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Abstract.

**Background:** Dementia of the Alzheimer’s type (DAT) impacts Hispanics disproportionately, with almost a twofold elevated risk of developing DAT, as well as earlier onset of the disease, than in non-Hispanic Whites. However, the role of main risk factors for DAT, such as APOE-ε4 and blood pressure (BP) levels, remains uncertain among Hispanics.

**Objective:** To investigate the association of APOE-ε4 and BP levels, measures with 24-h ambulatory BP monitoring, with incidence of DAT in an elderly cohort of Hispanics.

**Methods:** 1,320 participants from the Maracaibo Aging Study, free of dementia at the baseline, and with ambulatory BP measurements and APOE genotype available were included. Adjusted Cox proportional models were performed to examine 1) the incidence of DAT and 2) the relationship between BP levels and DAT according to APOE genotypes. Models were adjusted by competing risk of death before the onset of DAT. Model performance was assessed by likelihood test.

**Results:** The average follow-up time was 5.3 years. DAT incidence was 5.8 per 1000 person-year. APOE-ε4 carriers had a higher risk of DAT. In unadjusted analyses, conventional, 24-h, and nighttime systolic BP levels were significantly higher in participants who developed DAT and of APOE-ε4 carriers (p < 0.05). After adjustment for competing risks, only higher nighttime systolic BP was associated with DAT incidence, but only among subjects carrying APOE-ε4.

**Conclusion:** In this Hispanic population, both APOE-ε4 genotype and assessment of nocturnal systolic BP (rather than diurnal or office BP) were necessary to estimate DAT risk.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Dementia of the Alzheimer’s type (DAT) affects Hispanics disproportionately, with almost a twofold elevated risk of developing DAT, as well as earlier onset of the disease, than in non-Hispanic Whites [1, 2]. Despite evidence that intensive BP control helps prevent cognitive decline and dementia [3], and that hypertension is by far the dominant reversible risk factor for AD, risk stratification for AD among hypertensives is still controversial [4], especially among minority populations. Hispanics are less likely to have their blood pressure (BP) controlled than their White counterparts, even if treated with antihypertensive medications [5], and it has been suggested that the higher cardiovascular burden attributed to hypertension is partially responsible for the higher risk of DAT. Although Hispanics constitute a diverse group, the trends in BP control and the magnitude of incident DAT seem to be consistent across the United States and in Latin America and the Caribbean [6–8]. However, no previous epidemiological studies assessed the role of high BP or other known risk factors in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) incidence in Hispanics.

The reason for the elevated risk of AD among Hispanics is uncertain, but is suspected to involve complex inherited and environmental factors, as well as gene-environment interactions. The apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene is one of the most robust factors in the predisposition to AD, and the role of the ε4 variant is still being studied, particularly in Hispanics [9, 10]. Since both high BP and APOE genotypes influence the odds of cardiovascular death and AD, the individual and synergistic roles of hypertension and APOE-ε4 need to be clarified. This requires long-term studies of populations that are relatively homogeneous in genetics and lifestyle. The present study used a longitudinal cohort of Venezuelans, followed for more than a decade, to test two hypotheses: 1) APOE genotype is associated with incidence of DAT, and 2) the relationship between BP and DAT risk is influenced by APOE genotype. To improve the accuracy of the results, BP was assessed using both conventional BP measurements and ambulatory BP monitoring, which provides a more reliable assessment of cardiovascular risk and a better prognostic for AD than conventional BP measurements [11].

**METHODS**

**Study population**

The Maracaibo Aging Study (MAS) is a longitudinal, population-based study of individuals ≥55 years of age, residing in Maracaibo, Santa Lucia County, Venezuela [12]. Detailed methodology of the MAS is described elsewhere [12]. For the present study, we excluded subjects without APOE genotyping (n = 307) or follow-up data (n = 826), out of the 2,453 individuals evaluated at baseline (Fig. 1). Since the APOE-ε4 risk for DAT has been reported to be attenuated for Hispanics [10], we first tested the strength of this association with a total of 1,320 subjects that were dementia-free at baseline and who had
data on APOE and DAT incidence. We then tested if the relationship between BP and DAT risk is influenced by APOE genotype, because previous studies suggested that continuous associations exist between DAT incidence and BP, even in the normal range of systolic and diastolic BP, and that this risk is modified by presence of comorbidities or other cardiovascular risk factors. Because ambulatory BP more accurately predicts target organ damage than office BP [11], we selected 773 subjects that also had 24-h ambulatory BP records. Informed consent was obtained for each participant or from a surrogate when appropriate, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Cardiovascular Center at the University of Zulia in Maracaibo.

Dementia assessment and differential diagnosis

The standardized assessment and differential diagnosis of dementia in MAS has been previously described in detail [1, 12]. Dementia cases included extensive, detailed follow-up and evaluations by a multidisciplinary team. First, a family interview was conducted by two trained social workers to follow-up with participants. An informant (usually a spouse or adult child residing in the same home as the participant) was identified as knowledgeable about the participant’s daily activities and health issues. Information regarding changes in the abilities of the participant was collected using an adapted version of the Dementia Questionnaire [13], the Blessed Dementia Scale [14], and the Self-Maintaining and Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale [15], and a semi-structured interview for family history of dementia. Dementia diagnoses were made in consensus conference with physicians, psychologists, and social workers, using the diagnostic strategy developed for the Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Project in New York [16], including the Mini-Mental State Examination modified by Stern [17]. Dementia was identified if participants scored ≥1 on the Clinical Dementia Rate (CDR) scale, and also exhibited cognitive impairment resulting in a functional decline in social or occupational activities not explained by other conditions. Types of dementia cases were classified following the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV). Based on current recommendations, we termed AD as DAT [18].

Blood pressure measurements

At least two conventional BP measures were obtained for each participant by trained nurses at the examination center during baseline and each follow-up visit, using the appropriate cuff size and a validated automated device (Dynamap, XL), with the subjects in the sitting position. Ambulatory BP
monitoring records were obtained using the 90207 SpaceLabs system, programmed to obtain readings every 15 minutes during the daytime (06:00 to 23:00) and every 30 minutes during nighttime (23:00 to 06:00). As reported elsewhere [19], the percentage of valid ambulatory BP monitoring measurements was >80%, with a median of 67 out of the 82 programmed recordings during a 24-h period. To identify the decrease or increase in nocturnal BP levels in relation to daytime BP level, we used the night/day BP ratio multiplied by 100%.

Apolipoprotein E genotyping and other clinical measurements

As previously reported for the MAS [20], APOE genotyping was performed using the polymerase chain reaction and CfoI digestion, under conditions described by Hixson and Vernier [21]. All six APOE gene variants were identified. Individuals with the e3/e4 and e4/e4 genotypes were grouped as APOE-e4, and individuals with the e3/e3 genotype were used as the reference group.

Participants underwent standardized physical and clinical evaluations. Laboratory assessments included hematology and blood biochemistry. Body mass index (BMI) was weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m²). Diabetes was defined as fasting glucose level $\geq 126$ mg/dL or use of glucose-lowering medication. History of cardiovascular events included myocardial infarction, stroke, coronary bypass, angina pectoris, or congestive heart failure.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive information is presented as mean ± standard deviation, and frequency as percentage. Significance of differences among APOE groups was determined using the chi-square test for categorical data, and t-test for continuous data. For each participant, person-years (p-y) to dementia was calculated as the number of years from baseline until 1) the time of onset, or 2) the time of the last assessment in which the individual was found not to have dementia, but subsequently remained in the study. Incidence per 1000 p-y was estimated as the number of new cases of dementia, divided by the at-risk p-y, multiplied by 1000 [22]. Confidence intervals were calculated assuming a Poisson distribution for the number of cases within each group. In exploratory analyses, we plotted the cumulative incidence of DAT by categories of nighttime systolic and diastolic BP level, while standardizing for sex and age (grouped as $<70$, 70 to $<80$, and $\geq 80$ years).

Because hypertension is a shared risk factor for both death and DAT, the competing risk due to death might bias the association between BP and DAT [23, 24]. Individuals with elevated BP levels at baseline have an increased risk of death, and a proportion of them would die before the diagnosis of DAT. Therefore, we used the proportional sub-distribution hazards model developed by Fine and Gray [25] and modified by Chang et al. [26]. Multivariate Cox proportional models integrated sub-hazard ratios (Sub-HR) for DAT risk in the two APOE groups, based on conventional BP and ambulatory BP monitoring data. Covariables were selected according to significance differences in baseline characteristics between subjects who developed DAT and controls who did not. The association between night-to-day ratio and DAT was assessed by Cox proportional models without adjustment of sub-hazard ratios. Improvement in performance of competing Cox proportional regressions was assessed from change in the area under the curve (AUC), and by the log likelihood ratio and the generalized R² statistic [27]. The AUC was assessed in the context of survival analysis using Harrell’s C Statistic [28]. For database management and statistical analysis, we used the SAS software, version 9.4, maintenance level 5 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), and STATA (Version 14th). For all analyses, $p$ values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics and incidence of Alzheimer’s disease

MAS participants who were included in the present study showed some significant differences from those who were excluded (Supplementary Table 1). For example, the included subjects were younger on average, had a higher incidence of diabetes mellitus, and had a lower rate of cardiovascular disease than the excluded group.

APOE e4 carriers made up 22.2% of the study population, and baseline characteristics and BP measurements were similar for subjects with APOE-e4 and APOE-e3/e3 (Supplementary Table 2). Individuals with APOE-e3/e3 who developed DAT were significantly older at baseline, had less education,
lower BMI, and a lower rate of alcohol intake than those who did not develop DAT (Table 1). Individuals with APOE-ε4 who developed DAT were older at baseline, had less education, and had higher triacylglyceride levels than those who did not develop DAT. Based on these differences, age, sex, education, alcohol intake, triacylglycerides, and BMI were selected as covariables.

Apolipoprotein E and incidence of dementia of the Alzheimer’s type

Follow-up time was not significantly different for the groups with APOE-ε4/ε4 and APOE-ε3/ε3 alleles (Supplementary Table 2). We followed individuals for an average of 5.3 y, with a total of 6,920 p-y (Supplementary Table 4). DAT incidence was highest in individuals with APOE-ε4/ε4 and lowest in participants with APOE-ε3/ε3. In comparison to those with APOE-ε3/ε3, individuals with the APOE-ε3/ε4 and APOE-ε4/ε4 alleles had significantly higher sub-hazard ratios for DAT. When APOE-ε3/ε4 and ε4/ε4 were grouped and plotted in a survival graphic, those individuals had a significantly higher sub-hazard risk for DAT than those with APOE-ε3/ε3 (Supplementary Figure 1).

The risk for DAT was similar for women and men (Table 2). The presence of APOE-ε4 was a significant risk factor for DAT among women, but not among men.

Table 1
Characteristics of individuals with versus without incident dementia of the Alzheimer’s type (DAT), stratified by the presence of Apolipoprotein ε4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>APOE-ε3/ε3 (n = 1,033)</th>
<th>APOE-ε4 (n = 287)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dementia-free (n = 1008)</td>
<td>Dementia-free (n = 272)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>65.9 ± 8.0</td>
<td>77.3 ± 8.1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>688 (68.3)</td>
<td>18 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of education, y</td>
<td>6.1 ± 4.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 3.8†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>468 (46.9)</td>
<td>14 (56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake, n (%)</td>
<td>342 (34.3)</td>
<td>4 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td>21.7 ± 4.2</td>
<td>19.9 ± 4.2†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>170 (16.9)</td>
<td>3 (12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>825 (81.8)</td>
<td>21 (84.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive treatment, n (%)</td>
<td>313 (31.1)</td>
<td>4 (16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD, n (%)</td>
<td>137 (13.6)</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatine, mg/DL</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/DL</td>
<td>197.7 ± 56.6</td>
<td>193.6 ± 54.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triacylglycerides, mg/DL</td>
<td>157.6 ± 114</td>
<td>138.2 ± 116.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homocysteine, mg/DL</td>
<td>13.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>15.5 ± 6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline MMSE score,</td>
<td>44.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>33.4 ± 9.9†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last MMSE score,</td>
<td>43.2 ± 8.3</td>
<td>24.2 ± 9.7†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up, y</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>5.6 ± 3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVD, cardiovascular diseases; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination modified by Stern. *p < 0.10; †p < 0.05; ‡p < 0.01; §p < 0.001.

Table 2
Risk of dementia of the Alzheimer’s type by gender, and association with APOE-ε4 according to gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dementia of Alzheimer’s Type</th>
<th>No. of cases/subjects at risk</th>
<th>Sub-HR*</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk of DAT by gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>30/924</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.63–5.11</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>10/396</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.20–1.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk-association between APOE-ε4 and DAT by gender†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>12/218</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.9–10.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>3/69</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.9–20.3</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio; CI, confident interval; DAT, dementia of the Alzheimer’s type. *Sub-hazard ratio models were adjusted by age, education, alcohol intake, levels of triacylglycerides, and body mass index. †The risk-association between APOE-ε4 and DAT was estimated for women and men separately.
Blood pressure and incidence of dementia of the Alzheimer’s type

Subjects with the APOE-ε4 allele showed significant differences in BP between individuals with DAT and non-DAT controls, but subjects with APOE-ε3 did not (Supplementary Figure 2). Adjusted Cox models, that were proportional and considered death as a competitive risk, showed that nighttime systolic BP was a significant risk factor for the development of DAT in the APOE-ε4 group (Fig. 2). However, conventional, 24-hour, diastolic, or daytime systolic BP did not predict development of DAT in the APOE-ε4 group, and none of the BP measurements predicted development in the APOE-ε3 group. When nighttime systolic and diastolic BP level were categorized, we observed that the cumulative incidence of DAT increased according to higher categories of nighttime systolic BP level ($p = 0.023$) (Fig. 3). The higher the systolic night-to-day ratio associated with DAT risk among APOE-ε4 carriers. However, when the models were adjusted by 24-h BP level, the association did not remain significant (Table 3). Controlled nighttime systolic BP < 115 mmHg was associated with lower risk for DAT (Supplementary Table 5).

Improved model predicting dementia of the Alzheimer’s type

Based on results shown in Fig. 3, nighttime systolic BP was selected to assess improvement in the model performance (Table 4). All Harrell’s Concordance Statistic values were $\geq 0.829$, indicating a strong model. Although adding nighttime systolic BP to the base model for the total sample did not improve model fit, adding APOE-ε4 did significantly improve model fit. Adding nighttime systolic BP levels to the model with only the APOE-ε4 group also significantly improved model fit.

DISCUSSION

Our results indicated that high nocturnal systolic BP is a significant risk factor for DAT, but only among individuals carrying the APOE-ε4 allele. It is critically important to use ambulatory BP monitoring, rather than BP measurements, and APOE genotyping as predictors of dementia risk. This conclusion was based on a large sample of elderly Hispanics, whose data were adjusted for confounding factors (age, sex, education, alcohol intake, triacylglycerides, and body mass index), and death was considered as a competing risk.

Results of previous studies of hypertension and AD are inconsistent. Some showed no significant association in the elderly [24]; others concluded that the relationship was mediated by age [4, 29, 30, 31], with midlife hypertension being the strongest risk factor [32, 33]. Our results provide robust evidence of the effect of hypertension on development of DAT in elderly Hispanics. Moreover, individuals in our cohort whose BP was controlled by antihypertensive treatment had reduced risk of DAT.

Our results show a significant interactive effect of APOE genotype and hypertension on risk for DAT.

![Fig. 2. Sub-Hazard Ratios for Dementia of Alzheimer’s Type in Relation to Blood Pressure Measurements According to the Presence of APOE-ε4. Panel A contains the estimations among participants carrying the APOE-ε3/ε3. Meanwhile, panel B shows subjects carrying the APOE-ε4. The squares represent the sub-hazard ratios, and the lines aside show the 95% confident interval. The estimations were adjusted by age, sex, education, alcohol intake, triacylglycerides, and body mass index.](image-url)
Fig. 3. Cumulative Incidence for Dementia of the Alzheimer’s Type (DAT) According to Categories of Nighttime Systolic and Diastolic Blood Pressure Level. The incidence was standardized by sex and age (grouped as <70, ≥70 to <80, and ≥80 years). Panels A and B shows the incidence of DAT by categories of nighttime systolic blood pressure (BP) levels among participants carriers (A) and non-carriers (B) of APOE-ε4, while panels C and D shows for categories of nighttime diastolic BP levels; C and D panels for APOE-4ε carriers and non-carriers; respectively. Categories of nighttime BP level were defined based on the 2017 American guideline [48]. Thresholds for nighttime systolic normal BP, elevated BP, stage-1, stage-2 combined with severe hypertension were <100, ≥100 to <110, ≥110 to <120, ≥120 mmHg; respectively, meanwhile for nighttime diastolic normal BP, stage-1, stage-2 combined with severe hypertension were <65, ≥65 to <70, ≥70 mmHg.

This supports previous conclusions that hypertensive individuals carrying at least one APOE-ε4 allele are at greater risk for cognitive decline, cerebrovascular disease, and high amyloid-β accumulation than individuals with either factor alone [34, 35]. Gene-environment [36, 37] and gene-gene [38] interactions have been shown to modulate the influence of APOE-ε4 as a risk factor for AD, which might partly explain why the risk of AD among Hispanic APOE-ε4 carriers is higher than in non-carriers, but lower than in their White counterparts. The mechanisms by which the APOE-ε4 allele affects the association between BP and AD are not known. It has been hypothesized that vascular and genetic factors have a synergistic effect on brain impairment via increased susceptibility to accumulation of neural insults, cognitive decline, and amyloid-β accumulation [34]. Some researchers have suggested that APOE-ε4 pre-disposes carriers to cerebrovasculature damage by hypertension [39].
Table 3

Association between night-to-day blood pressure ratio and dementia of the Alzheimer’s type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Association of +10% increase of the night-to-day ratio and DAT</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Adjusted†</th>
<th>Fully-adjusted‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)*</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the whole sample</td>
<td>Night-to-day systolic BP ratio</td>
<td>1.88 (1.17–3.03)</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Night-to-day diastolic BP ratio</td>
<td>1.46 (0.92–2.32)</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In APOE-ε3/ε3 carriers</td>
<td>Night-to-day systolic BP ratio</td>
<td>1.60 (0.81–3.13)</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Night-to-day diastolic BP ratio</td>
<td>1.19 (0.61–2.32)</td>
<td>0.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In APOE-ε4 carriers</td>
<td>Night-to-day systolic BP ratio</td>
<td>3.33 (1.27–8.76)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Night-to-day diastolic BP ratio</td>
<td>2.41 (0.93–6.26)</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAT, dementia of Alzheimer’s type; CI, confidence interval; BP, blood pressure. *Hazard ratios given with 95% confidence per 10% increase in the night-to-day ratio. Night-to-day ratio was \[(\text{nighttime BP/daytime BP}) \times 100\]. †Models accounted for age, sex, education, alcohol intake, triacylglycerides, and body mass index, and use of anti-hypertensive treatment. ‡Fully-adjustment additionally accounted for 24-h BP levels.

Table 4

Fit of Cox proportional regression models relating the dementia of the Alzheimer’s type to nighttime systolic blood pressure levels and APOE-ε4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>C-Statistic*</th>
<th>χ² Statistic</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>R² (%)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In the whole sample</td>
<td>+Base model†</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Base model† + nighttime systolic BP levels</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Base model† + nighttime systolic BP levels + APOE-ε4</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In APOE-ε3/ε3 carriers</td>
<td>+Base model†</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>20.54</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Base model† + nighttime systolic BP levels</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>20.62</td>
<td>0.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In APOE-ε4 carriers</td>
<td>+Base model†</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>12.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+Base model† + nighttime systolic BP levels</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>16.27</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP, blood pressure. *The c-statistic was estimated by using Harrell’s Concordance Statistic. Values over 0.8 indicate a strong model; a value of 1 means that the model perfectly predicts those participants who will develop dementia of Alzheimer’s type and those who will not. †Basic model accounted for age, sex, education, alcohol intake, triacylglycerides, and body mass index. ‡R² is an estimate of the additional variance explained (https://apha.confex.com/apha/134am/techprogram/paper_135906.htm).

Both APOE-ε4 and altered nocturnal dipping profile have been associated with increased white matter hyperintensity volume [40, 41]. Therefore, the results presented here support the notion that co-occurrence of APOE-ε4 and increased nighttime BP may increase the likelihood of small vessel disease, which in turn increases the likelihood of DAT development. The superior prognostic value of nocturnal BP could be due to minimization of activity and mental stress during sleep, reflecting better the hemodynamic condition of the individual. Inflammation has been reported in association to both high nocturnal BP [42] and APOE-ε4 [43], with potential deleterious effect in the blood-brain barrier, suggesting that APOE-ε4 could amplify the effect of high nocturnal BP on the brain.
Our group previously found sex-related differences in the relationship between APOE-ε4 and AD prevalence [20]. In the present study, after accounting for death as competing risk, the association between APOE-ε4 and DAT incidence remained significant only among women. This result could be explained by physiopathological mechanisms related to sex, and/or social or environmental factors that influence mortality of men <55 y (the enrollment age of this study).

Nocturnal BP level is the best predictor of DAT incidence in elderly individuals carrying APOE-ε4, as shown by the improvement in our model performance when nighttime systolic BP levels were added to the base model. Nocturnal BP is also the best predictor of cardiovascular events in MAS participants as well as in other populations [11], and is an important factor determining the extent of cerebral white matter lesions [44], which is linked to amyloid deposition in AD patients [45], as well as to incidence of AD [46]. Thus, high nocturnal BP appears to have the greatest relevance to the physiopathological changes associated with development of DAT.

The present study has several limitations. First, our dementia diagnoses relied on extensive clinical evaluation, but were not confirmed by autopsy. Second, the number of individuals with both APOE genotyping and ambulatory BP monitoring records was relatively small (n = 773), reducing the power of our statistical analyses. These limitations are offset by several strengths: the longitudinal nature of the study, the relative homogeneous composition of the Hispanics studied, and the use of ambulatory BP monitoring, which provided a more accurate BP assessment than conventional BP measurements.

In summary, our data and model showed that, even after accounting for the competing risk of death, the association of hypertension and DAT remained significant, but only among carriers of APOE-ε4. Because the burden of cardiovascular risk factors, and therefore risk of death, is higher in APOE-ε4 than in APOE-ε3/ε3 carriers [47], stratification of risk based on both hypertension, particularly nocturnal BP, and presence of APOE-ε4 greatly improves prediction of risk for DAT. As hypertension is a common and modifiable risk factor among elderly patients, and DAT risk is reduced by treatment and control, physicians should be aware of the interactive influence of hypertension and the APOE-ε4 allele on risk of AD. Patients known to be hypertensive and APOE-ε4 carriers should undergo ambulatory BP monitoring. Finally, better understanding the role of APOE-ε4 in the vascular pathogenesis of AD is a promising approach to reducing risk of DAT.
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