship (PRF) in the Department of Computer Science at the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley.

References

- 1. Huang HK. PACS and Imaging Informatics: Basic Principles and Applications. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
- Gao Z, Dang W, Wang X, et al. Complex networks and deep learning for eeg signal analysis. *Cognitive Neurodynamics*. 2021;15:369–388.
- Mijani A, Cherloo MN, Tang H, Zhan L. Spectrum-enhanced trca (se-trca): a novel approach for direction detection in ssvep-based bci. *Comput Biol Med.* 2023;166: 107488.
- Rossini PM, Di Iorio R, Vecchio F, et al. Early diagnosis of alzheimer's disease: the role of biomarkers including advanced eeg signal analysis. report from the ifcnsponsored panel of experts. *Clin Neurophysiol.* 2020;131(6):1287–1310.
- Wan Z, Yang R, Huang M, Zeng N, Liu X. A review on transfer learning in eeg signal analysis. *Neurocomputing*. 2021;421:1–14.
- Duffy BA, Zhang W, Tang H, et al. Retrospective correction of motion artifact affected structural mri images using deep learning of simulated motion. In: *Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*. 2022.
- Jia H, Tang H, Ma G, et al. A convolutional neural network with pixel-wise sparse graph reasoning for covid-19 lesion segmentation in ct images. *Comput Biol Med.* 2023;155:106698.
- Rusinek H, De Santi S, Frid D, et al. Regional brain atrophy rate predicts future cognitive decline: 6-year longitudinal mr imaging study of normal aging. *Radiology*. 2003;229(3):691–696.
- Sabuncu MR, Konukoglu E, Initiative ADN, et al. Clinical prediction from structural brain mri scans: a large-scale empirical study. *Neuroinformatics*. 2015; 13(1):31–46.
- Seo S, Mohr J, Beck A, Wüstenberg T, Heinz A, Obermayer K. Predicting the future relapse of alcohol-dependent patients from structural and functional brain images. *Addiction Biol*, 2015;20(6):1042–1055.
- Lehrer J. Neuroscience: making connections. Nature News. 2009;457(7229): 524–527.
- 12. Mattar MG, Bassett DS. Brain network architecture: implications for human learning. *Network Science in Cognitive Psychology*. 2019:30–44.
- Sporns O. The human connectome: origins and challenges. *Neuroimage*, 2013;80: 53–61.
- Van Den Heuvel MP, Kahn RS, Goñi J, Sporns O. High-cost, high-capacity backbone for global brain communication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2012;109(28): 11372–11377.
- **15.** Power JD, Fair DA, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. The development of human functional brain networks. *Neuron*. 2010;67(5):735–748.
- Sporns O. The human connectome: a complex network. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2011; 1224(1):109–125.
- Sporns O, Chialvo DR, Kaiser M, Hilgetag Organization CC. Development and function of complex brain networks. *Trends Cognit Sci.* 2004;8(9):418–425.
- 18. Rubinov M, Sporns O. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: Uses and interpretations. *Neuroimage*. 2010;52(3):1059–1069.
- Ma G, Ahmed NK, Willke TL, et al. Deep graph similarity learning for brain data analysis. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management. 2019:2743–2751.
- Mheich A, Wendling F, Hassan M. Brain network similarity: methods and applications. *Network Neuroscience*. 2020;4(3):507–527.
- Avena-Koenigsberger A, Yan X, Kolchinsky A, van den Heuvel MP, Hagmann P, Sporns O. A spectrum of routing strategies for brain networks. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2019;15(3):e1006833.
- Guo L, Zhang Y, Liu Q, Guo K, Wang Z. Multi-band network fusion for alzheimer's disease identification with functional mri. *Front Psychiatr.* 2022;13:1070198.
- Hu R, Peng Z, Zhu X, et al. Multi-band brain network analysis for functional neuroimaging biomarker identification. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2021;40(12): 3843–3855.
- 24. Qi S, Gao Q, Shen J, et al. Multiple frequency bands analysis of large scale intrinsic brain networks and its application in schizotypal personality disorder. *Front Comput Neurosci.* 2018;12:64.

H. Tang et al.

- Cui H, Dai W, Zhu Y, et al. A benchmark for brain network analysis with graph neural networks. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;42(2):493–506.
- Gao H, Wang Z, Ji S. Large-scale learnable graph convolutional networks. In: Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. 2018:1416–1424.
- Hamilton W, Ying Z, Leskovec J. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2017;30.
- Kipf TN, Welling M. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. In: International Conference on Learning Representations; 2017. https://o penreview.net/forum?id=SJU4ayYgl.
- P. Velickovic, G. Cucurull, A. Casanova, A. Romero, P. Liò, and Y. Bengio. Graph attention networks. CoRR, abs/1710.10903, 2017. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/171 0.10903.
- Zhang Y, Huang H. New graph-blind convolutional network for brain connectome data analysis. In: International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging. Springer; 2019:669–681.
- Zhang Y, Zhan L, Cai W, Thompson P, Huang H. Integrating heterogeneous brain networks for predicting brain disease conditions. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2019: 214–222.
- Bessadok A, Mahjoub MA, Rekik I. Graph neural networks in network neuroscience. IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell. 2022;45(5):5833–5848.
- Kong X, Yu PS. Brain network analysis: A data mining perspective. SIGKDD Explor. Newsl. jun 2014;15(2):30–38.
- Friston KJ. Functional and effective connectivity in neuroimaging: a synthesis. Hum Brain Mapp. 1994;2(1-2):56–78.
- Zhan L, Leow AD, Jahanshad N, et al. How does angular resolution affect diffusion imaging measures? *Neuroimage*. 2010;49(2):1357–1371.
- Bassett DS, Bullmore E, Verchinski BA, Mattay VS, Weinberger DR, Meyer-Lindenberg A. Hierarchical organization of human cortical networks in health and schizophrenia. J Neurosci. 2008;28(37):9239–9248.
- Booth BG, Hamarneh G. Diffusion mri for brain connectivity mapping and analysis. MRI (Magn Reson Imaging): Physics, Image Reconstruction, and Analysis. 2015: 137–171.
- Hagmann P, Cammoun L, Gigandet X, et al. Mapping the structural core of human cerebral cortex. *PLoS Biol.* 2008;6(7):e159.
- 39. Abhinav K, Yeh F-C, Pathak S, et al. Advanced diffusion mri fiber tracking in neurosurgical and neurodegenerative disorders and neuroanatomical studies: a review. *Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Basis Dis.* 2014;1842(11):2286–2297.
- Bucci M, Mandelli ML, Berman JI, et al. Quantifying diffusion mri tractography of the corticospinal tract in brain tumors with deterministic and probabilistic methods. *Neuroimage: Clinical.* 2013;3:361–368.
- Sarwar T, Ramamohanarao K, Zalesky A. Mapping connectomes with diffusion mri: deterministic or probabilistic tractography? *Magn Reson Med.* 2019;81(2): 1368–1384.
- 42. Schlaier JR, Beer AL, Faltermeier R, et al. Probabilistic vs. deterministic fiber tracking and the influence of different seed regions to delineate cerebellar-thalamic fibers in deep brain stimulation. *Eur J Neurosci.* 2017;45(12):1623–1633.
- Yeh F-C, Verstynen TD, Wang Y, Fernández-Miranda JC, Tseng W-YI. Deterministic diffusion fiber tracking improved by quantitative anisotropy. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(11): e80713.
- 44. Zhan L, Zhou J, Wang Y, et al. Comparison of nine tractography algorithms for detecting abnormal structural brain networks in alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2015;7:48.
- Logothetis NK. What we can do and what we cannot do with fmri. *Nature*. 2008; 453(7197):869–878.
- 46. Li W, Yang C, Shi F, et al. Construction of individual morphological brain networks with multiple morphometric features. *Front Neuroanat.* 2017;11:34.
- Mahjoub I, Mahjoub MA, Rekik I. Brain multiplexes reveal morphological connectional biomarkers fingerprinting late brain dementia states. *Sci Rep.* 2018; 8(1):4103.
- Soussia M, Rekik I. Unsupervised manifold learning using high-order morphological brain networks derived from t1-w mri for autism diagnosis. *Front Neuroinf.* 2018;12: 70.
- 49. Fischl B. Freesurfer. Neuroimage. 2012;62(2):774-781.
- Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis: I. segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage*. 1999;9(2):179–194.
- Jenkinson M, Pechaud M, Smith S, et al. Bet2: mr-based estimation of brain, skull and scalp surfaces. In: Eleventh Annual Meeting of the Organization for Human Brain Mapping. 17. 2005:167. Toronto.
- Smith SM. Fast robust automated brain extraction. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2002;17(3): 143–155.
- Preller KH, Razi A, Zeidman P, Stämpfli P, Friston KJ, Vollenweider FX. Effective connectivity changes in lsd-induced altered states of consciousness in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2019;116(7):2743–2748.
- Sharaev MG, Zavyalova VV, Ushakov VL, Kartashov SI, Velichkovsky BM. Effective connectivity within the default mode network: dynamic causal modeling of restingstate fmri data. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2016;10:14.
- Valdes-Sosa PA, Roebroeck A, Daunizeau J, Friston K. Effective connectivity: influence, causality and biophysical modeling. *Neuroimage*. 2011;58(2):339–361.
- Friston KJ, Preller KH, Mathys C, et al. Dynamic causal modelling revisited. Neuroimage. 2019;199:730–744.
- 57. Ma Z-Z, Lu Y-C, Wu J-J, et al. Effective connectivity decreases in specific brain networks with postparalysis facial synkinesis: a dynamic causal modeling study. *Brain Imaging and Behavior.* 2022:1–13.

- Park H-J, Friston K. Structural and functional brain networks: from connections to cognition. *Science*. 2013;342(6158):1238411.
- Rajapakse JC, Zhou J. Learning effective brain connectivity with dynamic bayesian networks. *Neuroimage*. 2007;37(3):749–760.
- 60. Friston K. Dynamic causal modeling and granger causality comments on: the identification of interacting networks in the brain using fmri: model selection, causality and deconvolution. *Neuroimage*. 2011;58(2-2):303.
- **61.** Friston K, Moran R, Seth AK. Analysing connectivity with granger causality and dynamic causal modelling. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 2013;23(2):172–178.
- Liao W, Mantini D, Zhang Z, et al. Evaluating the effective connectivity of resting state networks using conditional granger causality. *Biol Cybern*. 2010;102:57–69.
- Manomaisaowapak P, Nartkulpat A, Songsiri J. Granger causality inference in eeg source connectivity analysis: a state-space approach. *IEEE Transact Neural Networks Learn Syst.* 2021;33(7):3146–3156.
- Zhou Z, Chen Y, Ding M, Wright P, Lu Z, Liu Y. Analyzing brain networks with pca and conditional granger causality. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2009;30(7):2197–2206.
- Smith SM, Miller KL, Salimi-Khorshidi G, et al. Network modelling methods for fmri. Neuroimage. 2011;54(2):875–891.
- Van Essen DC, Smith SM, Barch DM, et al. The Wu-minn human connectome project: an overview. *Neuroimage*. 2013;80:62–79.
- 67. Marcus DS, Wang TH, Parker J, Csernansky JG, Morris JC, Buckner RL. Open access series of imaging studies (oasis): cross-sectional mri data in young, middle aged, nondemented, and demented older adults. J Cognit Neurosci. 2007;19(9): 1498–1507.
- Marcus DS, Fotenos AF, Csernansky JG, Morris JC, Buckner RL. Open access series of imaging studies: longitudinal mri data in nondemented and demented older adults. J Cognit Neurosci. 2010;22(12):2677–2684.
- 69. Jack Jr CR, Bernstein MA, Fox NC, et al. The alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (adni): mri methods. J Magn Reson Imag: An Official Journal of the International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. 2008;27(4):685–691.
- Mueller SG, Weiner MW, Thal LJ, et al. Ways toward an early diagnosis in alzheimer's disease: the alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (adni). *Alzheimer's Dementia*. 2005;1(1):55–66.
- Craddock RC, James GA, Holtzheimer III PE, Hu XP, Mayberg HS. A whole brain fmri atlas generated via spatially constrained spectral clustering. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2012;33(8):1914–1928.
- 72. Di Martino A, Yan C-G, Li Q, et al. The autism brain imaging data exchange: towards a large-scale evaluation of the intrinsic brain architecture in autism. *Mol Psychiatr.* 2014;19(6):659–667.
- Calhoun VD, Sui J, Kiehl K, Turner J, Allen E, Pearlson G. Exploring the psychosis functional connectome: aberrant intrinsic networks in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Front Psychiatr.* 2012;2:75.
- 74. Hanlon FM, Houck JM, Pyeatt CJ, et al. Bilateral hippocampal dysfunction in schizophrenia. *Neuroimage*. 2011;58(4):1158–1168.
- Mayer AR, Ruhl D, Merideth F, et al. Functional imaging of the hemodynamic sensory gating response in schizophrenia. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 2013;34(9):2302–2312.
- Stephen JM, Coffman BA, Jung RE, Bustillo JR, Aine C, Calhoun VD. Using joint ica to link function and structure using meg and dti in schizophrenia. *Neuroimage*. 2013;83:418–430.
- Nooner KB, Colcombe SJ, Tobe RH, et al. The nki-rockland sample: a model for accelerating the pace of discovery science in psychiatry. *Front Neurosci.* 2012;6:152.
- Zuo X-N, Anderson JS, Bellec P, et al. An open science resource for establishing reliability and reproducibility in functional connectomics. *Sci Data*. 2014;1(1): 1–13.
- Bellec P, Rosa-Neto P, Lyttelton OC, Benali H, Evans AC. Multi-level bootstrap analysis of stable clusters in resting-state fmri. *Neuroimage*. 2010;51(3): 1126–1139.
- consortium A-. The adhd-200 consortium: a model to advance the translational potential of neuroimaging in clinical neuroscience. Front Syst Neurosci. 2012;6:62.
- Fan L, Li H, Zhuo J, et al. The human brainnetome atlas: a new brain atlas based on connectional architecture. *Cerebr Cortex*. 2016;26(8):3508–3526.
- **82.** Jia X-Z, Wang J, Sun H-Y, et al. An improved toolkit for resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging data processing. *Sci Bull*. 2019;64(14):953–954.
- Friston KJ, Holmes A, Worsley KJ, Poline JB, Frith CD, Frackowiak RSJ. Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 1994;2. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:9898609.
- Le Bihan D. Functional mri of the brain principles, applications and limitations. Journal of Neuroradiology= Journal de Neuroradiologie. 1996;23(1):1–5.
- Afni R W Cox. Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Comput Biomed Res.* 1996;29(3):162–173.
- Avants BB, Tustison N, Song G, et al. Advanced normalization tools (ants). Insight j. 2009;2(365):1–35.
- Tournier J-D, Smith R, Raffelt D, et al. Mrtrix3: a fast, flexible and open software framework for medical image processing and visualisation. *Neuroimage*. 2019;202: 116137.
- Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Nieto-Castanon A. Conn: a functional connectivity toolbox for correlated and anticorrelated brain networks. *Brain Connect.* 2012;2(3):125–141.
- Hagberg A, Swart P, Chult DS. Exploring Network Structure, Dynamics, and Function Using Networkx. Technical report. Los Alamos, NM (United States): Los Alamos National Lab.(LANL); 2008.
- 90. Peixoto TP. The Graph-Tool python Library. 2014.
- **91.** Watson CG. braingraph: graph theory analysis of brain mri data. *R package version*. 2020;3, 0.
- Wang J, Wang X, Xia M, Liao X, Evans A, He Y. Gretna: a graph theoretical network analysis toolbox for imaging connectomics. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2015;9:386.

- Bonald T, de Lara N, Lutz Q, Charpentier B. Scikit-network: graph analysis in python. J Mach Learn Res. 2020;21(185):1–6. http://jmlr.org/papers/v21/20-412.h tml.
- Fey M, Lenssen JE. Fast graph representation learning with pytorch geometric. CoRR, abs/1903.02428; 2019. http://arxiv.org/abs/1903.02428.
- M. Wang, D. Zheng, Z. Ye, Q. Gan, M. Li, X. Song, J. Zhou, C. Ma, L. Yu, Y. Gai, T. Xiao, T. He, G. Karypis, J. Li, and Z. Zhang. Deep graph library: A graph-centric, highly-performant package for graph neural networks. arXiv: Learning, 2019. URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:221304724.
- Siek JG, Lee L-Q, Lumsdaine A. The Boost Graph Library: User Guide and Reference Manual, the. Pearson Education; 2001.
- Gao X, Xiao B, Tao D, Li X. A survey of graph edit distance. Pattern Anal Appl. 2010; 13:113–129.
- Kaspar Riesen. Structural Pattern Recognition with Graph Edit Distance: Approximation Algorithms and Applications. 1st edition. Springer Publishing Company, Incorporated; 2016. ISBN 3319272519.
- Ahmed NK, Neville J, Rossi RA, Duffield N. Efficient graphlet counting for large networks. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, IEEE; 2015:1–10.
- Shervashidze N, Vishwanathan S, Petri T, Mehlhorn K, Borgwardt K. Efficient graphlet kernels for large graph comparison. In: Artificial Intelligence and Statistics. PMLR; 2009:488–495.
- Douglas BL. The Weisfeiler-Lehman Method and Graph Isomorphism Testing. arXiv e-prints, art. arXiv:1101.5211. 2011.
- 102. Shervashidze N, Schweitzer P, Van Leeuwen EJ, Mehlhorn K, Borgwardt KM. Weisfeiler-lehman graph kernels. J Mach Learn Res. 2011;12(9).
- 103. Fortunato S, Castellano C. Community structure in graphs. In: Meyers RA, ed. Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science. Springer; 2009:1141–1163.
- Shervashidze N, Borgwardt K. Fast subtree kernels on graphs. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2009;22.
- 105. Borgwardt KM, Kriegel H-P. Shortest-path kernels on graphs. In: Fifth IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM'05). IEEE; 2005:8.
- Vishwanathan SVN, Schraudolph NN, Kondor R, Borgwardt KM. Graph kernels. J Mach Learn Res. 2010;11:1201–1242.
- 107. Berg J, Lässig M. Local graph alignment and motif search in biological networks. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101(41):14689–14694.
- Zhang Z, Xiang Y, Wu L, Xue B, Nehorai A. Kergm: kernelized graph matching. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2019;32.
- De Domenico M. Multilayer modeling and analysis of human brain networks. GigaScience. 2017;6(5):gix004.
- 110. Klepl D, He F, Wu M, Blackburn DJ, Sarrigiannis PG. Cross-frequency multilayer network analysis with bispectrum-based functional connectivity: a study of alzheimer's disease. *Neuroscience*. 2023;521:77–88.
- **111.** Kim J-Y, Kim S-H, Seo J, et al. Increased power spectral density in resting-state pain-related brain networks in fibromyalgia. *PAIN*®. 2013;154(9):1792–1797.
- 112. Nguyen HM, Chen J, Glover GH. Morphological component analysis of functional mri brain networks. *IEEE (Inst Electr Electron Eng) Trans Biomed Eng.* 2022;69(10): 3193–3204.
- 113. Robinson PA, H. J. A, G. N. C, A. K. M, Tara B-J, Xiao G. Determination of dynamic brain connectivity via spectral analysis. *Frontiers In Human Neuroscience*. 2021;15: 27.
- 114. Morales S, Bowers ME. Time-frequency analysis methods and their application in developmental eeg data. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2022;54: 101067.
- 115. Niu W, Ma C, Sun X, Li M, Gao Z. A brain network analysis-based double way deep neural network for emotion recognition. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng.* 2023; 31:917–925.
- 116. Shao X, Sun S, Li J, et al. Analysis of functional brain network in mdd based on improved empirical mode decomposition with resting state eeg data. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng.* 2021;29:1546–1556.
- Maćkiewicz A, Ratajczak W. Principal components analysis (pca). Comput Geosci. 1993;19(3):303–342.
- Comon P. Independent component analysis, a new concept? Signal Process. 1994; 36(3):287–314.
- Tenenbaum JB, Silva Vd, Langford JC. A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Science*. 2000;290(5500):2319–2323.
- Hinton GE, Roweis S. Stochastic neighbor embedding. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2002;15.
- 121. Xanthopoulos P, Pardalos PM, Trafalis TB, Xanthopoulos P, Pardalos PM, Trafalis TB. Linear discriminant analysis. *Robust data mining*. 2013:27–33.
- 122. Ham J, Lee DD, Mika S, Schölkopf B. A kernel view of the dimensionality reduction of manifolds. In: Proceedings of the Twenty-First International Conference on Machine Learning. 2004:47.
- 123. Xia M, Wang J, He Y. Brainnet viewer: a network visualization tool for human brain connectomics. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(7):e68910.
- 124. Tang H, Ma G, He L, Huang H, Commpool L Zhan. An interpretable graph pooling framework for hierarchical graph representation learning. *Neural Network*. 2021; 143:669–677.
- 125. K. Xu, W. Hu, J. Leskovec, and S. Jegelka. How powerful are graph neuralnetworks? In 7th International Conference on Learning Representa-tions, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019. OpenRe-view.net, 2019. URL https://openrevi ew.net/forum?id=ryGs6iA5Km.
- 126. Ying Z, You J, Morris C, Ren X, Hamilton W, Leskovec J. Hierarchical graph representation learning with differentiable pooling. *Adv Neural Inf Process Syst.* 2018;31.
- 127. Yun S, Jeong M, Kim R, Kang J, Kim HJ. Graph transformer networks. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2019;32.

- **128.** Zhou J, Cui G, Hu S, et al. Graph neural networks: a review of methods and applications. *AI open*. 2020;1:57–81.
- 129. Amodeo C, Fortel I, Ajilore O, Zhan L, Leow A, Tulabandhula T. Unified embeddings of structural and functional connectome via a function-constrained structural graph variational auto-encoder. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:406–415.
- 130. Bai Y, Pascal Z, Calhoun V, Wang Y-P. Optimized combination of multiple graphs with application to the integration of brain imaging and (epi) genomics data. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(6):1801–1811.
- 131. Bessadok A, Mahjoub MA, Rekik I. Topology-aware generative adversarial network for joint prediction of multiple brain graphs from a single brain graph. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, October 4–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 23. Springer; 2020: 551–561.
- 132. Cai H, Gao Y, Liu M. Graph transformer geometric learning of brain networks using multimodal mr images for brain age estimation. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;42(2): 456–466.
- 133. Demirbilek O, Rekik I, Initiative ADN, et al. Predicting the evolution trajectory of population-driven connectional brain templates using recurrent multigraph neural networks. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;83:102649.
- 134. D'Souza NS, Nebel MB, Crocetti D, Robinson J, Mostofsky S, Venkataraman A. A matrix autoencoder framework to align the functional and structural connectivity manifolds as guided by behavioral phenotypes. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:625–636.
- 135. Fang Y, Wang M, Potter GG, Liu M. Unsupervised cross-domain functional mri adaptation for automated major depressive disorder identification. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;84:102707.
- 136. Gurbuz MB, Rekik I. Deep graph normalizer: a geometric deep learning approach for estimating connectional brain templates. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, October 4–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 23. Springer; 2020:155–165.
- 137. Hu K, Wang Z, Martens KAE, et al. Graph fusion network-based multimodal learning for freezing of gait detection. *IEEE Transact Neural Networks Learn Syst.* 2023;34(3): 1588–1600.
- Huang J, Zhou L, Wang L, Zhang D. Attention-diffusion-bilinear neural network for brain network analysis. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2020;39(7):2541–2552.
- 139. Kim M, Bao J, Liu K, et al. A structural enriched functional network: an application to predict brain cognitive performance. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;71:102026.
- 140. Z. Kong, L. Sun, H. Peng, L. Zhan, Y. Chen, and L. He. Multiplex graph networks for multimodal brain network analysis. CoRR, abs/2108.00158, 2021. URL htt ps://arxiv.org/abs/2108.00158.
- 141. Li Y, Wei Q, Adeli E, Pohl KM, Zhao Q. Joint graph convolution for analyzing brain structural and functional connectome. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:231–240.
- 142. Liu L, Wang Y-P, Wang Y, Zhang P, Xiong S. An enhanced multi-modal brain graph network for classifying neuropsychiatric disorders. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;81: 102550.
- 143. Song X, Zhou F, Frangi AF, et al. Multicenter and multichannel pooling gcn for early ad diagnosis based on dual-modality fused brain network. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;42(2):354–367.
- 144. Songdechakraiwut T, Shen L, Chung M. Topological learning and its application to multimodal brain network integration. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part II 24. Springer; 2021:166–176.
- 145. Tang H, Guo L, Fu X, et al. Signed graph representation learning for functional-tostructural brain network mapping. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;83:102674.
- 146. Wang M, Shao W, Huang S, Zhang D. Hypergraph-regularized multimodal learning by graph diffusion for imaging genetics based alzheimer's disease diagnosis. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;89:102883.
- 147. Yan J, Chen Y, Yang S, et al. Multi-head gagnn: a multi-head guided attention graph neural network for modeling spatio-temporal patterns of holistic brain functional networks. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:564–573.
- 148. Yao D, Sui J, Wang M, et al. A mutual multi-scale triplet graph convolutional network for classification of brain disorders using functional or structural connectivity. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2021;40(4):1279–1289.
- 149. Zhang L, Wang L, Gao J, et al. Deep fusion of brain structure-function in mild cognitive impairment. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;72:102082.
- Zhang L, Wang L, Zhu D, et al. Predicting brain structural network using functional connectivity. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;79:102463.
- 151. Zhang Y, Zhan L, Wu S, Thompson P, Huang H. Disentangled and proportional representation learning for multi-view brain connectomes. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:508–518.
- 152. Zhao C, Zhan L, Thompson PM, Huang H. Explainable contrastive multiview graph representation of brain, mind, and behavior. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:356–365.
- 153. Zhao C, Zhan L, Thompson PM, Huang H. Revealing continuous brain dynamical organization with multimodal graph transformer. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022: 346–355.

- 154. Zhu Z, Huang T, Zhen Z, Wang B, Wu X, Li S. From smri to task-fmri: a unified geometric deep learning framework for cross-modal brain anatomo-functional mapping. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;83:102681.
- 155. Zhang W, Zhan L, Thompson P, Wang Y. Deep representation learning for multimodal brain networks. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, October 4–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 23. Springer; 2020:613–624.
- 156. Ye K, Tang H, Dai S, et al. Bidirectional mapping with contrastive learning on multimodal neuroimaging data. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2023:138–148.
- Anand DV, Chung MK. Hodge laplacian of brain networks. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2023;42(5):1563–1573.
- 158. Chen J, Yang Y, Yu T, Fan Y, Mo X, Brainnet C Yang. Epileptic wave detection from seeg with hierarchical graph diffusion learning. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. 2022: 2741–2751.
- 159. Choi I, Wu G, Kim WH. How much to aggregate: learning adaptive node-wise scales on graphs for brain networks. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2022:376–385.
- 160. Duran FS, Beyaz A, Rekik I. Dual-hinet: dual hierarchical integration network of multigraphs for connectional brain template learning. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2022: 305–314.
- 161. Huang J, Chung MK, Qiu A. Heterogeneous graph convolutional neural network via hodge-laplacian for brain functional data. In: *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging.* Springer; 2023:278–290.
- 162. Isallari M, Rekik I. Brain graph super-resolution using adversarial graph neural network with application to functional brain connectivity. *Med Image Anal.* 2021; 71:102084.
- 163. Jung W, Heo D-W, Jeon E, Lee J, Suk H-I. Inter-regional high-level relation learning from functional connectivity via self-supervision. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part II 24. Springer; 2021:284–293.
- 164. Kang E, Heo D-W, Suk H-I. Prototype learning of inter-network connectivity for asd diagnosis and personalized analysis. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:334–343.
- 165. Liu H, Cai H, Yang D, Zhu W, Wu G, Chen J. Learning pyramidal multi-scale harmonic wavelets for identifying the neuropathology propagation patterns of alzheimer's disease. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;87:102812.
- Liu M, Zhang H, Shi F, Shen D. Building dynamic hierarchical brain networks and capturing transient meta-states for early mild cognitive impairment diagnosis. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:574–583.
 Ma X, Wu G, Hwang SJ, Kim WH. Learning multi-resolution graph edge embedding
- 167. Ma X, Wu G, Hwang SJ, Kim WH. Learning multi-resolution graph edge embedding for discovering brain network dysfunction in neurological disorders. In: Information Processing in Medical Imaging: 27th International Conference, IPMI 2021, Virtual Event, June 28–June 30, 2021, Proceedings 27. Springer; 2021:253–266.
- 168. Mhiri I, Mahjoub MA, Rekik I. Supervised multi-topology network cross-diffusion for population-driven brain network atlas estimation. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2020: 23rd International Conference, Lima, Peru, October 4–8, 2020, Proceedings, Part VII 23. Springer; 2020:166–176.
- 169. Pang T, Zhu D, Liu T, Han J, Zhao S. Hierarchical brain networks decomposition via prior knowledge guided deep belief network. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:251–260.
- 170. Sahoo D, Satterthwaite TD, Davatzikos C. Hierarchical extraction of functional connectivity components in human brain using resting-state fmri. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2020;40(3):940–950.
- 171. Tang H, Guo L, Fu X, et al. A hierarchical graph learning model for brain network regression analysis. *Front Neurosci.* 2022;16:963082.
- 172. Tang H, Guo L, Fu X, et al. Hierarchical brain embedding using explainable graph learning. In: 2022 IEEE 19th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI). IEEE; 2022:1–5.
- 173. Tang H, Ma G, Guo L, Fu X, Huang H, Zhan L. Contrastive brainnetwork learning via hierarchical signed graph pooling model. *IEEE Transact Neural Networks Learn Syst.* 2022:1–13.
- 174. Ting C-M, Samdin SB, Tang M, Ombao H. Detecting dynamic community structure in functional brain networks across individuals: a multilayer approach. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2020;40(2):468–480.
- 175. Xiao L, Wang J, Kassani PH, et al. Multi-hypergraph learning-based brain functional connectivity analysis in fmri data. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(5):1746–1758.
- 176. Yang D, Zhu X, Yan C, et al. Joint hub identification for brain networks by multivariate graph inference. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;73:102162.
- 177. Zhang H, Song R, Wang L, et al. Classification of brain disorders in rs-fmri via localto-global graph neural networks. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;42(2):444–455.
- 178. Zhang J, Zhou L, Wang L, Liu M, Shen D. Diffusion kernel attention network for brain disorder classification. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;41(10):2814–2827.
- 179. Pang T, Zhao S, Han J, Zhang S, Guo L, Liu T. Gumbel-softmax based neural architecture search for hierarchical brain networks decomposition. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;82:102570.
- 180. Azevedo T, Campbell A, Romero-Garcia R, et al. A deep graph neural network architecture for modelling spatio-temporal dynamics in resting-state functional mri data. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;79:102471.
- 181. Dai M, Zhang Z, Srivastava A. Analyzing dynamical brain functional connectivity as trajectories on space of covariance matrices. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(3):611–620.

- 182. Dan T, Huang Z, Cai H, Laurienti PJ, Wu G. Learning brain dynamics of evolving manifold functional mri data using geometric-attention neural network. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;41(10):2752–2763.
- 183. Demirbilek O, Rekik I. Recurrent multigraph integrator network for predicting the evolution of population-driven brain connectivity templates. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:584–594.
- 184. He M, Hou X, Ge E, et al. Multi-head attention-based masked sequence model for mapping functional brain networks. *Front Neurosci.* 2023;17:1183145.
- 185. Huang J, Wang M, Ju H, Shi Z, Ding W, Zhang D. Sd-cnn: a static-dynamic convolutional neural network for functional brain networks. *Med Image Anal.* 2023; 83:102679.
- 186. Huang S-G, Xia J, Xu L, Qiu A. Spatio-temporal directed acyclic graph learning with attention mechanisms on brain functional time series and connectivity. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;77:102370.
- 187. Huang Z, Cai H, Dan T, Lin Y, Laurienti P, Wu G. Detecting brain state changes by geometric deep learning of functional dynamics on riemannian manifold. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part VII 24. Springer; 2021:543–552.
- 188. Kam T-E, Zhang H, Jiao Z, Shen D. Deep learning of static and dynamic brain functional networks for early mci detection. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(2): 478–487.
- 189. Kassani PH, Xiao L, Zhang G, et al. Causality-based feature fusion for brain neurodevelopmental analysis. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2020;39(11):3290–3299.
- 190. Li Q, Wu X, Liu T. Differentiable neural architecture search for optimal spatial/ temporal brain function network decomposition. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;69:101974.
- 191. Li Y, Liu J, Tang Z, Lei B. Deep spatial-temporal feature fusion from adaptive dynamic functional connectivity for mci identification. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2020; 39(9):2818–2830.
- 192. Li Y, Liu J, Jiang Y, Liu Y, Lei B. Virtual adversarial training-based deep feature aggregation network from dynamic effective connectivity for mci identification. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2021;41(1):237–251.
- 193. Lin S, Tang S, Grafton ST, Singh AK. Deep representations for time-varying brain datasets. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. 2022:999–1009.
- 194. Liu T, Wang L, Suo D, et al. Resting-state functional mri of healthy adults: temporal dynamic brain coactivation patterns. *Radiology*. 2022;304(3):624–632.
- 195. Shinn M, Hu A, Turner L, et al. Functional brain networks reflect spatial and temporal autocorrelation. *Nat Neurosci.* 2023:1–12.
- 196. Wang J, Li H, Qu G, et al. Dynamic weighted hypergraph convolutional network for brain functional connectome analysis. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;87:102828.
- 197. Wang M, Huang J, Liu M, Zhang D. Modeling dynamic characteristics of brain functional connectivity networks using resting-state functional mri. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;71:102063.
- 198. Yan J, Chen Y, Xiao Z, et al. Modeling spatio-temporal patterns of holistic functional brain networks via multi-head guided attention graph neural networks (multi-head gagnns). *Med Image Anal.* 2022;80:102518.
- 199. Yang F, Meng R, Cho H, Wu G, Kim WH. Disentangled sequential graph autoencoder for preclinical alzheimer's disease characterizations from adni study. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2021: 24th International Conference, Strasbourg, France, September 27–October 1, 2021, Proceedings, Part II 24. Springer; 2021:362–372.
- 200. Yang P, Zhao C, Yang Q, et al. Diagnosis of obsessive-compulsive disorder via spatial similarity-aware learning and fused deep polynomial network. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;75:102244.
- 201. Zhang G, Cai B, Zhang A, et al. Estimating dynamic functional brain connectivity with a sparse hidden markov model. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(2):488–498.
- 202. Zhao L, Wu Z, Dai H, et al. A generic framework for embedding human brain function with temporally correlated autoencoder. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;89: 102892.
- 203. Zhao Y, Zhao Y, Durongbhan P, et al. Imaging of nonlinear and dynamic functional brain connectivity based on eeg recordings with the application on the diagnosis of alzheimer's disease. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(5):1571–1581.
- 204. Zhu Q, Xu R, Wang R, Xu X, Zhang Z, Zhang D. Stacked topological preserving dynamic brain networks representation and classification. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;41(11):3473–3484.
- 205. Zhu Y, Li X, Qiao Y, et al. Widespread plasticity of cognition-related brain networks in single-sided deafness revealed by randomized window-based dynamic functional connectivity. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;73:102163.
- 206. Zhuang J, Dvornek N, Tatikonda S, Papademetris X, Ventola P, Duncan JS. Multipleshooting adjoint method for whole-brain dynamic causal modeling. In: *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging.* Springer; 2021:58–70.
- 207. Moguilner S, García AM, Perl YS, et al. Dynamic brain fluctuations outperform connectivity measures and mirror pathophysiological profiles across dementia subtypes: a multicenter study. *Neuroimage*. 2021;225:117522.
- 208. Abrate C, Bonchi F. Counterfactual graphs for explainable classification of brain networks. In: Proceedings of the 27th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. 2021:2495–2504.
- 209. Bai X, Wang X, Liu X, et al. Explainable deep learning for efficient and robust pattern recognition: a survey of recent developments. *Pattern Recogn.* 2021;120: 108102.
- 210. Cui H, Dai W, Zhu Y, Li X, He L, Yang C. Interpretable graph neural networks for connectome-based brain disorder analysis. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:375–385.

H. Tang et al.

- 211. D'Souza NS, Venkataraman A, mspd-nn. A geometrically aware neural framework for biomarker discovery from functional connectomics manifolds. In: *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging.* Springer; 2023:53–65.
- 212. Grigis A, Gomez C, Frouin V, Uhrig L, Jarraya B. Interpretable signature of consciousness in resting-state functional network brain activity. In: International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention. Springer; 2022:261–270.
- 213. Lanciano T, Bonchi F, Gionis A. Explainable classification of brain networks via contrast subgraphs. In: Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining. 2020:3308–3318.
- Li X, Zhou Y, Dvornek N, et al. Interpretable brain graph neural network for fmri analysis. *Med Image Anal.* 2021;74:102233.
- Liu H, Dan T, Huang Z, et al. A harmonic holography for self-organized brain function. In: International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging. Springer; 2023:29–40.
- 216. Zhang S, Wang J, Yu S, et al. An explainable deep learning framework for characterizing and interpreting human brain states. *Med Image Anal.* 2023;83: 102665.
- 217. Ji J, Zou A, Liu J, Yang C, Zhang X. A survey on brain effective connectivity network learning. *IEEE Transact Neural Networks Learn Syst.* 2023;34(4):1879–1899.

- 218. Campbell KM, Dai H, Su Z, Bauer M, Fletcher PT, Joshi SC. Structural connectome atlas construction in the space of riemannian metrics. In: *International Conference on Information Processing in Medical Imaging*. Springer; 2021:291–303.
- 219. Consagra W, Cole M, Zhang Z. Analyzing brain structural connectivity as continuous random functions. In: *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. Springer; 2022:276–285.
- 220. Sundaram P, Luessi M, Bianciardi M, Stufflebeam S, Hämäläinen M, Solo V. Individual resting-state brain networks enabled by massive multivariate conditional mutual information. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2019;39(6):1957–1966.
- **221.** Wang Y, Ma J, Chen X, Liu B. Accurately modeling the resting brain functional correlations using wave equation with spatiotemporal varying hypergraph laplacian. *IEEE Trans Med Imag.* 2022;41(12):3787–3798.
- 222. Wang M, Zhang D, Huang J, Liu M, Liu Q. Consistent connectome landscape mining for cross-site brain disease identification using functional mri. *Med Image Anal.* 2022;82:102591.
- 223. Yang Y, Cui H, Yang C. Pre-train graph neural networks for brain network analysis. In: 2022 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data). IEEE; 2022: 4993–4994.
- 224. Hu J, Huang Y, Wang N, Dongs Brainnpt: Pre-training of transformer networks for brain network classification. *CoRR*, *abs/2305.01666*. 2023.