University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

ScholarWorks @ UTRGV

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations

College of Sciences

7-21-2021

Positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger equations with logarithmic and critical non-linearities

Haining Fan

Zhaosheng Feng The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley

Xingjie Yan

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/mss_fac



Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

Fan, H., Feng, Z. and Yan, X. (2021), Positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger equations with logarithmic and critical non-linearities. Trans. London Math. Soc., 8: 206-242. https://doi.org/10.1112/ tlm3.12034

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Sciences at ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact justin.white@utrgv.edu, william.flores01@utrgv.edu.



Positive solutions for the fractional Schrödinger equations with logarithmic and critical non-linearities

Haining Fan, Zhaosheng Feng and Xingjie Yan

Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of fractional Schrödinger equations involving logarithmic and critical non-linearities on an unbounded domain, and show that such an equation with positive or sign-changing weight potentials admits at least one positive ground state solution and the associated energy is positive (or negative). By applying the Nehari manifold method and Ljusternik–Schnirelmann category, we investigate how the weight potential affects the multiplicity of positive solutions, and obtain the relationship between the number of positive solutions and the category of some sets related to the weight potential.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study how the weight potential affects the existence of ground state solutions and the number of positive solutions of the fractional Schrödinger equation:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + u = \lambda a(x)u \ln|u| + b(x)|u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2}u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},$$
(1.1)

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $\lambda > 0$, $N > 4\alpha$, a(x) and b(x) are continuous and bounded weight potentials, and $2^*_{\alpha} = 2N/(N-2\alpha)$ is the fractional critical Sobolev exponent. Let $\wp(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the Schwartz space of rapidly decaying \mathcal{C}^{∞} functions in \mathbb{R}^N . The operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ is the fractional Laplacian defined by the Riesz potential [18]:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha}u(x)=-\frac{C(N,\alpha)}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\frac{u(x+y)+u(x-y)-2u(x)}{|y|^{N+2\alpha}}dy,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^{N},\ u\in\wp(\mathbb{R}^{N})$$

where

$$C(N,\alpha) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1 - \cos\xi_1}{|\xi|^{N+2\alpha}} d\xi\right)^{-1}, \ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N).$$

For the definition of the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha}$ and the fractional Sobolev spaces, we refer the reader to Nezza–Palatucci–Valdinoci [18].

Recall the classical Schrödinger elliptic equation:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.2)

where f(x,u) is a polynomial-type non-linearity, such as $f(x,u) = a(x)|u|^{q-2}u + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ with $2 < q < p \le 2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. del Pino–Kowalczyk–Wei [17] studied equation (1.2) with a small parameter for standing waves for a non-linear Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N when $f(x,u) = u^p, \ p > 1$. Floer–Weinstein [24] constructed positive solutions to this problem when p=3 and N=1, such that the concentration takes place near a given non-degenerate critical

Received 25 September 2020; revised 6 April 2021; published online 9 July 2021.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification 35A15, 35B09, 35B33, 35J60 (primary).

This work was supported by NSF and Fundamental Research Grant for Central Universities 2018QNA35.

^{© 2021} The Authors. Transactions of the London Mathematical Society is copyright © London Mathematical Society. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

point x_0 of V(x). Brezis-Nirenberg [5] obtained a positive solution of equation (1.2) in a bounded domain for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$ when $f(x, u) = \lambda |u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2^*-2}u$, where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Brezis [4] investigated how the weight potential a(x) affects the number of solutions of (1.2), when $f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{q-2}u + |u|^{2^*-2}u$. For more results related to equation (1.2), we refer the reader to [7, 12, 38] for the subcritical growth and [19, 21, 23] for the critical case.

Various non-linearities have a rather diverse group of applications in scientific fields [40, 42]. For example, logarithmic non-linearity appears frequently in partial differential equations which are widely applied to quantum mechanics, reaction-diffusion phenomena, nuclear physics, quantum optics, theory of superfluidity and Bose–Einstein condensation [43]. In particular, for the Schrödinger equation with a logarithmic non-linearity:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = a(x)u\ln u^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.3}$$

where V(x) and a(x) are periodic weight potentials, Squassina–Szulkin [34] studied (1.3) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to establish the existence of infinitely many geometrically distinct solutions. Shuai [32] proved the existence of positive and sign-changing solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ using the direction derivative and constrained minimization method. Tanaka–Zhang [35] considered a spatially periodic logarithmic Schrödinger equation and showed that there exist infinitely many multibump solutions that are distinct under a Z^N -action. For more results related to (1.3), we refer the reader to [1, 37, 39, 41] and the references therein.

In recent years, much attention has been focused on studying the problems involving the fractional Laplacian from both mathematical and application points of view [8, 13, 20, 26–28, 30, 33]. Laskin [26, 27] found a fractional generalization of the Schrödinger equation for the wave function in quantum mechanical systems by considering the Lévy flights instead of the Brownian motion in the Feynman path integral approaches:

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha} u + u = f(x, u), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$
(1.4)

By considering different expressions of the non-linearity f, quite many profound results have been established on the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions. For example, Servadei–Valdinoci [30] considered the model:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u = \lambda u + |u|^{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.5)

and obtained an extended version of the classical Brezis–Nirenberg result to the case of non-local fractional operators through variational techniques. For $f(x,u)=a(x)|u|^{q-2}u+|u|^{2_{\alpha}^*-2}u$ with $0< q< 2_{\alpha}^*-1$ in (1.4), Dipierro–Medina–Peral–Valdinoci [20] presented the existence of solutions by using the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction method. Moreover, for 0< q< 1, under a new functional setting, a fractional elliptic regularity theory was developed too. Chen–Li [10] established the radial symmetry and monotonicity for positive solutions to semilinear equations involving the fractional p-Laplacian in a unit ball and in the whole space. For more results related to (1.4), we refer to [2, 11 15, 16, 25 29, 31 36]. However, most of these results assume that f is of polynomial-type.

There is quite a natural and interesting question here: if the non-linearity of the fractional Schrödinger equation contains both logarithmic and critical terms like (1.1), how about the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for equation (1.1)? This is certainly not a trivial problem, because the logarithmic non-linearity does not satisfy the monotonicity condition (or Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition) and this type of non-linearity may change sign in \mathbb{R}^N . On the other hand, the appearance of logarithmic and critical non-linearity makes it more difficult for us to prove the convergence of the resultant (PS) sequence, and the non-local properties of fractional Laplacian operators also cause great difficulties for multiplicity of positive solutions.

To the best of our knowledge, very little has been undertaken on the fractional Schrödinger equations involving both logarithmic and critical non-linearities.

In the present paper, we will study the existence of ground state solutions of equation (1.1) with positive, or negative, or sign-changing weight potentials, and show how the weight potential affects the number of positive solutions.

Before stating our main results, we introduce some assumptions on a(x) and b(x).

- (H_1) $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} a(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$
- (H₂) There exist a compact set $M = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^N; b(z) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} b(x) = 1\}$ and a positive number $\rho > N$ such that $b(z) b(x) = O(|x z|^{\rho})$ as $x \to z$ uniformly in $z \in M$.
 - (H_3) $a(x) > 0, x \in M.$

REMARK 1.1. Let $M_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N ; dist(x, M) < r\}$ for r > 0. Then by $(H_2) - (H_3)$ there exist $C_0, r_0 > 0$ such that

$$a(x) > 0, \ x \in M_{r_0} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$$

and

$$b(z) - b(x) \le C_0 |x - z|^{\rho}, \ x \in B_{r_0}(z)$$

uniformly in $z \in M$, where $B_{r_0}(z) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x - z| < r_0\}.$

Remark 1.2. Define

$$b_{\infty} := \limsup_{|x| \to \infty} b(x).$$

Then $b_{\infty} < 1$.

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that condition (H_1) holds and a(x) is negative or sign-changing. Then there exists $\Lambda_1 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1)$, equation (1.1) has a positive ground state solution and the ground energy of (1.1) is negative.

THEOREM 1.2. Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_3)$ hold and $a(x) \ge 0$. Then there exists $\Lambda_2 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_2)$, equation (1.1) has a positive ground state solution and the ground energy of (1.1) is positive.

We will present the definitions of ground state solution and ground energy in Section 2. The following results are regarding the relationship between the number of positive solutions and the weight potentials a(x) and b(x).

THEOREM 1.3. Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_3)$ hold and a(x) is sign-changing. Then for each $\delta < r_0$, there exists $\Lambda_{\delta} > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_{\delta})$, equation (1.1) has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M) + 1$ distinct positive solutions, where cat means the Ljusternik–Schnirelmann category (see [40]).

THEOREM 1.4. Assume that conditions $(H_1) - (H_3)$ hold and $a(x) \ge 0$. Then for each $\delta < r_0$, there exists $\overline{\Lambda}_{\delta} > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\Lambda}_{\delta})$, equation (1.1) has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ distinct positive solutions.

REMARK 1.3. If the right-hand side of equation (1.1) changes to

$$\lambda a(x)(u + |u|^{q-2}u) + b(x)|u|^{2_{\alpha}^*-2}u,$$

with $q \in (1,2)$ and $N > 2\alpha$, by applying the techniques used in this paper, we can show that (1.1) with small $\lambda > 0$ admits at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M) + 1$ distinct positive solutions under the conditions $(H_1) - (H_3)$ and $a(x) \ge 0$ or a(x) is sign-changing, see [22].

Particularly, when $b(x) \equiv 1$, we have

COROLLARY 1.1. Assume that condition (H_1) holds and a(x) is sign-changing. Then there exists $\Lambda_3 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_3)$, equation (1.1) has at least two distinct positive solutions.

COROLLARY 1.2. Assume that condition (H_1) holds and $a(x) \ge 0$ but $a(x) \not\equiv 0$. Then there exists $\overline{\Lambda}_3 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\Lambda}_3)$, equation (1.1) has at least one positive ground state solution and the ground energy of (1.1) is positive.

REMARK 1.4. Corollaries 1.1 and 1.2 are the special cases of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, respectively, so we will omit the proofs.

To achieve our aim, the Nehari manifold and the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory are main tools in this study. The main feature which distinguishes this paper from other related works lies in the fact that in the proofs of our results, one of primary difficulties is that the logarithmic non-linearity does not satisfy the monotonicity condition or Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and this type of non-linearity may change sign in \mathbb{R}^N , which makes discussions more complicated and challenging than those without logarithmic non-linearity. Another primary obstacle is the lack of compactness caused by the unbounded domain and the critical non-linearity. Some concentration compactness results for the fractional Schrödinger equations seem correct but have not been proved yet and thus cannot be applied directly. All these difficulties mentioned above prevent us from using the classical variational methods in a standard way, so innovative techniques are highly needed.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions, present the variational setting for the problem and study some properties of the corresponding Nehari manifold. Moreover, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we obtain useful estimates and use them to prove Theorem 1.2. Section 4 is dedicated to the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 by means of the Nehari manifold method and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann category theory.

For convenience of our statements, throughout this paper we will use the following notation.

- \rightarrow (respectively, \rightarrow) the strong (respectively, weak) convergence.
- $|\cdot|_r$ the usual norm of the space $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $(1 \le r < \infty)$.
- $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ denotes the norm of the space $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.
- C or C_i $(i=0,1,2,\ldots)$ denotes positive constants that may change from line to line. $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ = \{(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{N+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} | x_{N+1} \geqslant 0\}.$
- $B_r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < r\}$ denotes a ball of radius r in Euclidean spaces.

2. Preliminaries and proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we first introduce the definition of α -harmonic extension. Then we present the variational setting for the problem and properties of the corresponding Nehari manifold. Finally, we use these properties to prove Theorem 1.1.

Denote the fractional Sobolev space $H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the completion of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm:

$$||u||_{H^{\alpha}} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |u|_2.$$

Then $H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $r \in [2, 2^*_{\alpha}]$, where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and 2^*_{α} is the fractional Sobolev critical exponent, and this embedding is locally compact while $r \in [1, 2^*_{\alpha})$ (see [18]).

To study the corresponding extension problem, we apply an extension method [9] and define the extension function in $H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ as follows.

DEFINITION 2.1. Given a function $u \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we define the α -harmonic extension $E_{\alpha}(u) = \omega$ to the problem:

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ \omega = u, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\}. \end{cases}$$

The extension function $\omega(x,y)$ has an explicit expression in term of the Poisson and Riesz kernel, that is,

$$\omega(x,y) = P_y^{\alpha} * u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_y^{\alpha}(x-\xi,u)u(\xi)d\xi,$$

where $P_y^{\alpha}(x) = C(N, \alpha) \frac{y^{2\alpha}}{(|x|^2 + y^2)^{(N+2\alpha)/2}}$ with a constant $C(N, \alpha)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_1^{\alpha}(x) dx = 1$ (see [9]).

Define the space

$$X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) := \left\{ \omega(x,y) \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}); \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} k_{\alpha}y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^{2} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx < \infty \right\},$$

equipped with the norm:

$$\|\omega\|_{X} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^{2} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} k_{\alpha} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^{2} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\Delta^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u|^{2} dx, \qquad (2.1)$$

where $\omega = E_{\alpha}(u)$ and k_{α} is a normal positive constant [9]. So the function $E_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is an isometry between $H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$ and $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+})$. Then we re-formulate (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -k_\alpha \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\nu} = -\omega + \lambda a(x)\omega \ln|\omega| + b(x)|\omega|^{2^*_\alpha - 2}\omega, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

where

$$-k_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \nu} = -k_{\alpha} \lim_{y \to 0^{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y}(x, y) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha} u(x).$$

In what follows, we set $k_{\alpha}=1$, for simplicity. If ω is a solution of (2.2), then the trace $u=tr(\omega)=\omega(x,0)$ is a solution of (1.1). Conversely, it is also true.

Let us introduce some properties on the spaces $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ and $L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1 [25]. The embedding $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \hookrightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for $r \in [2, 2^*_{\alpha}]$ and locally compact for $r \in [1, 2^*_{\alpha}]$.

Proposition 2.2 [25]. For every $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, there holds

$$S\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u(x)|^{\frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}}dx\bigg)^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{N}}\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}}y^{1-2\alpha}|\nabla\omega|^2dxdy,$$

where $u = tr(\omega)$. The best constant is given by

$$S = \frac{2\pi^{\alpha}\Gamma(\frac{2-2\alpha}{2})\Gamma(\frac{N+2\alpha}{2})(\Gamma(\frac{N}{2}))^{\frac{2\alpha}{N}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{N-2\alpha}{2})(\Gamma(N))^{\frac{2\alpha}{N}}},$$

and it is attained when $u = \omega(x, 0)$ takes the form

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}}}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2\right)^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}}}$$

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, $\omega_{\varepsilon} = E_{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon})$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega_{\varepsilon}|^{2} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^{\frac{2N}{N-2\alpha}} dx = S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}.$$

The following property is concerning the logarithmic Sobolev inequality in the fractional Sobolev space.

PROPOSITION 2.3 [14]. Let $f \in H^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\sigma > 0$ be any number. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|^2 \ln \frac{|f|^2}{|f|_2^2} dx \leqslant \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi^\alpha} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} f|_2^2 - \left[N + \frac{N}{\alpha} \ln \sigma + \ln \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2\alpha})} \right] |f|_2^2.$$

Remark 2.1. From (2.1) and definition 2.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|^2}{|\omega(x,0)|_2^2} dx \leqslant \frac{\sigma^2}{\pi^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx dy$$
$$- \left[N + \frac{N}{\alpha} \ln \sigma + \ln \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2\alpha})} \right] |\omega(x,0)|_2^2$$

for any $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. Furthermore, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|^{2}}{|\omega(x,0)|_{2}^{2}} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx \ln |\omega(x,0)|_{2}^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} |a|_{\infty} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\pi^{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^{2} dx dy + \frac{1}{2} |a|_{\infty} \left| N + \frac{N}{\alpha} \ln \sigma + \ln \frac{\alpha \Gamma(\frac{N}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2\alpha})} \right| |\omega(x,0)|_{2}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx \ln |\omega(x,0)|_{2}^{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

To analyze (2.2), we define the associated energy functional by

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(\omega) :&= \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_X^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx, \end{split}$$

where $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. Then I_{λ} is Fréchet differentiable and

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}'(\omega)\varphi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla \omega(x,y) \nabla \varphi(x,y) dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega(x,0) \varphi(x,0) dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) \omega(x,0) \varphi(x,0) \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2} \omega(x,0) \varphi(x,0) dx \end{split}$$

for any $\varphi \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$. It is notable that finding the weak solution of (2.2) is equivalent to finding the critical point of the energy functional I_{λ} .

Define

 $\Phi := \{ \text{non-trivial weak solutions of } (2.2) \}.$

From (2.1) and Definition 2.1, we define the ground energy of equation (1.1) by

$$d := \inf_{\omega \in \Phi} I_{\lambda}(\omega).$$

If ω is a non-trivial solution of system (2.2) such that $I_{\lambda}(\omega) = d$, we call that $u := \omega(x,0)$ is a ground state solution of equation (1.1).

Since I_{λ} is not bounded from below on $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, we consider I_{λ} strictly on the Nehari manifold:

$$N_{\lambda} := \{ \omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \setminus \{0\}; \ I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega = 0 \}.$$

Then $\omega \in N_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$\|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = 0.$$
 (2.4)

We analyze N_{λ} in terms of the stationary points of fibering maps [7] that $\phi_{\omega}: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\phi_{\omega}(t) := I_{\lambda}(t\omega).$$

Then we have

$$\phi_{\omega}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|\omega\|_X^2 - \frac{t^{2_{\alpha}^*}}{2_{\alpha}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$$
(2.5)

$$-\lambda \frac{t^2}{2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx + \ln t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)$$

$$\phi_{\omega}'(t) = t \|\omega\|_X^2 - t^{2_{\alpha}^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$$
$$- \lambda t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x, 0)| dx + \ln t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^2 dx \right)$$

and

$$\phi_{\omega}''(t) = \|\omega\|_X^2 - (2_{\alpha}^* - 1)t^{2_{\alpha}^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\omega(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$$
$$-\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x, 0)|^2 \ln|\omega(x, 0)| dx + \ln t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x, 0)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x, 0)|^2 dx \right).$$

It is easy to see that $\omega \in N_{\lambda}$ if and only if $\phi'_{\omega}(1) = 0$.

We split N_{λ} into three subsets N_{λ}^{+} , N_{λ}^{-} , and N_{λ}^{0} that correspond to local minima, local maxima, and points of inflection of fibering maps. respectively, that is,

$$\begin{split} N_{\lambda}^{+} &:= \{\omega \in N_{\lambda}; \phi_{\omega}''(1) > 0\} = \{t\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}) \setminus \{0\}; \ \phi_{\omega}'(t) = 0, \phi_{\omega}''(t) > 0\}, \\ N_{\lambda}^{-} &:= \{\omega \in N_{\lambda}; \phi_{\omega}''(1) < 0\} = \{t\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}) \setminus \{0\}; \ \phi_{\omega}'(t) = 0, \phi_{\omega}''(t) < 0\}, \\ N_{\lambda}^{0} &:= \{\omega \in N_{\lambda}; \phi_{\omega}''(1) = 0\} = \{t\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}) \setminus \{0\}; \ \phi_{\omega}'(t) = 0, \phi_{\omega}''(t) = 0\}. \end{split}$$

Note that if $\omega \in N_{\lambda}$, then $\phi''_{\omega}(1) = -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx - (2^*_{\alpha} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$. Thus, we get the equivalent expressions:

$$\begin{split} N_{\lambda}^{+} &:= \{ \omega \in N_{\lambda}; \ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + (2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx < 0 \}, \\ N_{\lambda}^{-} &:= \{ \omega \in N_{\lambda}; \ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + (2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx > 0 \}, \\ N_{\lambda}^{0} &:= \{ \omega \in N_{\lambda}; \ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + (2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx = 0 \}. \end{split}$$

LEMMA 2.1. If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx \leq 0$, then we have either

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant 1 \tag{2.6}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2$$

for some C > 0 independent of $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

Proof. To estimate $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$, we re-write it as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|}{\|\omega\|_{X}} dx + \ln \|\omega\|_{X} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$= I_{1} + I_{2},$$

where $I_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|}{\|\omega\|_X} dx$ and $I_2 := \ln \|\omega\|_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx$.

If $\|\omega\|_X \leq 1$, then (2.6) holds. If $\|\omega\|_X > 1$, due to $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx \leq 0$ we have $I_2 \leq 0$. This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant I_1. \tag{2.7}$$

We divide I_1 into two parts: $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$, where

$$I_{11} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{a,+}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|}{\|\omega\|_{X}} dx, \quad I_{12} = + \int_{\mathbb{R}_{a,-}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|}{\|\omega\|_{X}} dx,$$
$$\mathbb{R}_{a,+}^{N} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}; \ a(x) \geqslant 0 \right\}, \quad \mathbb{R}_{a,-}^{N} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}; \ a(x) < 0 \right\}.$$

When $t, \gamma > 0$, by using $\ln t \leqslant C_{\gamma} t^{\gamma}$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$I_{11} \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^{2-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N_{a,+}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^q dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2$$
 (2.8)

for $2 < q < 2^*_{\alpha}$, and

$$I_{12} \leqslant \int_{\Omega_{a,-}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln \frac{|\omega(x,0)|}{\|\omega\|_X} dx$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{a,-}} (-a(x)) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln \frac{\|\omega\|_X}{|\omega(x,0)|} dx$$

$$\leqslant C_{\gamma_0} \|\omega\|_X^{\gamma_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |a(x)| |\omega(x,0)|^{2-\gamma_0} dx$$

$$\leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2$$

$$(2.9)$$

for $0 < \gamma_0 < 1$, where $\Omega_{a,-} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N_{a,-}; |\omega(x,0)| < \|\omega\|_X\}$.

As a consequence of (2.7)–(2.9), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2,$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

LEMMA 2.2. For each $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)\setminus\{0\}$, there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ small enough such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_1)$, then the following two statements are true.

- (i) If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx > 0$, then there exists $t^- := t^-(\omega) > 0$ such that $t^-\omega \in N_\lambda^-$ and $I_\lambda(t^-\omega) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_\lambda(t\omega)$.
- (ii) If $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$, then there exists a unique $0 < t^+ := t^+(\omega) < t^- := t^-(\omega) < \infty$ such that $t^+\omega \in N_\lambda^+$, $t^-\omega \in N_\lambda^-$, $I_\lambda(t\omega)$ is decreasing on $(0,t^+)$, increasing on (t^+,t^-) , and decreasing on $(t^-,+\infty)$. Moreover, $I_\lambda(t^+\omega) = \min_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^-} I_\lambda(t\omega)$ and $I_\lambda(t^-\omega) = \max_{t^+ \leqslant t} I_\lambda(t\omega)$.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx > 0$. Since $2 < 2^*_{\alpha}$ and $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \ln t = -\infty$, there exists a small $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\phi_{\omega}(t) > 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for $t \in (0, t_0)$, where $\phi_{\omega}(t)$ is defined by (2.5). Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} \phi_{\omega}(t) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t \to +\infty} \phi_{\omega}(t) = -\infty.$$
 (2.11)

From (2.10) with (2.11), there is $t^- := t^-(\omega) > 0$ such that

$$\phi_{\omega}(t^{-}) = I_{\lambda}(t^{-}\omega) = \max_{t \geqslant 0} \phi_{\omega}(t) = \max_{t \geqslant 0} I_{\lambda}(t\omega).$$

This implies $t^-\omega \in N_{\lambda}^-$.

(ii) Suppose that $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)\setminus\{0\}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$. Note that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\phi_{\omega}'(t)}{t} &= \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \\ &- \lambda \ln t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx - t^{2_{\alpha}^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx. \end{split}$$

Let $s(t):=\lambda \ln t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx + t^{2^*_{\alpha}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$. Then $t\omega \in N_{\lambda}$ if and only if

$$s(t) = \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx.$$

Since

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} s(t) = +\infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \to +\infty} s(t) = +\infty$$
 (2.12)

and

$$ts'(t) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx + (2_{\alpha}^* - 2) t^{2_{\alpha}^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx, \tag{2.13}$$

there exists

$$t_{\min} := \left(\frac{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx}{(2_{\alpha}^* - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^* - 2}}$$

such that

$$s(t_{\min}) = \min_{t \geqslant 0} s(t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^{2} dx \ln \left(\frac{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^{2} dx}{(2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx} \right) - \frac{1}{2^{*} - 2} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^{2} dx.$$

Moreover, s(t) is decreasing in $(0, t_{\min})$ and increasing in $(t_{\min}, +\infty)$.

To show that

$$s(t_{\min}) < \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx,$$
 (2.14)

we start with estimating $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that either

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant 1 \tag{2.15}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_{X}^{2}$$
(2.16)

for some C > 0 independent of ω . Thus we need to consider two cases.

Case 1. Assume that (2.15) holds. On the one hand, it follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + \|\omega\|_X^4) \leqslant C\|\omega\|_X^2$$

for some C > 0 independent of ω . So we have

$$\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx \geqslant C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}$$
(2.17)

for $\lambda > 0$ small enough and some C > 0 independent of ω .

On the other hand, in view of the inequality $\ln t \leq t$ for t > 0, it follows from Proposition 2.1 and (2.15) that

 $s(t_{\min})$

$$=\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*-2}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2dx\ln\left(-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2dx\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx\ln\left((2_{\alpha}^{*}-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}dx\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2}\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx$$

$$\leq \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx\left[-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx+(2_{\alpha}^{*}-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}dx+1\right]$$

$$\leq \lambda C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}[\lambda C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}+C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}+1]$$

$$\leq \lambda C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}$$
(2.18)

for some C > 0 independent of ω . As a consequence of (2.17) and (2.18), we see that (2.14) holds for small $\lambda > 0$.

From (2.12) to (2.14), there exists a unique $0 < t^+(\omega) < t_{\min} < t^-(\omega) < \infty$ such that

$$s(t^{+}(\omega)) = s(t^{-}(\omega)) = \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$$

and

$$t^+(\omega)\omega \in N_\lambda$$
 and $t^-(\omega)\omega \in N_\lambda$.

Since

$$s'(t^+(\omega)) < 0 < s'(t^-(\omega)),$$

it follows from (2.13) that $t^+(\omega)\omega \in N_{\lambda}^+$ and $t^-(\omega)\omega \in N_{\lambda}^-$. Using the fact that

$$s(t) - \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \begin{cases} \geqslant 0, \ 0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^+(\omega), \\ \leqslant 0, \ t^+(\omega) \leqslant t \leqslant t^-(\omega), \\ \geqslant 0, \ t^-(\omega) \leqslant t, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\phi_{\omega}'(t) \begin{cases} \leqslant 0, & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^{+}(\omega), \\ \geqslant 0, & t^{+}(\omega) \leqslant t \leqslant t^{-}(\omega), \\ \leqslant 0, & t^{-}(\omega) \leqslant t. \end{cases}$$

This indicates that $I_{\lambda}(t\omega)$ is decreasing on $(0, t^{+}(\omega))$, increasing on $(t^{+}(\omega), t^{-}(\omega))$ and decreasing on $(t^{-}(\omega), \infty)$. Moreover, we have

$$I_{\lambda}(t^{+}(\omega)\omega) = \min_{0 \leqslant t \leqslant t^{-}(\omega)} I_{\lambda}(t\omega) \text{ and } I_{\lambda}(t^{-}\omega) = \max_{t \geqslant t^{+}(\omega)} I_{\lambda}(t\omega).$$

Case 2. Assume that (2.16) holds. Then

$$\|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \geqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2$$
 (2.19)

for small $\lambda > 0$ and some C > 0 independent of ω .

If $-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx \geqslant (2^*_{\alpha} - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^*-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2dx\ln\left(\frac{-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2dx}{(2_{\alpha}^*-2)\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*}dx}\right)\leqslant 0.$$

That is,

$$s(t_{\min}) \leqslant \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x, 0)|^2 dx \leqslant \lambda C \|\omega\|_X^2$$
 (2.20)

for some C > 0 independent of ω . From (2.19) and (2.20), we arrive at the desired result (2.14) for small $\lambda > 0$.

Due to (2.14), similar to the proof of Case 1, there exist $0 < t^+(\omega) < t_{\min} < t^-(\omega) < \infty$ such that $t^+(\omega)\omega \in N_\lambda^+$ and $t^-(\omega)\omega \in N_\lambda^-$. Furthermore, we can see that $I_\lambda(t\omega)$ is decreasing on $(0,t^+(\omega))$, increasing on $(t^+(\omega),t^-(\omega))$ and decreasing on $(t^-(\omega),\infty)$. So we have

$$I_{\lambda}(t^{+}(\omega)\omega) = \min_{0 \leq t \leq t^{-}(\omega)} I_{\lambda}(t\omega) \text{ and } I_{\lambda}(t^{-}\omega) = \max_{t \geq t^{+}(\omega)} I_{\lambda}(t\omega).$$

If $-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < (2^*_{\alpha}-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$, in view of $2 < 2^*_{\alpha}$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|t_0\omega(x,0)|^2 dx > (2_\alpha^* - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|t_0\omega(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx.$$

Set

$$\omega_0 = t_0 \omega$$
.

Similarly, we can see that there are $0 < t^+(\omega_0) < t^-(\omega_0) < \infty$ such that the desired result in Case 1 holds for some ω_0 . Let $t^+(\omega) = t_0 t^+(\omega_0)$ and $t^-(\omega) = t_0 t^-(\omega_0)$. Consequently, there exist $0 < t^+(\omega) < t^-(\omega) < \infty$ such that the result in Case 1 holds for an arbitrary ω .

LEMMA 2.3. If ω is a critical point of I_{λ} on N_{λ} and $\omega \notin N_{\lambda}^{0}$, then it is a critical point of I_{λ} in $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+})$.

Proof. Let ω be a critical point of I_{λ} on N_{λ} . Then

$$I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega = 0 \text{ and } I'_{\lambda}(\omega) = \tau \Psi'_{\lambda}(\omega)$$
 (2.21)

for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, where

$$\Psi_{\lambda}(\omega) := \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx.$$
 (2.22)

Because of $\omega \notin N_{\lambda}^{\pm}$, we get

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{\lambda}'(\omega)\omega &:= 2\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx - 2_{\alpha}^{*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &= \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx \\ &- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx - (2_{\alpha}^{*} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &= \phi_{\omega}''(1) \neq 0, \end{split}$$

which together with (2.21) indicates that $\tau = 0$, that is, $I'_{\lambda}(\omega) = 0$.

LEMMA 2.4. There exists a small $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_2)$, then the set $N_{\lambda}^0 = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^0$. Then

$$\|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = 0$$
 (2.23)

and

$$\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$
$$- (2_{\alpha}^{*} - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx = 0,$$

which lead to

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx = (2 - 2_{\alpha}^*) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx < 0. \tag{2.24}$$

In view of (2.24), it follows from Lemma 2.1 that either

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant 1,\tag{2.25}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^2, \tag{2.26}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{0}$. If (2.26) holds, for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ it follows from (2.23) to (2.24) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$0 = \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx$$

$$= \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx + \frac{\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$\geq \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} (1 - \lambda C)$$

$$\geq C \|\omega\|_{Y}^{2}.$$

Thus, $\|\omega\|_X = 0$, which obviously yields a contradiction to the fact $\omega \neq 0$. This implies that (2.25) holds.

On the other hand, in view of $\ln t \leq t$ for any t > 0, it follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + |\omega(x,0)|_2^4) \leqslant C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + \|\omega\|_X^4). \tag{2.27}$$

With the help of (2.23), (2.24) and (2.27) and Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|\omega(x,0)| dx - \frac{\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \lambda C(\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} + \|\omega\|_{X}^{4}),$$

which together with (2.25) gives

$$C \leqslant \lambda (1 + \|\omega\|_X^2) \leqslant 2\lambda.$$

This is a contradiction with the fact that λ is sufficiently small.

LEMMA 2.5. There exists a small $\lambda_3 > 0$ such that if $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_3)$, then I_{λ} is bounded from below on N_{λ} .

Proof. Let $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^+$. According to the definition of N_{λ}^+ , we get

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx < \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx.$$

As discussing for (2.25), for $\lambda > 0$ small enough we can obtain

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant 1. \tag{2.28}$$

Hence, the low bound of I_{λ} restricted on N_{λ}^{+} can be attained by Proposition 2.1 and (2.28), that is,

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) = I_{\lambda}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\alpha}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}}dx$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx$$

$$\geqslant -\lambda C ||\omega||_{X}^{2}$$

$$\geqslant -\lambda C. \tag{2.29}$$

For any $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$, we have

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) = I_{\lambda}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx. \tag{2.30}$$

If $I_{\lambda}(\omega) \geqslant 0$ for all $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$, obviously the lower bound of I_{λ} restricted on N_{λ}^{-} can be achieved. Otherwise, if there exists $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$ such that $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < 0$, by (2.30) it follows that

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx < \frac{-2_{\alpha}^{*}}{2(2_{\alpha}^{*}-2)} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx.$$

As we did for (2.25), there is a small $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant 1. \tag{2.31}$$

Using Proposition 2.1 and (2.31), we obtain

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) = \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$\geqslant -\lambda C \|\omega\|_{X}^{2}$$

$$\geqslant -\lambda C.$$

Hence, I_{λ} is bounded from below on N_{λ}^{-} according to Lemma 2.4.

In view of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5, we set

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} := \inf_{\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{+}} I_{\lambda}(\omega) \text{ and } \alpha_{\lambda}^{-} := \inf_{\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}} I_{\lambda}(\omega).$$

Lemma 2.6. (i) If a(x) is negative or sign-changing, then $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} < 0$ and $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} \leqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}$. (ii) If $a(x) \geqslant 0$, then $N_{\lambda}^{+} = \emptyset$ and $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-} > 0$.

Proof. (i) If a(x) is negative or sign-changing, it follows from Lemma 2.2 that $N_{\lambda}^{+} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^+$. Then we have

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < (2 - 2_{\alpha}^*) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx < 0.$$

This, together with $I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega = 0$, leads to

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) = I_{\lambda}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\alpha}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx$$

$$< \frac{2 - 2^{*}_{\alpha}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx + \frac{2^{*}_{\alpha} - 2}{22^{*}_{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{22^{*}_{\alpha}}\right) (2 - 2^{*}_{\alpha}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx$$

$$< 0.$$
(2.32)

Thus, we obtain $\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} < 0$. For any $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$, if $I_{\lambda}(\omega) \geqslant 0$, then

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}.$$
 (2.33)

If $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < 0$, then

$$\begin{split} I_{\lambda}(\omega) &= I_{\lambda}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2} I_{\lambda}'(\omega)\omega \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &< 0. \end{split}$$

That is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 dx < 0.$$

With the help of Lemma 2.2(ii), there exists a unique $t^+(\omega) < t^-(\omega) = 1$ such that $t^+(\omega)\omega \in$ N_{λ}^{+} and

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) \geqslant I_{\lambda}(t^{+}(\omega)\omega) \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}.$$
 (2.34)

Consequently, as a result of (2.33) and (2.34), we obtain

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} \leqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}$$
.

(ii) If $a(x) \ge 0$, then for any $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^2 dx \geqslant 0,$$
(2.35)

which implies $N_{\lambda}^{+}=\emptyset$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.2(i) that $N_{\lambda}^{-}\neq\emptyset$.

For any $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$, we get

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega) = I_{\lambda}(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2}dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{*}_{\alpha}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}}dx$$

$$\geqslant 0,$$

which implies

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{-} \geqslant 0.$$

We now suppose by contradiction that $\alpha_{\lambda}^- = 0$. Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset N_{\lambda}^-$ be a sequence such that $I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. Then we have

$$0 \leftarrow I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) = I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{2}I'_{\lambda}(\omega_n)\omega_n$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*_{\alpha}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\omega_n(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$$

$$\geq 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

which together with (2.35) yields

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx = o_n(1) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = o_n(1).$$
 (2.36)

It follows from (2.36) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{n}(x,0)| dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega_{n}(x,0)|}{\|\omega_{n}\|_{X}} dx + \ln \|\omega_{n}\|_{X} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} \ln \frac{|\omega_{n}(x,0)|}{\|\omega_{n}\|_{X}} dx + C \|\omega_{n}\|_{X}^{2}. \tag{2.37}$$

Similar to (2.8) and (2.9), we can obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln \frac{|\omega_n(x,0)|}{\|\omega_n\|_X} dx \leqslant C \|\omega_n\|_X^2.$$

Using this estimate together with (2.37) leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega_n\|_X^2.$$
 (2.38)

Taking into account (2.4), (2.36), (2.38) and Proposition 2.1, for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ we deduce that

$$0 = \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$$

$$= \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx + o_n(1)$$

$$\geq \|\omega_n\|_X^2 (1 - \lambda C) + o_n(1)$$

$$\geq C \|\omega_n\|_X^2 + o_n(1).$$

That is,

$$\|\omega_n\|_X = o_n(1). \tag{2.39}$$

On the other hand, in view of $\ln t \le t$ for t > 0, it follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx \leqslant C(\|\omega_n\|_X^2 + |\omega_n(x,0)|_2^4) \leqslant C(\|\omega_n\|_X^2 + \|\omega_n\|_X^4). \quad (2.40)$$

Using (2.4), (2.40) and Proposition 2.2, we get

$$\|\omega_n\|_X^2 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx$$

$$\leq \lambda C(\|\omega_n\|_X^2 + \|\omega_n\|_X^4) + C\|\omega_n\|_{X}^{2_\alpha^*}.$$

That is, $\|\omega_n\|_X^{2_\alpha^*} + \|\omega_n\|_X^4 \ge (1 - \lambda C)\|\omega_n\|_X^2 \ge C\|\omega_n\|_X^2$ for small $\lambda > 0$ and some C > 0. Hence, we have $\|\omega_n\|_X^2 \ge C$ for some C > 0 independent of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Apparently, this yields a contradiction to (2.39).

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Step 1. We will show that there exists $\Lambda_1 > 0$ such that for each

 $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_1), I_{\lambda}$ has a minimizer ω_{λ}^+ in N_{λ}^+ such that $I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^+) = \alpha_{\lambda}^+$. Let $\{\omega_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence $\{\omega_n\} \subset N_{\lambda}^+$, that is, $\lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) = \alpha_{\lambda}^+$. We claim that there is some C > 0 such that

$$\|\omega_n\|_X \leqslant C \tag{2.41}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that $\{\omega_n\} \subset N_{\lambda}^+$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx < \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} dx.$$

Analogous to the derivation of (2.25), we can see that (2.41) holds for $\lambda > 0$ small enough. Thus there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $\{\omega_n\}$) and $\omega_{\lambda}^+ \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ such that

$$\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega_\lambda^+ \text{ in } X^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (2.42)

To prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_\lambda^+(x,0)|^2 dx, \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
(2.43)

we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, according to condition (H_1) , there exists an R > 0 such that $|a(x)| < \varepsilon$ for $|x| \ge R$. It follows from (2.41) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx \right| \leqslant \varepsilon ||\omega_n||_X^2 \leqslant C\varepsilon \tag{2.44}$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_B} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 dx \right| \leqslant \varepsilon \|\omega_{\lambda}^+\|_X^2 \leqslant C\varepsilon, \tag{2.45}$$

where $B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; |x| < R\}$. Then, using Hölder's inequality and Proposition 2.1 leads to

$$\left| \int_{B_R} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx - \int_{B_R} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 dx \right| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (2.46)

From (2.44) to (2.46), we arrive at (2.43).

To prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{n}(x,0)| dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| dx, \text{ as } n \to \infty, \quad (2.47)$$

we know from (2.42) that $\omega_n(x,0) \to \omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. So for sufficiently large n there holds

$$a(x)|\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| \to a(x)|\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Note that for any β , $\gamma > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{\beta,\gamma} > 0$ such that

$$|\ln t| \leqslant C_{\beta,\gamma} (t^{\beta} + t^{-\gamma}), \ t > 0.$$

This gives

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx \right| \leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |a(x)| \left[|\omega_n(x,0)|^{2-\delta} + |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2+\delta} \right] dx$$

for small $\delta > 0$. By virtue of Proposition 2.1 and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain (2.47) immediately.

Set $\Psi_n = \omega_n - \omega_{\lambda}^+$. It follows from Brezis-Lieb's lemma [40] that

$$\|\Psi_n\|_X^2 = \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \|\omega_\lambda^+\|_X^2 + o_n(1)$$
(2.48)

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx + o_n(1).$$
 (2.49)

From (2.43) and (2.47)-(2.49) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Psi_n\|_X^2 - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = \alpha_\lambda^+ - I_\lambda(\omega_\lambda^+) + o_n(1). \tag{2.50}$$

As we discussed for (2.47), there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)\omega_n(x,0)\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)\ln|\omega_n(x,0)|dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2\ln|\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|dx, \qquad (2.51)$$

as $n \to \infty$. Combining (2.42) and (2.51), we have

$$I'_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+})\omega_{\lambda}^{+}=0$$
, that is, $\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\in N_{\lambda}\cup\{0\}$.

Note that

$$(2_{\alpha}^* - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx \leqslant \liminf_{n \to \infty} (2_{\alpha}^* - 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx.$$

It follows from (2.43) that

$$\omega_{\lambda}^{+} \in N_{\lambda}^{+}. \tag{2.52}$$

According to Proposition 2.1, from (2.42)–(2.43) and (2.47)–(2.51) we obtain

$$o_n(1) = I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n)\Psi_n = (I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n) - I_{\lambda}'(\omega_{\lambda}^+))\Psi_n = \|\Psi_n\|_X^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx, \qquad (2.53)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

We suppose that

$$\|\Psi_n\|_X^2 \to l \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx \to l, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

for some $l \in [0, +\infty)$.

If l=0, we obtain the desired result immediately. If l>0, we have $l\geqslant Sl^{\frac{2}{2\alpha}}$ by Proposition 2.2, and then

$$l \geqslant S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}.\tag{2.54}$$

It follows from (2.50) and (2.52)-(2.54) that

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{+} = I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}) + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{l}{2^{*}_{\alpha}} \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N}l \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, the only choice is l=0, that is, $\omega_n \to \omega_\lambda^+$ in $X^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Step 2. We show that $\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)$ is a positive ground state solution of equation (1.1).

Since $\omega_{\lambda}^{+} \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+})$ is a local minimizer for N_{λ} . Lemma 2.3 tells us that ω_{λ}^{+} is a non-trivial solution of (2.2), and so $\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)$ is a non-trivial solution of equation (1.1). Note that $I_{\lambda}(|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}|) = \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$. So we assume $\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) \geq 0$. By virtue of the maximum principle for fractional elliptic equations [33], ω_{λ}^{+} is positive. Consequently, $\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)$ is a positive ground state solution of equation (1.1).

COROLLARY 2.1. (i) $I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}) \to 0$, as $\lambda \to 0$. (ii) $\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X} \to 0$, as $\lambda \to 0$.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 2.6(i) and (2.29), we have

$$0 > I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}) = \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} > -\lambda C.$$

This implies $I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}) \to 0$, as $\lambda \to 0$.

(ii) From (2.52), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 dx < 0$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx < \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^* - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 dx. \tag{2.55}$$

Similar to the derivation of (2.25), for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X} \leqslant 1. \tag{2.56}$$

It follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)| dx \leqslant C(\|\omega_{\lambda}^+\|_X^2 + |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|_2^4)$$

$$\leq C(\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} + \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{4}).$$
 (2.57)

From (2.55) to (2.57) and Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &\leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| dx + \frac{-\lambda}{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} dx \\ &\leq \lambda C (\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} + \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{4}) + \lambda \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} \\ &\leq \lambda C. \end{split}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we present some results on compactness and estimates, and then prove Theorem 1.2. Throughout this section, we always suppose that conditions (H_1) – (H_3) hold.

Lemma 3.1. The following two statements are true.

- (i) If a(x) is negative or sign-changing, then I_{λ} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c \in (-\infty, \alpha_{\lambda}^+ + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}})$.
 - (ii) If $a(x) \ge 0$, then I_{λ} satisfies the $(PS)_c$ condition for $c \in (-\infty, \frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}})$.

Proof. Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a $(PS)_c$ sequence for I_{λ} . We claim that there exists some C > 0 such that

$$\|\omega_n\|_X \leqslant C, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose otherwise that $\|\omega_n\|_X \to \infty$, as $n \to \infty$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$2\lambda \|\omega_n\|_X \geqslant 1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \lambda \|\omega_n\|_X$$

$$\geqslant I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{2} I'_{\lambda}(\omega_n) \omega_n$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2^*_{\alpha}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx.$$

For sufficiently large n, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx \leqslant C \|\omega_n\|_X \tag{3.2}$$

for some C > 0.

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\ln |\omega_n(x,0)|_2^2 = 2\ln |\omega_n(x,0)|_2 \leqslant 2|\omega_n(x,0)|_2 \leqslant C||\omega_n||_X \tag{3.3}$$

for some C > 0. Using (2.3), (3.2) and (3.3) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx \leqslant C \|\omega_n\|_X^2.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \lambda \|\omega_n\|_X \\ &\geqslant I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*} I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n) \omega_n \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*}\right) \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x, 0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x, 0)| dx \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x, 0)|^2 dx \\ &\geqslant \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*}\right) \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda C \|\omega_n\|_X^2 \\ &\geqslant C \|\omega_n\|_X^2 \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small $\lambda > 0$. This obviously contradicts our assumption that $\{\|\omega_n\|_X\}$ is unbounded. Hence, (3.1) holds.

From (3.1), there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $\{\omega_n\}$) and $\omega_0 \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ such that

$$\omega_n \rightharpoonup \omega_0 \text{ in } X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.4)

Similar to the derivations of (2.43) and (2.47), as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_0(x,0)|^2 dx \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_0(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_0(x,0)| dx.$$
 (3.6)

Set $\Psi_n = \omega_n - \omega_0$. It follows from Brezis-Lieb's lemma [40] that

$$\|\Psi_n\|_X^2 = \|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \|\omega_0\|_X^2 + o_n(1) \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_0|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx + o_n(1).$$
 (3.8)

From (3.5) to (3.8) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Psi_n\|_X^2 - \frac{1}{2_\alpha^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = c - I_\lambda(\omega_0) + o_n(1). \tag{3.9}$$

Similar to the derivation of (2.47), as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)\omega_n(x,0)\omega_0(x,0)\ln|\omega_n(x,0)|dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x)|\omega_0(x,0)|^2\ln|\omega_0(x,0)|dx,$$
(3.10)

which together with (3.4) leads to $I'_{\lambda}(\omega_0)\omega_0 = 0$, that is, $\omega_0 \in N_{\lambda} \cup \{0\}$.

By (3.4)–(3.10) and Proposition 2.1, as $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$o_n(1) = I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n)\Psi_n = (I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n) - I_{\lambda}'(\omega_0))\Psi_n = \|\Psi_n\|_X^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx.$$
 (3.11)

We may suppose that

$$\|\Psi_n\|_X^2 \to l$$
 and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\Psi_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx \to l$

for some $l \in [0, +\infty)$.

If l=0, we can obtain $\omega_n\to\omega_0$ as $n\to\infty$ immediately. If l>0, we divide our discussions into two cases.

(i) If a(x) is negative or sign-changing, it follows from Lemma 2.6(i) that $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-} \geqslant \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}$. From Proposition 2.2, we have $l \geqslant Sl^{\frac{2}{2\alpha}}$. In view of (3.9), (3.11) and $\omega_0 \in N_\lambda \cup \{0\}$, we get

$$\frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} > c = I_{\lambda}(\omega_{0}) + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{l}{2^{*}} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{N}l + \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \alpha_{\lambda}^{+}.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, l=0, that is, $\omega_n \to \omega_0$ in $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ as $n\to\infty$. (ii) If $a(x)\geqslant 0$, it follows from Lemma 2.6(ii) that $N_{\lambda}^+=\emptyset$ and $\alpha_{\lambda}^->0$. From Proposition 2.2, we get $l \geqslant Sl^{\frac{2}{2\alpha}}$. In view of (3.9), (3.11) and $\omega_0 \in N_{\lambda}^- \cup \{0\}$ we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} > c = I_{\lambda}(\omega_0) + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{l}{2^*} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{N}l \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}},$$

which yields another contradiction. Hence, l=0, that is, $\omega_n \to \omega_0$ in $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Let $\eta(x,y) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1, |\nabla \eta| \leq C$ and

$$\eta(x,y) := \begin{cases} 1, & (x,y) \in B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}^+ := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_N|^2 + |y|^2} < \frac{r_0}{2}, y > 0\}, \\ 0, & (x,y) \notin B_{\delta_0}^+ := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}; \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + \dots + |x_N|^2 + |y|^2} < r_0, y > 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_0 < r_0$ and r_0 is defined in Remark 1.1.

Set

$$v_{\varepsilon,z} = \eta(x-z,y)\omega_{\varepsilon}(x-z,y), \quad z \in M.$$
 (3.12)

Following [25], we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla v_{\varepsilon,z}|^2 dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx dy + O(\varepsilon^{N-2\alpha}), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^q dx = \begin{cases}
O(\varepsilon^{\frac{2N - (N-2\alpha)q}{2}}), & \text{if } q > \frac{N}{N-2\alpha}, \\
O(\varepsilon^{\frac{(N-2\alpha)q}{2}}), & \text{if } q \leqslant \frac{N}{N-2\alpha},
\end{cases}$$
(3.14)

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^2 dx = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{2\alpha}), & \text{if } N > 4\alpha, \\ O(\varepsilon^{2\alpha} \ln(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})), & \text{if } N = 4\alpha, \\ O(\varepsilon^{N-2\alpha}), & \text{if } N < 4\alpha. \end{cases}$$
(3.15)

Lemma 3.2. $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx + O(\varepsilon^N).$

Proof. By Remark 1.1 and the definition of $v_{\varepsilon,z}$, we have

$$0 \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_{\varepsilon}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx \right]$$

$$= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}} \frac{b(z) - b(x+z) \eta^{2_{\alpha}^*}(x,0)}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2\right)^N} dx + C \int_{B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}} \frac{b(z) - b(x+z)}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2\right)^N} dx$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}} \frac{1}{|x|^{2N}} dx + C \int_{B_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}} \frac{|x|^{\rho}}{\left(\varepsilon^2 + |x|^2\right)^N} dx$$

$$\leqslant C \int_{\frac{\delta_0}{2}}^{+\infty} r^{-(N+1)} dr + C \int_{0}^{\frac{\delta_0}{2}} r^{\rho - N - 1} dr$$

$$\leqslant C$$

for $z \in M$.

Set

$$I_{\infty}(\omega) := \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx$$

and

$$I^{\infty}(\omega) := \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_X^2 - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx.$$

Define the Nehari manifold associated with I_{∞} and I^{∞} by

$$N_{\infty}(\omega) := \{ \omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}) \setminus \{0\}; \ (I_{\infty})'(\omega)\omega = 0 \}$$

and

$$N^{\infty}(\omega) := \{ \omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\}; \ (I^{\infty})'(\omega)\omega = 0 \}.$$

Lemma 3.3. $\inf_{\omega \in N^{\infty}} I^{\infty}(\omega) = \inf_{\omega \in N_{\infty}} I_{\infty}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}$.

Proof. Since

$$\max_{t\geqslant 0} \left(\frac{a}{2} t^2 - \frac{b}{2_{\alpha}^*} t^{2_{\alpha}^*} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{N} \left(\frac{a^2}{b^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^*}}} \right)^{\frac{2_{\alpha}^*}{2_{\alpha}^* - 2}}$$
(3.16)

for any a, b > 0. It follows from Proposition 2.2 that

$$\inf_{\omega \in N^{\infty}} I^{\infty}(\omega) = \inf_{\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geqslant 0} I^{\infty}(t\omega)$$

$$= \inf_{\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\alpha}{N} \left(\frac{\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^{*}}}} \right)^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}. \tag{3.17}$$

On the other hand, from (3.13), (3.15) and Lemma 3.2 it follows that

$$\sup_{t\geqslant 0} I_{\infty}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) = \frac{\alpha}{N} \left(\frac{\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X^{\alpha}}^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_{\alpha}^*}}} \right)^{2\alpha} \\
= \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + o_{\varepsilon}(1), \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \\
\inf_{\omega \in \mathbb{N}} I_{\infty}(\omega) \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}.$$

That is,

Note that $b(x) \leq 1$. From (3.17) and (3.18) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} &\leqslant \inf_{\omega \in N^{\infty}} I^{\infty}(\omega) \\ &= \inf_{\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \backslash \{0\}} \sup_{t \geqslant 0} I^{\infty}(t\omega) \\ &\leqslant \inf_{\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}) \backslash \{0\}} \sup_{t \geqslant 0} I_{\infty}(t\omega) \\ &= \inf_{\omega \in N_{\infty}} I_{\infty}(\omega) \\ &\leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}. \end{split}$$

(3.18)

Lemma 3.4. The following two statements are true.

(i) If $a(x) \ge 0$, then there exists a small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ we have

$$\max_{t\geqslant 0} I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - \sigma(\varepsilon_0)$$

uniformly with respect to $z \in M$, where $\sigma(\varepsilon_0)$ is a small positive constant. Furthermore, there exists some $t_{\varepsilon,z}^- > 0$ such that

$$t_{\varepsilon,z}^- v_{\varepsilon,z} \in N_{\lambda}^-.$$

(ii) If a(x) is sign-changing, then there exists a small $\overline{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \overline{\varepsilon}_0)$ we have

$$\max_{t\geq 0} I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{0})$$

uniformly with respect to $z \in M$, where $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_0)$ is a small positive constant.

Proof. (i) Since

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{t\to +\infty} I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) = -\infty$$

for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in M$, there exist small $t_1 > 0$ and large $t_2 > 0$ such that

$$I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}, \quad t \in (0,t_1] \cup [t_2,+\infty). \tag{3.19}$$

For $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, from (3.12), (3.13) and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) \leqslant \max_{t\geqslant 0} \left(\frac{t^{2}}{2} \|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2} - \frac{t^{2^{*}_{\alpha}}}{2^{*}_{\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx\right)$$

$$+ \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} dx - \lambda \frac{t^{2}_{1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} \left(\frac{\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2^{*}_{\alpha}} dx\right)^{\frac{2^{*}_{\alpha}}{2^{*}_{\alpha}}}}\right)^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$- \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + O(\epsilon^{N-2\alpha}) + \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} dx$$

$$- \lambda C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx, \qquad (3.20)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$= \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(z)} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx + \int_{B_{r_{0}}(z) \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(z)} |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$\geqslant C \int_{B_{\varepsilon}} \varepsilon^{-(N-2\alpha)} \ln \varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}} dx + C\epsilon^{N-2\alpha} \int_{\{\varepsilon < |x| < r_{0}\}} \frac{1}{(|x|^{2})^{N-2\alpha}} \ln \frac{C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}}}{|x|^{N-2\alpha}} dx$$

$$\geqslant C\varepsilon^{2\alpha} \ln \varepsilon^{-1} + C\epsilon^{N-2\alpha} \int_{\{\varepsilon < |x| < r_{0}\}} \frac{1}{(|x|^{2})^{N-2\alpha}} \ln C\varepsilon dx$$

$$= C\varepsilon^{2\alpha} \ln \varepsilon^{-1} + C\varepsilon^{N-2\alpha} \ln C\varepsilon. \tag{3.21}$$

Combining (3.15), (3.20) and (3.21) leads to

$$I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) \leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2\alpha}) + O(\varepsilon^{2\alpha}) - C\varepsilon^{2\alpha} \ln \varepsilon^{-1} + C\varepsilon^{N-2\alpha} \ln C\varepsilon < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}$$
 (3.22)

for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in M$, where we have used the assumption $N > 4\alpha$.

It follows from (3.19) and (3.22) that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ there holds

$$\max_{t\geq 0} I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - \sigma(\varepsilon_0)$$

uniformly with respect to $z \in M$, where $\sigma(\varepsilon_0)$ is a small positive constant. Meanwhile, it follows from Lemma 2.2 (i) that there exists $t_{\varepsilon,z}^- > 0$ such that

$$t_{\varepsilon,z}^- v_{\varepsilon,z} \in N_{\lambda}^-.$$

(ii) Since

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^+ + tv_{\varepsilon,z}) = \alpha_{\lambda}^+ \text{ and } \lim_{t\to +\infty} I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^+ + tv_{\varepsilon,z}) = -\infty$$

for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in M$, there exist small $t_1 > 0$ and large $t_2 > 0$ such that

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}, \quad t \in (0, t_{1}] \cup [t_{2}, +\infty).$$

$$(3.23)$$

For $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, taking into account $I'_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^+)v_{\varepsilon,z} = 0$ and $I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^+) = \alpha_{\lambda}^+$ we derive that

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + tv_{\varepsilon,z})$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2} \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} + \frac{t^{2}}{2} \|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2} + t \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N+1}} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla \omega_{\lambda}^{+} \nabla v_{\varepsilon,z} dx dy + t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda t^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} dx + \frac{\lambda t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) \omega_{\lambda}^{+} v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &= I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}) + I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx \\ &+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| dx + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx \\ &+ \lambda t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)| dx + \frac{\lambda t}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} + |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} + 2_{\alpha}^{*} |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-2} \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx \\ &= \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + I_{\lambda}(t v_{\varepsilon,z}) - i(t) - j(t), \end{split}$$

where

$$i(t) := \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)| dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^2 \ln |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)| dx$$

$$- \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)| t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) \ln |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)| dx - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) \omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0) t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx$$

and

$$j(t) := \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx$$

$$- \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) [|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} + |t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} + 2_{\alpha}^{*} |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*} - 2} \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)] dx.$$

$$(3.25)$$

Let us estimate i(t) and j(t), respectively. To estimate i(t), we define

$$f(t) := \ln(1+t) + 2t\ln(1+t) - t, \quad t \geqslant 0.$$

Note that

$$f(0) = 0$$
 and $f'(t) = \frac{t}{1+t} + 2\ln(1+t) \ge 0$, $t \ge 0$.

So we have

$$f(t) \geqslant 0, \quad t \geqslant 0,$$

which implies that

$$i(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)}\right) dx$$

$$+ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \frac{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)} \ln\left(1 + \frac{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)}\right) dx$$

$$- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \frac{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)} dx$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)}{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}\right) dx$$

$$\geqslant \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2} \ln\left(1 + \frac{\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)}{tv_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)}\right) dx$$

$$\geqslant 0. \tag{3.26}$$

To estimate j(t), we follow [6, formulas (17) and (21)] and (3.14) to derive that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) + t v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx + 2_{\alpha}^{*} t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) (\omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0))^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx
+ t^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx
+ 2_{\alpha}^{*} t^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) (v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0))^{2_{\alpha}^{*}-1} \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) dx + o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}}\right),$$

Using this estimate together with (3.14) and (3.25) leads to

$$j(t) \geqslant |t_1|^{2_{\alpha}^* - 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) \omega_{\lambda}^+(x, 0) |v_{\varepsilon, z}(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^* - 1} dx + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}})$$

$$\geqslant C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \omega_{\lambda}^+(x, 0) |v_{\varepsilon, z}(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^* - 1} dx + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}})$$

$$\geqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}} + o(\varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}})$$

$$\geqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}}$$

$$\geqslant C \varepsilon^{\frac{N - 2\alpha}{2}}$$

$$(3.27)$$

for small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Similar to the derivations of (3.22), we can obtain

$$I_{\lambda}(tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}$$
 (3.28)

for $z \in M$ and small $\varepsilon > 0$.

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, substituting (3.26)–(3.28) into (3.24) yields

$$I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + tv_{\varepsilon,z}) < \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - C\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{2}}, \tag{3.29}$$

where $z \in M$ and $t \in [t_1, t_2]$.

Consequently, from (3.23) and (3.29) it follows that there exists small $\overline{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \overline{\varepsilon}_0)$ there holds

$$\max_{t\geqslant 0}I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{+}+tv_{\varepsilon,z})<\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}+\frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}-\overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{0})$$

uniformly with respect to $z \in M$, where $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_0)$ is a small positive constant.

LEMMA 3.5. Assume that a(x) is sign-changing. Then for any $z \in M$ there exists $t_{\varepsilon,z}^- > 0$ such that

$$\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{\varepsilon,z}^{-} v_{\varepsilon,z} \in N_{\lambda}^{-}.$$

Proof. Define

$$U_1:=\left\{\omega\in X^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)\backslash\{0\};\ \frac{1}{\|\omega\|_X}t^-\bigg(\frac{\omega}{\|\omega\|_X}\bigg)>1\right\}\cup\{0\}$$

and

$$U_2:=\bigg\{\omega\in X^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)\backslash\{0\};\ \frac{1}{\|\omega\|_X}t^-\bigg(\frac{\omega}{\|\omega\|_X}\bigg)<1\bigg\}.$$

Then N_{λ}^- decomposes $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ into two disjoint connected components U_1 and U_2 . Since $\omega_{\lambda}^+ \in N_{\lambda}^+$, we have $1 < t^-(\omega_{\lambda}^+)$ and $\omega_{\lambda}^+ \in U_1$. We claim that

$$0 < t^{-} \left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t v_{\varepsilon, z}}{\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t v_{\varepsilon, z}\|_{X}} \right) < \overline{C}$$

for some $\overline{C} > 0$ and all $t \ge 0$. Suppose otherwise that there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ such that $t_n \to \infty$ and

$$t^{-}\left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{n}v_{\varepsilon,z}}{\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{n}v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}}\right) \to \infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Set

$$v_n = \frac{\omega_{\lambda}^+ + t_n v_{\varepsilon,z}}{\|\omega_{\lambda}^+ + t_n v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_X}.$$

Recalling $t^-(v_n)v_n \in N_\lambda^- \subset N_\lambda$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |v_n(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\omega_{\lambda}^+ + t_n v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_X^{2^*_{\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0) + t_n v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\|\frac{\omega_{\lambda}^+}{t_n} + v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_X^{2^*_{\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) \Big| \frac{\omega_{\lambda}^+(x,0)}{t_n} + v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) \Big|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx \\ &\to \frac{1}{\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2^*_{\alpha}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Then

$$I_{\lambda}(t^{-}(v_{n})v_{n}) \to -\infty$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

This contradicts the fact that I_{λ} is bounded below on N_{λ} . Set

$$t_{\lambda} = \frac{\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X} + \sqrt{\overline{C}^{2} + \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2}}}{\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}} + 1.$$

By a direct calculation we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{\lambda}v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2} \\ &= \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} + t_{\lambda}^{2}\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2} + 2t_{\lambda} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+}} y^{1-2\alpha} \nabla \omega_{\lambda}^{+} \nabla v_{\varepsilon,z} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \omega_{\lambda}^{+}(x,0) v_{\varepsilon,z}(x,0) dx \right) \\ &\geqslant \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X}^{2} + t_{\lambda}^{2}\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}^{2} - 2t_{\lambda}\|v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X} \|\omega_{\lambda}^{+}\|_{X} \\ &> \left[t^{-} \left(\frac{\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{\lambda}v_{\varepsilon,z}}{\|\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{\lambda}v_{\varepsilon,z}\|_{X}} \right) \right]^{2}. \end{split}$$

That is, $\omega_{\lambda}^+ + t_{\lambda} v_{\varepsilon,z} \in U_2$. Thus, there exists $0 < t_{\varepsilon,z}^- < t_{\lambda}$ such that $\omega_{\lambda}^+ + t_{\varepsilon,z}^- v_{\varepsilon,z} \in N_{\lambda}^-$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\omega_n \subset N_{\lambda}^-$ be a sequence such that $I_{\lambda}(\omega_n) \to \alpha_{\lambda}^-$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows from the Ekeland's variational principle [40] that there exists a sequence $\{\widetilde{\omega}_n\} \subset X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\omega}_n - \omega_n \to 0 \text{ in } X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+), \quad I'_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\omega}_n) \to 0, \quad I_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\omega}_n) \to \alpha_{\lambda}^-, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

According to Lemma 3.4(i), we have

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{-} < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}. \tag{3.30}$$

It follows from (3.30) and Lemma 3.1(ii) that there exists $\omega_{\lambda}^{-} \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+})$ such that $\widetilde{\omega}_{n} \to \omega_{\lambda}^{-}$ as $n \to \infty$. That is, $\omega_{\lambda}^{-} \in N_{\lambda}$ and $I_{\lambda}(\omega_{\lambda}^{-}) = \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}$.

With the help of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6(ii), we find $N_{\lambda}^{+} = N_{\lambda}^{0} = \emptyset$. Using Lemma 2.3 indicates that ω_{λ}^{-} is a non-trivial ground state solution of system (2.2), so $\omega_{\lambda}^{-}(x,0)$ is a non-trivial ground state solution of equation (1.1).

Note that $I_{\lambda}(|\omega_{\lambda}^{-}|) = \alpha_{\lambda}^{-}$. We suppose $\omega_{\lambda}^{-} \ge 0$. By virtue of the maximum principle for the fractional elliptic equations [33], we obtain that $\omega_{\lambda}^{-}(x,0)$ is a positive ground state solution of equation (1.1).

Remark 3.1. If a(x) is sign-changing, by using Lemmas 2.2(ii), 3.1(i), 3.4(ii) and 3.5, and taking closely analogous arguments to the proof of Theorem 1.2, we can also obtain that there exists $\widetilde{\omega}_{\lambda}^- \in N_{\lambda}^-$ such that $\widetilde{\omega}_{\lambda}^-(x,0)$ is a positive solution of equation (1.1) and $I_{\lambda}(\widetilde{\omega}_{\lambda}^-) = \alpha_{\lambda}^-$.

4. Multiple positive solutions

In this section, we apply the category theory to study multiple positive solutions of equation (1.1) and prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Throughout this section, we always suppose that conditions (H_1) – (H_3) hold.

PROPOSITION 4.1. [12]. Let R be a $C^{1,1}$ complete Riemannian manifold (modeled on a Hilbert space) and assume $F \in C^1(R,\mathbb{R})$ bounded from below. Let $-\infty < \inf_R F < a < b < +\infty$. Suppose that F satisfies the (PS)-condition on the sublevel $\{u \in R; F(u) \leq b\}$ and a is not a critical level for F. Then we have

$$\sharp\{u\in F^a;\ \nabla F(u)=0\}\geqslant cat_{F^a}(F^a),$$

where $F^a \equiv \{u \in R; F(u) \leq a\}.$

PROPOSITION 4.2. [12]. Suppose that Q, Ω^+ and Ω^- are closed sets with $\Omega^- \subset \Omega^+$. Let $\phi: Q \to \Omega^+$ and $\varphi: \Omega^- \to Q$ be two continuous maps such that $\phi \circ \varphi$ is homotopically equivalent to the embedding $j: \Omega^- \to \Omega^+$. Then $cat_Q(Q) \geqslant cat_{\Omega^+}(\Omega^-)$.

Set

$$\dot{X}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) := \left\{ \omega(x,y) \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+); \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

equipped with the norm:

$$\|\omega\|_{\dot{X}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{\perp}} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx dy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. For any $\omega \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, given $\sigma > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define the following scaled function

$$\rho(\omega) = \omega_{\sigma} : (x, y) \mapsto \sigma^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}} \omega(\sigma(x - x_0), \sigma y).$$

Then this scaling operation ρ keeps norms $\|\omega_{\sigma}\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}}$ and $|\omega_{\sigma}(x,0)|_{2^*_{\alpha}}$ invariant with respect to σ , and determined by the 'center' or 'concentration' point x_0 and the 'modulus' σ .

Proof. We just need to show that $\|\omega_{\sigma}\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}} = \|\omega\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}}$ and $|\omega_{\sigma}(x,0)|_{2_{\alpha}^{*}} = |\omega(x,0)|_{2_{\alpha}^{*}}$. Let $z = \sigma(x-x_{0})$ and $t = \sigma y$. We have $dz = \sigma^{N} dx$ and $dt = \sigma dy$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} y^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega_{\sigma}|^2 dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2\alpha} |\nabla \omega|^2 dz dt.$$

Similarly, we can obtain $|\omega_{\sigma}(x,0)|_{2_{\alpha}^{*}} = |\omega(x,0)|_{2_{\alpha}^{*}}$.

Applying Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.1, and following [3, Theorem 2.5], we can obtain the following result on global compactness immediately.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \subset \dot{X}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a (PS) sequence for I_{∞} . Then there exist a number $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and k sequences of points $\{x_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant k)$ and k+1 sequences of functions $\{\omega_n^j\} \subset \dot{X}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ $(0 \leqslant j \leqslant k)$ such that for a sequence, still denoted by $\{\omega_n\}$, we have

$$\omega_n(x,y) = \omega_n^0(x,y) + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(\sigma_n^i)^{\frac{N-\alpha}{2}}} \omega_n^i \left(\frac{x - x_n^i}{\sigma_n^i}, \frac{y}{\sigma_n^i}\right)$$

and

$$\omega_n^j \to \omega^j \text{ in } \dot{X}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+), \ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k,$$

as $n \to \infty$, where ω^0 is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla\omega)=0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\nu}=-\omega+|\omega|^{2^*_\alpha-2}\omega, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N\times\{0\}, \end{cases}$$

 ω^j $(1 \leq j \leq k)$ are solutions of

$$\begin{cases} div(y^{1-2\alpha}\nabla\omega) = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial\nu} = |\omega|^{2^*_{\alpha}-2}\omega, & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

and

- if $x_n^i \to \overline{x}^i$, as $n \to \infty$, then either $\sigma_n^i \to +\infty$ or $\sigma_n^i \to 0$;
- if $|x_n| \to +\infty$, as $n \to \infty$, then each of following three cases

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_n^i \to +\infty, \\ \sigma_n^i \to 0, \\ \sigma_n^i \to \overline{\sigma}^i, \quad 0 < \overline{\sigma}^i < +\infty \end{cases}$$

can occur.

Moreover, we have

$$\|\omega_n\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}}^2 \to \Sigma_{j=0}^k \|\omega^j\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}}^2$$

and

$$I_{\infty}(\omega_n) \to I_{\infty}(\omega^0) + \sum_{j=1}^k I^{\infty}(\omega^j)$$

as
$$n \to \infty$$
, where $I^{\infty}(\omega^j) = \frac{1}{2} \|\omega^j\|_{\dot{X}^{\alpha}}^2 - \frac{1}{2_+^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega^j(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$, $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k$.

The following corollary can be obtained from Proposition 2.2 and Lemma 4.2 directly.

COROLLARY 4.1. Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ be a non-negative function sequence with $|\omega_n(x,0)|_{2^*_{\alpha}} = 1$ and $||\omega_n||_X^2 \to S$. Then there exists a sequence $(x_n, \varepsilon_n) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\omega_n(x,y) := \frac{1}{S^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{4\alpha}}} E_{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon_n}(x-x_n)) + o(1)$$

in $\dot{X}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, where u_{ε} is defined in Proposition 2.2 and $E_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is the α -harmonic extension given by Definition 2.1. Moreover, if $x_n \to \overline{x}$, then $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ or it is unbounded.

Define the continuous map $\Phi: X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_{+})\backslash G \to \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by

$$\Phi(\omega) := \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x |\omega(x,0) - \omega_\lambda^+(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega(x,0) - \omega_\lambda^+(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx},$$

where $G = \{u \in X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+); \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega(x,0) - \omega^+_{\lambda}(x,0)|^{2^*_{\alpha}} dx = 0\}$, and define another map $\widehat{\Phi}: X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+) \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}.$$

LEMMA 4.3. (i) For each $0 < \delta < r_0$, there exist $\lambda_{\delta}, \delta_0 > 0$ such that if $\omega \in N_{\infty}$ with $I_{\infty}(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \delta_0$ and $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_{\delta})$, then $\Phi(\omega) \in M_{\delta}$.

(ii) For each $0 < \delta < r_0$, there exists $\overline{\delta}_0 > 0$ such that if $\omega \in N_\infty$ with $I_\infty(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \overline{\delta}_0$, then $\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \in M_\delta$.

Proof. (i) Suppose on the contrary that there exists a sequence $\{\omega_n\} \subset N_{\infty}$ such that $I_{\infty}(\omega_n) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + o_n(1), \lambda \to 0^+$, and

$$\Phi(\omega_n) \notin M_\delta \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$
(4.1)

Since

$$\frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + 1 > I_{\infty}(\omega_n) - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*} I_{\infty}'(\omega_n) \omega_n$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*}\right) \|\omega_n\|_X^2,$$

we obtain that $\{\omega_n\}$ is bounded in $X^{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$.

From (3.16) and Lemma 3.3, there is a sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$t_n := \left(\frac{\|\omega_n\|_X^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^* - 2}}$$

such that $\{t_n\omega_n\}\in N^{\infty}$ and $\frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}\leqslant I^{\infty}(t_n\omega_n)\leqslant I_{\infty}(t_n\omega_n)\leqslant I_{\infty}(\omega_n)=\frac{\alpha}{N}S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}}+o_n(1)$. Thus, we have $t_n=1+o_n(1)$ and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} I_{\infty}(\omega_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha}{N} \|\omega_n\|_X^2$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\alpha}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x, 0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + o_n(1). \tag{4.2}$$

Set

$$U_n = \frac{\omega_n}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^*}}}.$$

Then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |U_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = 1$. It follows from (4.2) that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||U_n||_X^2 = S$. According to Corollary 4.1, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n,\varepsilon_n)\}\subset \mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$U_n(x,y) := \frac{1}{S^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{4\alpha}}} E_{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon_n}(x-x_n)) + o_n(1). \tag{4.3}$$

Moreover, if $\{x_n\} \to \overline{x}$, then $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ or it is unbounded.

Case 1. Suppose that $\{x_n\} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $b(x_n) \to b_{\infty}$ as $n \to \infty$, where b_{∞} is defined in Remark 1.2. From (4.2) and (4.3) we deduce that

$$1 = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx} + o_n(1)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |U_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx + o_n(1)$$

$$= S^{-\frac{N}{2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x+x_n) |u_{\varepsilon_n}(x)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx + o_n(1)$$

$$\leq b_{\infty}.$$

This contradicts the fact of $b_{\infty} < 1$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\{x_n\} \to \overline{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. Using Corollary 4.1, we have $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$1 = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx} + o_{n}(1)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |U_{n}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx + o_{n}(1)$$

$$= S^{-\frac{N}{2\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}}x + x_{n}) |u_{1}(x)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx + o_{n}(1)$$

$$= b(\overline{x}), \tag{4.4}$$

where $u_1(x) = u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ when $\varepsilon = 1$. In view of (4.4) and $\overline{x} \in M$, we have

$$\Phi(\omega_n) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x |\omega_n(x,0) - \omega_\lambda^+(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_n(x,0) - \omega_\lambda^+(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx} \\
= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} x |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx} + o_\lambda(1), \text{ as } \lambda \to 0 \\
= \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (x_n + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} x) |u_1(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_1(x)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx} + o_\lambda(1) \\
\to \overline{x} \in M, \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This yields a contradiction with (4.1). That is, part (i) holds. Processing in an analogous manner, we can arrive at part (ii), so we omit it.

LEMMA 4.4. (i) There exists $\Lambda_{\delta} > 0$ small enough such that if $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_{\delta})$ and $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$ with $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\delta_{0}}{2}$ (δ_{0} is given in Lemma 4.3(i)), then $\Phi(\omega) \in M_{\delta}$.

(ii) There exists $\overline{\Lambda}_{\delta} > 0$ small enough such that if $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\Lambda}_{\delta})$ and $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$ with $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\overline{\delta}_{0}}{2} (\overline{\delta}_{0} \text{ is given in Lemma 4.3(ii)})$, then $\widehat{\Phi}(\omega) \in M_{\delta}$.

Proof. We only prove part (i). The proof of part (ii) is closely similar. For any $\omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}$ with $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\delta_{0}}{2}$, there is a unique number:

$$t_{\infty}(\omega) = \left(\frac{\|\omega\|_X^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x)|\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx}\right)^{\frac{N-2\alpha}{4\alpha}}$$

such that $t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega \in N_{\infty}$. We now claim that there are some $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ω such that

$$C_1 \leqslant t_{\infty}(\omega) \leqslant C_2. \tag{4.5}$$

In view of $I_{\lambda}(\omega) < \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ and $I'_{\lambda}(\omega)\omega = 0$, as we discussed for (3.1), there holds

$$\|\omega\|_X \leqslant C,\tag{4.6}$$

where C is independent of ω .

On the other hand, it follows from (2.3) and Proposition 2.1 that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx \leqslant C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + |\omega(x,0)|_2^4) \leqslant C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + \|\omega\|_X^4). \tag{4.7}$$

From (2.4), (4.7) and Proposition 2.1, we deduce that

$$\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega_{n}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx$$

$$\leq \lambda C(\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} + \|\omega\|_{X}^{4}) + C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}}.$$

That is,

$$\|\omega\|_{X}^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} + \|\omega\|_{X}^{4} \ge (1 - \lambda C)\|\omega\|_{X}^{2} \ge C\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}$$

for small $\lambda > 0$ and some C > 0. Hence, we have

$$\|\omega\|_X^2 \geqslant C_3 \tag{4.8}$$

for some $C_3 > 0$ independent of ω .

Taking into account Proposition 2.2 with (2.4) and (4.6)–(4.8), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx \leqslant C \|\omega\|_X^{2_{\alpha}^*} \leqslant C_4 \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega(x,0)| dx$$
$$\geqslant \|\omega\|_X^2 - \lambda C(\|\omega\|_X^2 + \|\omega\|_X^4)$$

$$\geqslant \|\omega\|_X^2 (1 - \lambda C)$$

$$\geqslant C_5 \tag{4.10}$$

for small $\lambda > 0$ and some positive constants $C_4, C_5 > 0$. Clearly, by combining (4.6) and (4.8)–(4.10), we see that (4.5) holds.

In view of (4.5)–(4.7) and Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} I_{\infty}(t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega) &= I_{\lambda}(t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega(x,0)|^{2} \ln|t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega(x,0)| dx \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x)|t_{\infty}(\omega)\omega(x,0)|^{2} dx \\ &\leqslant \max_{t \geqslant 0} I_{\lambda}(t\omega) + \lambda C \\ &\leqslant \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \frac{\delta_{0}}{2} + \lambda C \\ &< \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} + \delta_{0} \end{split}$$

for small $\lambda > 0$.

Set

$$c_{\lambda} := \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - \sigma(\varepsilon_{0}), & \text{if } a(x) \geqslant 0, \\ \alpha_{\lambda}^{+} + \frac{\alpha}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2\alpha}} - \overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_{0}), & \text{if } a(x) \text{ is sign-changing}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda}) := \{ \omega \in N_{\lambda}^{-}; I_{\lambda}(\omega) \leqslant c_{\lambda} \},$$

where $\sigma(\varepsilon_0)$ and $\overline{\sigma}(\overline{\varepsilon}_0)$ are given in Lemma 3.4.

Denote by $I_{N_{\lambda}^{-}}$ the restriction of I_{λ} on N_{λ}^{-} . Then we have

LEMMA 4.5. $I_{N_{\lambda}^{-}}$ satisfies the (PS)-condition on $N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})$.

Proof. Let $\{\omega_n\} \subset N_{\lambda}^-(c_{\lambda})$ be a (PS) sequence. Then there exists a sequence $\{\theta_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I_{\lambda}'(\omega_n) = \theta_n \Psi_{\lambda}'(\omega_n) + o_n(1),$$

where Ψ_{λ} is defined in (2.22). Since $\omega_n \in N_{\lambda}^-$, we have $\Psi_{\lambda}'(\omega_n)\omega_n < 0$ and there exists a subsequence (still denoted by $\{\omega_n\}$) such that $\Psi_{\lambda}'(\omega_n)\omega_n \to l \leqslant 0$, as $n \to \infty$.

If l = 0, then

$$\|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^*} dx = o_n(1)$$

and

$$\|\omega_n\|_X^2 - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 \ln |\omega_n(x,0)| dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} a(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^2 dx$$
$$- (2_\alpha^* - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} b(x) |\omega_n(x,0)|^{2_\alpha^*} dx = o_n(1).$$

The rest is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.4, we can obtain a contradiction. Hence, l < 0. Due to $I'_{\lambda}(\omega_n)\omega_n = 0$, we conclude that $\{\theta_n\} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Consequently, we obtain $I'_{\lambda}(\omega_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. By virtue of Lemma 3.1, we arrive at the desired result.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\delta, \Lambda_{\delta} > 0$ be the same as given in Lemmas 4.3(i) and 4.4(i), respectively. First, we show that I_{λ} has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ critical points in $N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})$ for $\lambda \in (0, \Lambda_{\delta})$. For $z \in M$, by Lemmas 3.4(ii) and 3.5, we define

$$F(z) = \omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{\varepsilon,z}^{-} v_{\varepsilon,z},$$

which belongs to $N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})$. Note that $\Phi(N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})) \subset M_{\delta}$ for $\lambda < \Lambda_{\delta}$ according to Lemma 4.4 (i). Define $\xi : [0,1] \times M \to M_{\delta}$ by

$$\xi(\theta, z) = \Phi\left(\omega_{\lambda}^{+} + t_{(1-\theta)\varepsilon, z}^{-} v_{(1-\theta)\varepsilon, z}\right) \in N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda}).$$

By a straightforward calculation we have $\xi(0,z) = \Phi \circ F(z)$ and $\lim_{\theta \to 1^-} \xi(\theta,z) = z$. Hence, $\Phi \circ F$ is homotopic to the inclusion $j: M \to M_\delta$. By virtue of Lemma 4.5 and Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain that $I_{N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)}$ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in $N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)$. In addition, from Lemma 2.3, I_λ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in $N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)$. According to the fact $N_\lambda^+ \cap N_\lambda^- = \emptyset$ and Theorem 1.1, I_λ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M) + 1$ critical points.

To show that the problem (1.1) admits at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M) + 1$ positive solutions, we set

$$I_{\lambda}^{+}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \|\omega\|_{X}^{2} - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega^{+}(x,0)|^{2} \ln |\omega^{+}(x,0)| dx$$
$$+ \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} a(x) |\omega^{+}(x,0)|^{2} dx - \frac{1}{2_{\alpha}^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} b(x) |\omega^{+}(x,0)|^{2_{\alpha}^{*}} dx,$$

where $\omega^+(x,y) := \max\{\omega(x,y),0\}$. Processing in an analogous manner, we can prove that I_{λ}^+ has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M) + 1$ critical points. Suppose that ω is one of the critical pints of I_{λ}^+ . Note that

$$(I_{\lambda}^{+})'(\omega)\omega^{-}(x,y) = \|\omega^{-}\|_{X}^{2} = 0$$

with $\omega^-(x,y) = \min\{\omega(x,y),0\}$. We have $\omega \ge 0$. By virtue of the maximum principle for the fractional elliptic equations [33], we obtain that the problem (2.2) admits at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M) + 1$ positive solutions.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $\delta, \overline{\Lambda}_{\delta} > 0$ be the same as given Lemmas 4.3(ii) and 4.4(ii), respectively. We show that I_{λ} has at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ critical points in $N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})$ for $\lambda \in (0, \overline{\Lambda}_{\delta})$. For $z \in M$, by Lemma 3.4(i), we define

$$F(z) = t_{\varepsilon,z}^- v_{\varepsilon,z},$$

which belongs to $N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that $\widehat{\Phi}(N_{\lambda}^{-}(c_{\lambda})) \subset M_{\delta}$ for $\lambda < \overline{\Lambda}_{\delta}$. Define $\xi : [0,1] \times M \to M_{\delta}$ by

$$\xi(\theta,z) = \widehat{\Phi}\Big(t^-_{(1-\theta)\varepsilon,z}v_{(1-\theta)\varepsilon,z}\Big) \in N^-_{\lambda}(c_{\lambda}).$$

Then, $\xi(0,z) = \widehat{\Phi} \circ F(z)$ and $\lim_{\theta \to 1^-} \xi(\theta,z) = z$. Hence, $\widehat{\Phi} \circ F$ is homotopic to the inclusion $j: M \to M_\delta$. Using Lemma 4.5 and Propositions 4.1 and 4.2, we obtain that $I_{N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)}$ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in $N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)$. According to Lemma 2.3, we know that I_λ has at least $cat_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points in $N_\lambda^-(c_\lambda)$.

Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can show that the problem (2.2) admits at least $cat_{M_{\delta}}(M)$ positive solutions.

References

- C. O. ALVES and C. JI, 'Existence and concentration of positive solutions for a logarithmic Schrödinger equation via penalization method', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020) 1–27.
- 2. C. O. ALVES and O. H. MIYAGAKI, 'Existence and concentration of solutions for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via peneralization method', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016) 1–19.
- 3. V. Benci and G. Cerami, 'Existence of positive solutions of the equation $-\Delta u + a(x)u = u^{(N+2)/(N-2)}$ in \mathbb{R}^N ', J. Funct. Anal. 88 (1990) 90–117.
- H. Brezis, 'Elliptic equations with limiting Sobolev exponents the impact of topology', Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) S17–S39.
- 5. H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, 'Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents', Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 36 (1983) 437–477.
- H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, 'A minimization problem with critical exponent and non zero data', Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa CI. Sci. 1 (1989) 129–140.
- 7. K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang, 'The Nehari manifold for a smilinear elliptic equation with a sign-changing weight function', J. Differential Equations 193 (2000) 481–499.
- 8. L. CAFFARELLI, J. ROQUEJOFFER and O. SAVIN, 'Nonlocal minimal surfaces', Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 63 (2010) 1111–1144.
- 9. L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, 'An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian', Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007) 1245–1260.
- W. Chen and C. Li, 'Maximum principles for the fractional p-Laplacian and symmetry of solutions', Adv. Math. 335 (2018) 735–758.
- W. Chen, C. Li and Y. Li, 'A direct method of moving planes for the fractional Laplacian', Adv. Math. 308 (2017) 404–437.
- 12. S. CINGOLANI and M. LAZZO, 'Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions, J. Differential Equations 160 (2000) 118–138.
- 13. R. CONT and P. TANKOV, Financial modeling with jump processes, Chapman Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2004).
- 14. A. COTSIOLIS and N. TAVOULARIS, 'On logarithmic Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives', C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I. 340 (2005) 205–208.
- 15. J. DAVÍLA, M. DEL PINO, S. DIPIERRO and E. VALDINOCI, 'Concentrating phenomena for the nonlocal Schrödinger equation with Dirichlet Datum', Anal. PDE 8 (2015) 1165–1235.
- 16. J. DAVÍLA, M. DEL PINO and J. WEI, 'Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equation', J. Differential Equations 256 (2014) 858–892.
- M. DEL PINO, M. KOWALCZYK and J. WEI, 'Concentration on curves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations', Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007) 113–146.
- E. DI NEZZA, G. PALATUCCI and E. VALDINOCI, 'Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces', Bull. Sci. Math. 136 (2012) 521–573.
- 19. Y. DING and A. SZULKIN, 'Bound states for semilinear Schrödinger equation equations with sign-changing potential', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2007) 397-419.
- potential', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2007) 397–419.

 20. S. DIPIERRO, M. MEDINA, I. PERAL and E. VALDINOCI, 'Bifurcation results for a fractional elliptic equation with critical exponent in \mathbb{R}^{N} ', Manuscripta Math. 153 (2017) 183–230.
- H. FAN, 'Multiple positive solutions for semi-linear elliptic systems involving sign-changing weight', Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 38 (2015) 1342–1351.
- H. FAN, 'Multiple positive solutions for fractional elliptic systems involving sign-changing weight', Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 49 (2017) 757–781.
- 23. D. G. DE FIGUEIREDO, J. P. GOSSEZ and P. UBILLA, 'Local superlinearity and sublinearity for indefinite semilinear elliptic problems', *J. Funct. Anal.* 199 (2003) 452–467.
- 24. A. Floer and A. Weinstein, 'Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equation with a bounded potential', J. Funct. Anal. 69 (1986) 397–408.
- 25. X. HE and W. ZOU, 'Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 55 (2016) 1–39.
- 26. N. LASKIN, 'Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals', Phys. Lett. A. 268 (2000) 298-305.
- 27. N. LASKIN, 'Fractional Schrödinger equation', Phys. Rev. E. 66 (2002) 56–108.
- 28. R. Metzler and J. Klafter, 'The restaurant at the random walk: recent developments in the description of anomalous transport by fractional dynamics', J. Phys. A. 37 (2004) 161–208.
- 29. M. Montenegro and O. S. de Queiroz, 'Existence and regularity to an elliptic equation with logarithmic nonlinearity', J. Differential Equations 246 (2009) 482–511.
- R. SERVADEI and E. VALDINOCI, 'The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 367 (2015) 67–102.
- X. Shang and J. Zhang, 'Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth', Nonlinearity 27 (2014) 187–207.
- 32. W. Shuai, 'Multiple solutions for logarithmic Schrödinger equations', Nonlinearity 32 (2019) 2201–2225.
- L. SILVESTRE, 'Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator', Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 60 (2007) 67–112.
- **34.** M. SQUASSINA and A. SZULKIN, 'Multiple solutions to logarithmic Schrödinger equations with periodic potential', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015) 585–597.

- 35. K. Tanaka and C. Zhang, 'Multi-bump solutions for logarithmic Schrödinger equations', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 56 (2017) 1–38.
- **36.** K. Teng, 'Existence of ground state solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent', *J. Differential Equations* 261 (2016) 3061–3106.
- 37. W. Troy, 'Uniqueness of positive ground state solutions of the logarithmic Schrödinger equation', Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 222 (2016) 1581–1600.
- 38. X. WANG and B. ZENG, 'On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions', SIAM J. Math. Anal. 28 (1997) 633–655.
- **39.** Z. Wang and C. Zhang, 'Convergence from power-law to logarithmic-law in nonlinear scalar field equations', Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 231 (2019) 45–61.
- 40. M. WILLEM, Minimax theorems (Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1996).
- 41. C. Zhang and X. Zhang, 'Bound states for logarithmic Schrödinger equations with potentials unbounded below', Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59 (2020) 1–32.
- S. Z. ZHENG and Z. FENG, 'Green functions for a class of nonlinear degenerate operators with X-ellipticity', Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364 (2012) 3627–3655.
- 43. K. G. Zloshchastiev, 'Logarithmic noninearity in theories of quantum gravity: origin of time and observational consequences', *Gravit. Cosmol.* 16 (2010) 288–297.

Haining Fan and Xingjie Yan Department of Mathematics China University of Mining and Technology Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221116 China Zhaosheng Feng School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences University of Texas Rio Grande Valley Edinburg, TX 78539 USA

zhaosheng.feng@utrgv.edu

The Transactions of the London Mathematical Society is wholly owned and managed by the London Mathematical Society, a not-for-profit Charity registered with the UK Charity Commission. All surplus income from its publishing programme is used to support mathematicians and mathematics research in the form of research grants, conference grants, prizes, initiatives for early career researchers and the promotion of mathematics.