

8-2011

## Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution and It's Variants

Sougata Dhar  
*University of Texas-Pan American*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/leg\\_etd](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/leg_etd)



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Dhar, Sougata, "Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution and It's Variants" (2011). *Theses and Dissertations - UTB/UTPA*. 280.

[https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/leg\\_etd/280](https://scholarworks.utrgv.edu/leg_etd/280)

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations - UTB/UTPA by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks @ UTRGV. For more information, please contact [justin.white@utrgv.edu](mailto:justin.white@utrgv.edu), [william.flores01@utrgv.edu](mailto:william.flores01@utrgv.edu).

ZERO INFLATED EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION AND IT'S VARIANTS

A Thesis

by

SOUGATA DHAR

Submitted to the Graduate School of the  
University of Texas-Pan American  
In fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

August 2011

Major Subject: Mathematics



ZERO INFLATED EXPONENTIAL DISTRIBUTION AND IT'S VARIANTS

A Thesis

by

SOUGATA DHAR

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Dr. Santanu Chakraborty  
Chair of Committee

Dr. Paul Bracken  
Committee Member

Dr. Arunava Mukherjea  
Committee Member

Dr. George Yanev  
Committee Member

August 2011



Copyright 2011 Sougata Dhar

All Rights Reserved



## ABSTRACT

Dhar, Sougata, Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution and It's Variants. Master of Science (MS), August, 2011, 35 pp, references, 14 titles.

There have been a lot of studies with respect to the popular Zero Inflated versions of some discrete distributions, like Zero Inflated Poisson and Zero Inflated Negative Binomial distributions. They arise naturally in the literature when one aims to model count data sets having more than usual number of zeros by well known probability distributions. But it can be argued and established that Zero Inflated versions of continuous distributions also make sense. In this thesis, we first provide some motivations for studying Zero Inflated versions of exponential distribution and its variants and then study some parametric and Bayesian aspects related to the Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution.





## DEDICATION

The completion of my masters studies would not have been possible without the love and support of my family and friends. My mother Mrs. Kanika Dhar and my father Mr. Santi Ranjan Dhar wholeheartedly motivated and supported me throughout the period of my study. Without their kindness and inspiration, it was not possible for me to accomplish the degree.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I will be always thankful to Dr. Santanu Chakraborty, chair of my thesis committee for all his time and patience and specially for those infinite number of answers for my all my questions. Without his guidance I might not have been able to complete this process. I would also like to thank Dr. Arunava Mukherjea who introduced me to the world of mathematics. I am also grateful to Dr. Shankar Chandra Ghosh, my undergraduate mathematics professor who continuously inspired me to build my career in mathematics. My thanks goes to my thesis committee members Dr. Paul Bracken and Dr. George Yanev. Finally I would like to thank, with my whole heart, our department chair Dr. Lokenath Debnath who gave me the opportunity to fulfil my dream.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT .....	iii
DEDICATION .....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	vi
CHAPTER I . INTRODUCTION .....	1
CHAPTER II . DEFINITION & MOMENTS .....	3
Exponential Distribution .....	3
Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution .....	3
CHAPTER III . DISCUSSION ON <i>n.i.i.d</i> OBSERVATIONS .....	5
Joint Density of <i>n.i.i.d</i> Observations .....	5
Method of Moment Estimators .....	5
Unbiased Estimators .....	6
PDF's of Maximum & Minimum Order statistics .....	6
CHAPTER IV . CONVOLUTION .....	8
Motivation .....	8
Convolution for two <i>i.i.d</i> ZIED Random Variables.....	8
Convolution for three <i>i.i.d</i> ZIED Random Variables .....	9
Convolution for <i>n i.i.d</i> ZIED Random Variables.....	11

CHAPTER V . BAYESIAN PERSPECTIVE.....	17
Introduction.....	17
Case 1 (For $\epsilon = 1$ ).....	17
Posterior for One Observation.....	17
Posterior for $n$ Observations.....	21
Case 2 (For a general $\epsilon$ ).....	25
Posterior for One Observation.....	25
Posterior for $n$ Observation.....	28
CHAPTER VI . CONCLUDING REMARKS.....	32
REFERENCES.....	33
BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH.....	35

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

The real life count data sets are expected to be modeled by well known discrete probability distributions like a Poisson or a Negative Binomial distribution. However, it is sometimes found that the number of zeros in such a dataset is more than what is allowed by a Poisson model. So in order to incorporate this feature, a zero inflated Poisson distribution was introduced in the literature. See for example, Cohen (1960), Singh (1963), Goraski (1977), Kemp (1986), Heilborn (1989), Lambert (1992), Al-Saleh and Al-Batainah (2003), one can come across such attempts. There had been studies on Bayesian analysis of zero-inflated Poisson regression models in the works of Ghosh et al (2006). One can, in fact, find Zero Inflated version of generalized Poisson distribution and corresponding Bayesian study in the works of Angers and Biswas (2003). For this, one needs to understand the generalized Poisson distribution that was introduced in the works of Consul and Jain (1973).

But so far there had been no relevant study regarding zero inflated versions of the well known continuous probability distributions, say, exponential or gamma or normal distributions. In fact, there could be real life situations where one can come across zero inflated version of an exponential or a normal distribution. For example, the life span of an electric bulb is generally assumed to be exponential. But if a cheap brand of bulb exists in the market, it may have a shorter life span as compared to an electric bulb of a standard brand. In that case, the probability at zero or on an interval around zero may be more than that for a usual exponential distribution. Similarly, one can think of a real life example where a zero inflated version of a normal distribution could be meaningful. Generally, the errors made by a measuring instrument are assumed to be normal with a mean of zero. But for a highly accurate measuring instrument, the probability at zero or on



an interval around it could be higher than its corresponding normal counterpart. From all these considerations, it is worth looking at the Zero Inflated versions of these continuous probability distributions.

In this thesis, we look at the zero inflated version of the exponential distribution. The usual exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$  has the following distribution:

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x \geq 0 \\ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Now, for situations where one anticipates that the probability around zero is going to be more than that for the usual exponential and as a result, it is less in the other part of the positive real half compared to the usual exponential, it is imperative to inflate the probability distribution around zero and deflate it in the other part. If we decide to inflate a usual exponential with parameter  $\lambda$  in a small interval  $(0, \epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon$  is a very small positive number close to zero and deflate it in  $(\epsilon, \infty)$ , then the probability density function looks like:

$$f(x) = \frac{\phi}{\epsilon} + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \epsilon) \\ = (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x \in (\epsilon, \infty) \\ = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

As it appears from the above formula for the zero inflated exponential distribution is a mixture of a uniform  $(0, \epsilon)$  and exponential  $(\lambda)$  with weights  $\phi$  and  $(1 - \phi)$  respectively. There have been a lot of studies in the theory of mixture distributions in the last 50 years. Quite a few of them deal with mixture of uniform distributions only, say E. Janvresse and T De La Rue (2004), A. Gning, L. Mihaylova and F abdallah (2010) or mixture of exponential distributions only, say, Paul R Rider (1961), Nicholas P Jewell (1982). But to the best of our knowledge, this is the very first attempt with mixture of uniform and exponential distributions. We do not go beyond the set up described here for such a mixture. But it could be of interest to future researchers for more generalized studies on such mixtures.

## CHAPTER II

### DEFINITION & MOMENTS

#### Exponential Distribution

In this thesis, we will be looking at Zero Inflated version of the exponential distribution and its variants. Apart from the example of lifetime of an electric bulb, there are other instances also where one comes across exponential distribution. For example, the waiting time to get service in a queue, the waiting time for a child to be born in a family etc. are common examples of exponential distributions. One can easily give meanings to the Zero Inflated versions of these distributions. For exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ ,  $E(X)$ ,  $V(X)$ ,  $M_X(t)$  and  $\Phi_X(t)$  are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}E(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \\V(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \\M_X(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} \\ \Phi_X(t) &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - it}\end{aligned}$$

#### Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution

As mentioned in the introduction, the zero inflated exponential distribution is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}f(x) &= \frac{\phi}{\epsilon} + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \epsilon) \\ &= (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x \in (\epsilon, \infty) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}\end{aligned}$$

Using indicator function, this can be rewritten as follows

$$f(x) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

We will call this distribution as Zero Inflated Exponential Distribution (ZIED). To emphasize its dependence on  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$ , we will denote it as ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ). In this thesis, for any study related to ZIED, we will first consider  $\varepsilon = 1$  and then generalize to the case for any value of  $\varepsilon$ .

For  $\varepsilon = 1$ , the probability density function takes the following form:

$$f(x) = \phi + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1$$

$$= (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x > 1$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise}$$

where  $0 < \phi < 1$  is a constant, and  $\lambda > 0$ . As before, using indicator function, this can be rewritten as :

$$f(x) = \phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \quad \text{for } x > 0$$

The  $E(X), V(X), M_X(t)$  and  $\Phi_X(t)$  for this distribution function are as follows:

$$E(X) = \frac{\phi}{2} + \frac{1 - \phi}{\lambda}$$

$$V(X) = \frac{1 - \phi}{\lambda} \left\{ \frac{1 + \phi}{\lambda} - \phi \right\} + \frac{\phi}{12} (4 - 3\phi)$$

$$M_X(t) = \frac{\phi(e^t - 1)}{t} + \frac{\lambda(1 - \phi)}{\lambda - t}$$

$$\Phi_X(t) = \frac{\phi(e^{it} - 1)}{it} + \frac{\lambda(1 - \phi)}{\lambda - it}$$

For a general ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ),  $E(X), V(X), M_X(t)$  and  $\Phi_X(t)$  for this generalized version are:

$$E(X) = \frac{\phi\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1 - \phi}{\lambda}$$

$$V(X) = \frac{\varepsilon^2\phi}{12} (4 - 3\phi) + \frac{1 - \phi^2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\varepsilon\phi(1 - \phi)}{\lambda}$$

$$M_X(t) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon t} (e^{\varepsilon t} - 1) + \frac{\lambda(1 - \phi)}{\lambda - t}$$

$$\Phi_X(t) = \frac{\phi}{i\varepsilon t} (e^{i\varepsilon t} - 1) + \frac{\lambda(1 - \phi)}{\lambda - it}$$

## CHAPTER III

### DISCUSSION ON *n i.i.d* OBSERVATIONS

#### Joint Density of *n i.i.d* Observations

For *n i.i.d.* observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) with  $\varepsilon = 1$ , the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda, \phi) &= \prod_{i=0}^n [\phi I(0 < x_i < 1) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_i}] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} (1 - \phi)^l \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{i_j}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

For *n i.i.d.* observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) for a general  $\varepsilon$ , the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda, \phi) &= \prod_{i=0}^n \left[ \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x_i < \varepsilon) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} (1 - \phi)^l \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{i_j}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

#### Method of Moment Estimators

If  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  is a *i.i.d* random sample from a ZIED with parameters  $(\phi, \lambda)$  where both the parameters are unknown, then using the method of moments one can estimate  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$ . The results are,

$$\lambda = \frac{(1 - 3m_2) \pm \sqrt{\{m_1(6 + 2\sqrt{3}) - 3m_2 + (2 - \sqrt{3})\} \{m_1(6 - 2\sqrt{3}) - 3m_2 + (2 + \sqrt{3})\}}}{2m_1 - 3m_2}$$

$$\phi = (6m_1 - 3m_2 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(6m_1 - 3m_2 - 1)^2 - 6(2m_1^2 - m_2)}$$

where  $m_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $m_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$

## Unbiased Estimators

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be a *i.i.d* random sample from a ZIED with parameters  $(\phi, \lambda)$ . Then the unbiased estimators for  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  is  $\frac{2X_i - \phi}{2 - 2\phi}$

and the unbiased estimator for  $\phi$  is  $\frac{2 - 2\lambda X_i}{2 - \lambda}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

## PDF's Maximum & Minimum Order Statistics

Usually The  $k^{th}$  order statistics of any probability distribution is given by the following formulla

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(n-k+1)} [F(x_k)]^{k-1} [1 - F(x_k)]^{n-k} f(x_k)$$

where  $F(x_k)$  is the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f) of the  $k^{th}$  varriable and  $f(x_k)$  is the probability density function (p.d.f) of the  $k^{th}$  varriable. Now in order to get the density of the maximum order statistics we replace  $k$  by  $n$ . So the density of the maximum order statistics, denoted by  $\xi(x_n)$  and is given by

$$\xi(x_n) = n[F(x_n)]^{n-1} f(x_n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_n) &= \phi + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_n} \quad 0 < x_n < 1 \\ &= \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_n} \quad x_n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_n) &= \phi x_n + (1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda x_n}) \quad 0 < x_n < 1 \\ &= \phi + (1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda x_n}) \quad x > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Now putting the value of  $F(x_n)$  and  $f(x_n)$  we get the density of the maximum order statistics as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x_n) &= n\{\phi x_n + (1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda x_n})\}^{n-1} \{\phi + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_n}\} \quad 0 < x_n < 1 \\ &= n\lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_n} \{\phi + (1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda x_n})\}^{n-1} \quad x_n > 1 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly putting  $k = 1$  in the  $k^{th}$  order statistics we can find the density function of the minimum order statistics, denoted as  $\eta(x_1)$  and is given by

$$\eta(x_1) = n[1 - F(x_1)]^{n-1} f(x_1)$$

Again by putting the values of  $F(x_n)$  and  $f(x_n)$  we get the density of the minimum order statistics as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(x_1) &= n\{1 - \phi x_1 - (1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda x_1})\}^{n-1} \{\phi + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_1}\} \quad 0 < x_1 < 1 \\ &= n\lambda(1 - \phi)^n e^{-n\lambda x_1} \quad x_1 > 1\end{aligned}$$

## CHAPTER IV

### CONVOLUTION

#### Motivation

Our main aim in this thesis is to do some parametric inferential studies for the ZIED. For such a study, we start with independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) samples from Zero Inflated Exponential distribution. For parametric inferential purposes, it is meaningful to obtain the sampling distributions of the sample mean and the sample variance constructed out of the i.i.d. sample. In other words, one needs to know the sampling distribution of the convolution of these i.i.d. observations. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be an i.i.d. sample of size  $n$  from a ZIED distribution. For simplicity, we first assume  $\varepsilon = 1$  and obtain the distribution of  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and then generalize it. For the obvious complicacy in deriving the expressions, we start with the case  $n = 2$  and then generalize. From now on, we will always suppress the case of negative values while writing down the densities for the observations or their convolutions.

#### Convolution for two i.i.d ZIED random variables

##### Case 1 ( $\varepsilon=1$ )

First we consider  $\varepsilon = 1$  and take only two i.i.d. observations from ZIED -  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . Then,

$$f(x_1) = \phi I(0 < x_1 < 1) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_1}$$

$$f(x_2) = \phi I(0 < x_2 < 1) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_2}$$

Our aim is to get the distribution of  $X_1 + X_2$ . We make the usual transformation:  $(X_1, X_2) \longrightarrow (Y_1, Y_2)$  where  $Y_1 = X_1$  and  $Y_2 = X_1 + X_2$  to get the joint density of  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  as follows

$$g(y_1, y_2) = \phi^2 I(0 < y_1, y_2 - y_1 < 1) + \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \\ \lambda \phi (1 - \phi) \left[ e^{-\lambda y_1} I(0 < y_2 - y_1 < 1) + e^{-\lambda(y_2 - y_1)} I(0 < y_1 < 1) \right]$$

Then, integrating out  $y_1$ , we get the density of  $Y_2$  which is nothing but the density of  $X_1 + X_2$

$$h(y_2) = \phi^2 y_2 + \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + 2\phi(1 - \phi)(1 - e^{-\lambda y_2}) \quad 0 < y_2 < 1 \\ = (2 - y_2)\phi^2 + \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + 2\phi(1 - \phi)(e^\lambda - 1)e^{-\lambda y_2} \quad 1 < y_2 < 2 \\ = \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + 2\phi(1 - \phi)(e^\lambda - 1)e^{-\lambda y_2} \quad y_2 > 2$$

### Case 2 (for a general $\varepsilon$ )

Now considering a general  $\varepsilon$ , one can proceed similarly to obtain the density of the convolution of two i.i.d. observations as follows:

$$h(y_2) = \frac{\phi^2}{\varepsilon^2} y_2 + \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \frac{2\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon} (1 - e^{-\lambda y_2}) \quad 0 < y_2 < \varepsilon \\ = \frac{\phi^2}{\varepsilon^2} (2\varepsilon - y_2) + \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \frac{2\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon} (e^{\lambda\varepsilon} - 1)e^{-\lambda y_2} \quad \varepsilon < y_2 < 2\varepsilon \\ = \lambda^2 (1 - \phi)^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \frac{2\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon} (e^{\lambda\varepsilon} - 1)e^{-\lambda y_2} \quad y_2 > 2\varepsilon$$

### Convolution for three i.i.d ZIED random variables

#### Case 1 ( $\varepsilon=1$ )

For doing it in the case of  $n = 3$ , we consider three observations  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ . One can now start with the joint density of  $Y_2 = X_1 + X_2$  (obtained in the previous subsection) and  $X_3$  which are independent and use a transformation to get the joint density of  $Y_2$  and  $Y_3 = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$  and then integrate out  $Y_2$  to get the marginal of  $Y_3$ . In the case  $\varepsilon = 1$ , the density of  $Y_3$  is as follows:

$$h(y_3) = \phi^3 \Psi_{00}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi^2 (1 - \phi) \Psi_{01}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi(1 - \phi)^2 \Psi_{02}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1 - \phi)^3 \Psi_{03}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda), \quad 0 < y_3 < 1 \\ = \phi^3 \Psi_{10}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi^2 (1 - \phi) \Psi_{11}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi(1 - \phi)^2 \Psi_{12}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1 - \phi)^3 \Psi_{13}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda), \quad 1 < y_3 < 2$$



$$= \phi^3 \Psi_{20}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi^2(1-\phi)\Psi_{21}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi(1-\phi)^2\Psi_{22}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1-\phi)^3\Psi_{23}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda), \quad 2 < y_3 < 3$$

$$= \phi^3 \Psi_{30}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi^2(1-\phi)\Psi_{31}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3\phi(1-\phi)^2\Psi_{32}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1-\phi)^3\Psi_{33}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda), \quad y_3 > 3$$

where

$$\Psi_{00}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{y_3^2}{2}, \quad \Psi_{10}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{-2y_3^2 + 6y_3 - 3}{2}, \quad \Psi_{20}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{(3-y_3)^2}{2}, \quad \Psi_{30}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = 0$$

$$\Psi_{01}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = y_3 - \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda y_3}), \quad \Psi_{11}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = (2 - y_3) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 + e^{-\lambda y_3} - 2e^{-\lambda y_3 + \lambda}),$$

$$\Psi_{21}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{31}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}e^{-\lambda y_3}(e^\lambda - 1)^2$$

$$\Psi_{02}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = 1 - e^{-\lambda y_3}(\lambda y_3 + 1), \quad \Psi_{12}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{22}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{32}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda y_3}[(\lambda y_3 + 1)(e^\lambda - 1) - \lambda e^\lambda]$$

$$\Psi_{03}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{13}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{23}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{33}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^3 y_3^2 e^{-\lambda y_3}}{2}$$

### Case 2 (for a general $\varepsilon$ )

For a general  $\varepsilon$ , the density of the convolution of three i.i.d. observations is as follows

$$h(y_3) = \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^3 \Psi_{i0}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3 \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 (1-\phi)\Psi_{i1}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3 \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi(1-\phi)^2\Psi_{i2}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1-\phi)^3\Psi_{i3}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda)$$

for  $i < y_3 < i + 1$  with  $i = 0, 1$  and  $2$  and

$$h(y_3) = \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^3 \Psi_{30}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3 \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 (1-\phi)\Psi_{31}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + 3 \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right) \phi(1-\phi)^2\Psi_{32}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) + (1-\phi)^3\Psi_{33}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda)$$

for  $y_3 > 3$ . The  $\Psi$ s now involve  $\varepsilon$  s but for the sake of notational simplicity, we will suppress the  $\varepsilon$

s. As before, we obtain the expressions for the  $\Psi$  s which are as follows

$$\Psi_{00}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{y_3^2}{2}, \quad \Psi_{10}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{-2y_3^2 + 6\varepsilon y_3 - 3\varepsilon^2}{2}, \quad \Psi_{20}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{(3\varepsilon - y_3)^2}{2}, \quad \Psi_{30}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = 0$$

$$\Psi_{01}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = y_3 - \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda y_3}), \quad \Psi_{11}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = (2\varepsilon - y_3) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 + e^{-\lambda y_3} - 2e^{-\lambda y_3 + \lambda}),$$

$$\Psi_{21}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{31}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda y_3} (e^{\lambda \varepsilon} - 1)^2$$

$$\Psi_{02}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = 1 - e^{-\lambda y_3} (\lambda y_3 + 1), \Psi_{12}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{22}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{32}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = e^{-\lambda y_3} [(\lambda y_3 + 1)(e^{\lambda \varepsilon} - 1) - \lambda \varepsilon e^{\lambda \varepsilon}]$$

$$\Psi_{03}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{13}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{23}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \Psi_{33}^{(3)}(y_3, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^3 y_3^2 e^{-\lambda y_3}}{2}$$

### Convolution for n i.i.d ZIED random variables

#### Case 1 ( $\varepsilon=1$ )

For the general case with  $n > 3$  observations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , we follow the same technique. By virtue of induction, we have the marginals of  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  (say) which we can use to get the joint density of  $Y_n$  and  $X_{n+1}$  and then using a transformation, we obtain the joint density of  $Y_n$  and  $Y_{n+1} = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_{n+1}$ . From there, we integrate out  $Y_n$  to get the marginal distribution of  $Y_{n+1}$  in the case  $\varepsilon = 1$ . So, we first write down the joint distribution of  $Y_n$  and  $Y_{n+1}$

$$g(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \phi^{n+1} \Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} [\Psi_{ik-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] \\ + (1-\phi)^{n+1} \Psi_{i0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)$$

$$\text{for } i < y_n < i+1, \quad 0 < y_{n+1} - y_n < 1 \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$g(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} [\Psi_{nk-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] \\ + (1-\phi)^{n+1} \Psi_{n0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)$$

$$\text{for } y_n > n, \quad 0 < y_{n+1} - y_n < 1$$

$$g(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) \\ + (1-\phi)^{n+1} \Psi_{i0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)$$

$$\text{for } i < y_n < i+1, \quad y_{n+1} - y_n > 1 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n$$

$$g(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)$$

$$+ (1-\phi)^{n+1} \Psi_{n0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)$$

for  $y_n > n$ ,  $y_{n+1} - y_n > 1$

As mentioned earlier, the density of  $y_{n+1}$  can now be obtained by integrating out  $y_n$  and is denoted by  $h(y_{n+1})$ :

$$h(y_{n+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{ik}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) \quad i < y_{n+1} < i+1 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} \phi^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{n+1k}^{(n)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) \quad y_n > n+1$$

#### Definition of $\Psi$ 's

For  $i = 0$ ,  $k = n+1$

$$\Psi_{0n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_0^{y_{n+1}} \Psi_{0n}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\binom{n+1}{k} \Psi_{0k}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^{y_{n+1}} [\Psi_{0k-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{0k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n$$

For  $i = 0$ ,  $k = 0$

$$\Psi_{00}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_0^{y_{n+1}} \Psi_{00}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k = n+1$

$$\Psi_{in+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_{y_{n+1}-1}^i \Psi_{i-1n}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_i^{y_{n+1}} \Psi_{in}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Psi_{ik}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+1}{k}} G(\Psi_{jl}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{00}^{(0)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda); 0 \leq j \leq i, l = k-1, k)$$

where  $G$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_j^{j+1} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{i-1}^{y_{n+1}-1} \Psi_{i-1k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ & + \int_{y_{n+1}-1}^i [\Psi_{i-1k-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{i-1k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \\ & + \int_i^{y_{n+1}} [\Psi(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $i = 1$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\sum \int_j^{j+1} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n = 0$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{i0}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_j^{j+1} \Psi_{j0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{i-1}^{y_{n+1}-1} \Psi_{i-10}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ &+ \int_{y_{n+1}-1}^i \Psi_{i-10}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_i^{y_{n+1}} \Psi_{i0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Once again, for  $i = 1$ ,  $k = 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_j^{j+1} \Psi_{j0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n = 0$$

For  $i = n + 1, k = n + 1$

$$\Psi_{n+1n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = 0$$

For  $i = n + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Psi_{n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+1}{k}} H(\Psi_{jl}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{00}^{(0)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda); 0 \leq j \leq n, l = k - 1, k)$$

where  $H$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_j^{j+1} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_n^{y_{n+1}-1} \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ & + \int_{y_{n+1}-1}^{y_n} [\Psi_{nk-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $i = n + 1, k = 0$

$$\Psi_{nk-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) = \Psi_{n-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) = 0$$

### Case 2 (for a general $\varepsilon$ )

It can be observed that for the general  $\varepsilon$ , the density of  $Y_{n+1}$  should have a similar formula:

$$\begin{aligned} h(y_{n+1}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{ik}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) \quad i\varepsilon < y_{n+1} < (i+1)\varepsilon \quad i = 0, \dots, n+1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+1}{k} \left(\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon}\right)^k (1-\phi)^{n-k+1} \Psi_{n+1k}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) \quad y_n > (n+1)\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

### Definition of $\Psi$ 's

In the definition the  $\Psi$ 's will now involve  $\varepsilon$ 's and will share similar recursive relations as in case of  $\varepsilon = 1$ :

For  $i = 0, k = n + 1$

$$\Psi_{0n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_0^{y_{n+1}\varepsilon} \Psi_{0n}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 0, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\binom{n+1}{k} \Psi_{0k}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^{y_{n+1}\varepsilon} [\Psi_{0k-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{0k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n$$

For  $i = 0, k = 0$

$$\Psi_{00}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_0^{y_{n+1}\varepsilon} \Psi_{00}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = n + 1$

$$\Psi_{in+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \int_{(y_{n+1}-1)\varepsilon}^{i\varepsilon} \Psi_{i-1n}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{i\varepsilon}^{y_{n+1}\varepsilon} \Psi_{in}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) dy_n$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Psi_{ik}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+1}{k}} G(\Psi_{jl}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{00}^{(0)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda); 0 \leq j \leq i, l = k - 1, k)$$

where  $G$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_{j\varepsilon}^{(j+1)\varepsilon} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{(i-1)\varepsilon}^{(y_{n+1}-1)\varepsilon} \Psi_{i-1k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ & + \int_{(y_{n+1}-1)\varepsilon}^{i\varepsilon} [\Psi_{i-1k-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{i-1k}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \\ & + \int_{i\varepsilon}^{y_{n+1}\varepsilon} [\Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{ik}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $i = 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n = 0$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, k = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_{i0}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} \Psi_{j0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{(i-1)\epsilon}^{(y_{n+1}-1)\epsilon} \Psi_{i-10}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ & \quad + \int_{(y_{n+1}-1)\epsilon}^{i\epsilon} \Psi_{i-10}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{i\epsilon}^{y_{n+1}\epsilon} \Psi_{i0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Once again, for  $i = 1, k = 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i-2} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} \Psi_{j0}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n = 0$$

For  $i = n + 1, k = n + 1$

$$\Psi_{n+1n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = 0$$

For  $i = n + 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\Psi_{n+1}^{(n+1)}(y_{n+1}, \lambda) = \frac{\binom{n}{k}}{\binom{n+1}{k}} H(\Psi_{jl}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{00}^{(0)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda), \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda); 0 \leq j \leq n, l = k - 1, k)$$

where  $H$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{j\epsilon}^{(j+1)\epsilon} \Psi_{jk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n + \int_{n\epsilon}^{(y_{n+1}-1)\epsilon} \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{10}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda) dy_n \\ & \quad + \int_{(y_{n+1}-1)\epsilon}^{y_n\epsilon} [\Psi_{nk-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) + \Psi_{nk}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) \Psi_{00}^{(1)}(y_{n+1} - y_n, \lambda)] dy_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $i = n + 1, k = 0$

$$\Psi_{nk-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) = \Psi_{n-1}^{(n)}(y_n, \lambda) = 0$$

## CHAPTER V

### BAYESIAN PERSPECTIVE

#### Introduction

For a ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) distribution, we consider  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$  as the parameters of interest. The range for  $\phi$  is the open interval  $(0, 1)$  and the range for  $\lambda$  is the open interval  $(0, \infty)$ . Therefore, from Bayesian perspective, it is appropriate to assume a beta prior, say,  $\beta(a, b)$  for  $\phi$  and gamma prior, say,  $\Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  for  $\lambda$ . In order to find posteriors for these parameters, we will first consider one observation  $X$  and then consider the general situation of  $n$  i.i.d. observations. Like every other situation, we will assume  $\varepsilon = 1$  to start with and then do for general  $\varepsilon$ .

#### Case 1 ( $\varepsilon=1$ )

First we consider the simplest case where  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

#### Posterior for One Observation

As mentioned above, we will first consider one observation and then generalize to  $n$  observations.

#### The Posterior density of $\lambda$

To start with, we assume  $\phi$  to be a constant and  $\lambda$  having the  $\Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  density:

We define  $\lambda \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  as

$$g_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \text{ for } \lambda > 0$$



As we know, the density for the observation  $X$  from ZIED( $1, \phi, \lambda$ ) is

$$f(x|\lambda) = \phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1 - \phi) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text{ for } x > 0$$

Hence, the joint density of  $X$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$f(x, \lambda) = \frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda(x + \frac{1}{\theta})}$$

Then the marginal density of  $X$  is

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x, \lambda) d\lambda = \phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1 - \phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}}$$

And the posterior of  $\lambda$  is given by

$$g_1(\lambda|x) = \frac{f(x, \lambda)}{f_0(x)} = \frac{\frac{\phi}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda(x + \frac{1}{\theta})}}{\phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1 - \phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \text{ for } \lambda > 0$$

### The Posterior density of $\phi$

Now we consider  $\lambda$  to be a constant and  $\phi$  having a  $\beta(a, b)$  density, say,

$$g_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} \text{ for } \phi \in (a, b)$$

Then the density for one observation  $X$  is

$$f(x|\phi) = \phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1 - \phi) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text{ for } x > 0$$

So, the joint density of  $X$  and  $\phi$  is given by

$$f(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^a (1 - \phi)^{b-1} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^b \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

Then the marginal of  $X$  is

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^1 f(x, \phi) d\phi = \frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

And the posterior density of  $\phi$  is as follows

$$g_2(\phi|x) = \frac{f(x, \phi)}{f_0(\phi)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1-\phi)^{b-1} [\phi I(0 < x < 1) + \lambda(1-\phi)e^{-\lambda x}]}{\frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}} \text{ for } \phi \in (0, 1)$$

### The Posterior density of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Finally, we assume that  $\lambda \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  and  $\phi \sim \beta(a, b)$  and they are independent. So,  $(\lambda, \phi)$  has the joint prior

$$g(\lambda, \phi) = \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1-\phi)^{b-1} \right] \text{ for } \lambda > 0, \phi \in (0, 1)$$

The joint density of  $x, \lambda$ , and  $\phi$  is as follows

$$f(x, \lambda, \theta) = [\phi I(0 < x < 1) + \lambda(1-\phi)e^{-\lambda x}] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1-\phi)^{b-1} \right]$$

From here, the marginal density of  $X$  is obtained as follows:

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty f(x, \lambda, \theta) d\lambda d\theta = \frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}$$

So the posterior joint density of  $\lambda$  and  $\phi$  for  $\lambda > 0, \phi \in (0, 1)$  is given by

$$f(\lambda, \phi|x) = \frac{f(x, \lambda, \phi)}{f_0(x)}$$

$$= \frac{[\phi I(0 < x < 1) + \lambda(1-\phi)e^{-\lambda x}] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1-\phi)^{b-1} \right]}{\frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}}$$

From this, we can obtain the marginals  $\lambda|x$  and  $\phi|x$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda|x) &= \int_0^1 f(\lambda, \phi|x) d\phi \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}}}{\frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \right] \\
f(\phi|x) &= \int_0^\infty f(\lambda, \phi|x) d\lambda \\
&= \frac{\frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1-\phi)^{b-1}}{\frac{a}{a+b} I(0 < x < 1) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \phi I(0 < x < 1) + (1-\phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior mean and variance of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

The posterior mean of  $\lambda$  is

$$E(\lambda|X = x) = \frac{\alpha\theta}{a(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < 1) + b\alpha\theta} \left[ a(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{(\alpha+1)\theta}{1+\theta x} \right]$$

In order to get the posterior variance  $V(\lambda|X = x)$ , we first calculate  $E(\lambda^2|x)$  which is

$$E(\lambda^2|x) = \frac{(\alpha+1)\alpha\theta^2}{a(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < 1) + b\alpha\theta} \left[ a(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{(\alpha+2)\theta}{(1+\theta x)^2} \right]$$

Then the posterior variance of  $\lambda$  is obtained as follows

$$V(\lambda|x) = E(\lambda^2|x) - \{E(\lambda|x)\}^2$$

The posterior mean of  $\phi$  is

$$E(\phi|X = x) = \frac{\frac{a}{a+b+1}}{aI(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ (a+1)I(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right]$$

In order to obtain the variance,  $V(\phi|X = x)$ , we first obtain  $E(\phi^2|x)$  which is

$$E(\phi^2|x) = \frac{\frac{a(a+1)}{(a+b+1)(a+b+2)}}{aI(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ (a+2)I(0 < x < 1) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right]$$

Then the variance is obtained as follows

$$V(\phi|x) = E(\phi^2|x) - \{E(\phi|x)\}^2$$

### Posterior for n Observation

For  $n$  i.i.d. observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) with  $\varepsilon = 1$ , the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda, \phi) &= \prod_{i=0}^n [\phi I(0 < x_i < 1) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_i}] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} (1 - \phi)^l \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

#### The Posterior density of $\lambda$

As in the case of one observation, we first consider the case when  $\phi$  is a constant and  $\lambda \sim g_1(\lambda) \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  so that the joint density of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) g_1(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \theta^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1 - \phi)^l e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + l)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} (1 - \phi)^l \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}) + l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the posterior density of  $\lambda$  is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1 - \phi)^l e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \Gamma(\alpha + l) \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n-l} (1 - \phi)^l \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior density of $\phi$

Then we consider  $\lambda$  to be a constant and  $\phi \sim g_2(\phi) \sim \beta(a, b)$  so that the joint density of so that the joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $\phi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \phi) g_2(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n+a-l-1} \lambda^l (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi) d\phi \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\beta(a, b)} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the posterior density of  $\phi$  is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n+a-l-1} \lambda^l (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \beta(n-l+a, l+b) \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior density of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Then we assume that  $\lambda \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  and  $\phi \sim \beta(a, b)$  and they are independent with joint density being  $g(\lambda, \phi)$ . Then the joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \lambda$  and  $\phi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \theta^\alpha \beta(a, b)} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n+a-l-1} \lambda^{l+\alpha-1} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

Then we integrate this with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$  to get the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi) d\phi d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\beta(a, b)} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) d\lambda \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\beta(a, b)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+l)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha (\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)
\end{aligned}$$

So the posterior joint density of  $\lambda$  and  $\phi$  is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n+a-l-1} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}
\end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain the marginals  $\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^1 f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\phi \\
&= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\lambda \\
&= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \phi^{n+a-l-1} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}
\end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior mean and variance of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Then the posterior mean of  $\lambda$ , which is  $E(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is given by

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l+1) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l+1}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}$$

Also,  $E(\lambda^2|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is obtained as

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l+2) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l+2}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, \dots, i_l)}$$

from which one can obtain the posterior variance of  $\lambda$  as,

$$V(\lambda|x) = E(\lambda^2|x) - \{E(\lambda|x)\}^2$$

Similarly, the posterior mean of  $\phi$ ,  $E(\phi|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is as follows:

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l+1, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

And  $E(\phi^2|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is obtained as

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l+2, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \beta(n+a-l, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < 1, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

from which one can obtain the posterior variance of  $\phi$  as,

$$V(\phi|x) = E(\phi^2|x) - \{E(\phi|x)\}^2$$

## Case 2 (For a general $\varepsilon$ )

We are now going to see the case for a general  $\varepsilon$ .

### Posterior for One Observation

Like before, we first consider one observation  $X$  and then consider  $n$  i.i.d. observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

#### The Posterior density of $\lambda$

To start with, we assume  $\phi$  to be a constant and  $\lambda$  having the  $\Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  density:

$$g_1(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \text{ for } \lambda > 0$$

As we know, the density for the observation  $X$  from ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) is

$$f(x|\lambda) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi) \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text{ for } x > 0$$

Hence, the joint density of  $X$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$f(x, \lambda) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon \Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda(x + \frac{1}{\theta})}$$

Then the marginal density of  $X$  is

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^\infty f(x, \lambda) d\lambda = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}}$$

And the posterior of  $\lambda$  is given by

$$g_1(\lambda|x) = \frac{f(x, \lambda)}{f_0(x)} = \frac{\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon \Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} (1 - \phi) e^{-\lambda(x + \frac{1}{\theta})}}{\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \text{ for } \lambda > 0$$

#### The Posterior density of $\phi$

Next we consider  $\lambda$  to be a constant and  $\phi$  having a  $\beta(a, b)$  density, say,

$$g_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} \text{ for } \phi \in (a, b)$$



Then the density for one observation  $X$  is

$$f(x|\phi) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi)\lambda e^{-\lambda x} \text{ for } x > 0$$

So, the joint density of  $X$  and  $\phi$  is given by

$$f(x, \phi) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon \beta(a, b)} \phi^a (1 - \phi)^{b-1} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^b \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

Then the marginal of  $X$  is

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^1 f(x, \phi) d\phi = \frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$

And the posterior density of  $\phi$  is as follows

$$g_2(\phi|x) = \frac{f(x, \phi)}{f_0(x)} = \frac{\frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} [\frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x}]}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x}} \text{ for } \phi \in (0, 1)$$

### The Posterior density of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Finally, we assume that  $\lambda \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  and  $\phi \sim \beta(a, b)$  and they are independent. So,  $(\lambda, \phi)$  has the joint prior

$$g(\lambda, \phi) = \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} \right] \text{ for } \lambda > 0, \phi \in (0, 1)$$

The joint density of  $x, \lambda,$  and  $\phi$  is as follows

$$f(x, \lambda, \theta) = \left[ \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} \right]$$

From here, the marginal density of  $X$  is obtained as follows:

$$f_0(x) = \int_0^1 \int_0^\infty f(x, \lambda, \theta) d\lambda d\theta = \frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}}$$

So the posterior joint density of  $\lambda$  and  $\phi$  for  $\lambda > 0, \phi \in (0, 1)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda, \phi|x) &= \frac{f(x, \lambda, \phi)}{f_0(x)} \\ &= \frac{\left[ \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1} \right]}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

From this, we can obtain the marginals  $\lambda|x$  and  $\phi|x$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda|x) &= \int_0^1 f(\lambda, \phi|x) d\phi \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{\theta}}}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \right] \\ f(\phi|x) &= \int_0^\infty f(\lambda, \phi|x) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\beta(a,b)} \phi^{a-1} (1 - \phi)^{b-1}}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon(a+b)} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + \frac{b}{a+b} \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + (1 - \phi) \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior mean and variance of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Then the posterior mean of  $\lambda$  is

$$E(\lambda|X = x) = \frac{\alpha\theta}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon}(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b\alpha\theta} \left[ \frac{a}{\varepsilon}(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b \frac{(\alpha + 1)\theta}{1 + \theta x} \right]$$

In order to get the posterior variance  $V(\lambda|X = x)$ , we first calculate  $E(\lambda^2|x)$  which is

$$E(\lambda^2|x) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)\alpha\theta^2}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon}(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b\alpha\theta} \left[ \frac{a}{\varepsilon}(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b \frac{(\alpha + 2)\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^2} \right]$$

Then the posterior variance of  $\lambda$  is obtained as follows

$$V(\lambda|x) = E(\lambda^2|x) - \{E(\lambda|x)\}^2$$

The posterior mean of  $\phi$  is

$$E(\phi|X = x) = \frac{\frac{a}{a+b+1}}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \frac{a+1}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b \frac{\alpha\theta}{(1 + \theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right]$$

In order to obtain the variance,  $V(\phi|X = x)$ , we obtain  $E(\phi^2|x)$  as

$$E(\phi^2|x) = \frac{\frac{a(a+1)}{(a+b+1)(a+b+2)}}{\frac{a}{\varepsilon}I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b\frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}}} \left[ \frac{a+2}{\varepsilon}I(0 < x < \varepsilon) + b\frac{\alpha\theta}{(1+\theta x)^{\alpha+1}} \right]$$

Then the variance is obtained as follows

$$V(\phi|x) = E(\phi^2|x) - \{E(\phi|x)\}^2$$

### Posterior for n Observation

For  $n$  i.i.d. observations  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  from ZIED( $\varepsilon, \phi, \lambda$ ) for a general  $\varepsilon$ , the joint density is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n|\lambda, \phi) &= \prod_{i=0}^n \left[ \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} I(0 < x_i < \varepsilon) + \lambda(1-\phi)e^{-\lambda x_i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} (1-\phi)^l \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

#### The Posterior density of $\lambda$

As in the case of one observation, we first consider the case when  $\phi$  is a constant and  $\lambda \sim g_1(\lambda) \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  so that the joint density of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and  $\lambda$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n|\lambda)g_1(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1-\phi)^l e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+l)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} (1-\phi)^l \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the posterior density of  $\lambda$  is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda|x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1-\phi)^l e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \Gamma(\alpha+l) \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \left( \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon} \right)^{n-l} (1-\phi)^l \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior density of $\phi$

Then we consider  $\lambda$  to be a constant and  $\phi \sim g_2(\phi) \sim \beta(a, b)$  so that the joint density of so that the joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $\phi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \phi) g_2(\phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta(a, b)} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\phi^{n+a-l-1}}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \lambda^l (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi) d\phi \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l} \beta(a, b)} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

So, the posterior density of  $\phi$  is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \phi)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\phi^{n+a-l-1}}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \lambda^l (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \lambda^l e^{-\lambda \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior density of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Then we assume that  $\lambda \sim \Gamma(\alpha, \theta)$  and  $\phi \sim \beta(a, b)$  and they are independent with joint density being  $g(\lambda, \phi)$ . Then the joint density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \lambda$  and  $\phi$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \theta^\alpha \beta(a, b)} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\phi^{n+a-l-1}}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) \end{aligned}$$

Then we integrate this with respect to  $\phi$  and  $\lambda$  to get the marginal of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi) d\phi d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \theta^\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l} \beta(a, b)} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n-l+a, l+b) \Gamma(\alpha+l)}{\varepsilon^{n-l} \beta(a, b) \Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\theta^{\alpha(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$$

So the posterior joint density of  $\lambda$  and  $\phi$  is given as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n, \lambda, \phi)}{f_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\phi^{n+a-l-1}}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \lambda^{l+\alpha-1} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain the marginals  $\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n$  and  $\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^1 f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\phi \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \lambda^{\alpha+l-1} e^{-\lambda(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \\ f(\phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \int_0^\infty f(\lambda, \phi | x_1, \dots, x_n) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\phi^{n+a-l-1}}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} (1-\phi)^{l+b-1} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)} \end{aligned}$$

### The Posterior mean and variance of $\lambda$ and $\phi$

Then the posterior mean of  $\lambda$ , which is  $E(\lambda | x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is given by

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l+1) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l+1}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

Also,  $E(\lambda^2 | x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is obtained as

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l+2) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l+2}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

from which one can obtain the posterior variance of  $\lambda$  as,

$$V(\lambda | x) = E(\lambda^2 | x) - \{E(\lambda | x)\}^2$$

Similarly, the posterior mean of  $\phi$ ,  $E(\phi|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is as follows

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l+1, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

And to get the posterior variance of  $\phi$ ,  $E(\phi^2|x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is obtained as

$$\frac{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l+2, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}{\sum_{l=0}^n \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l} \frac{\beta(n+a-l, l+b)}{\varepsilon^{n-l}} \Gamma(\alpha+l) \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\theta} + \sum_{j=1}^l x_{ij})^{\alpha+l}} I(0 < x_j < \varepsilon, j \neq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)}$$

Then the posterior variance of  $\phi$  is,

$$V(\phi|x) = E(\phi^2|x) - \{E(\phi|x)\}^2$$

## CHAPTER VI

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

In most part of this thesis, we worked with i.i.d. observations. But it is interesting to study the situation when our observations are independent but not identically distributed, For example, consider two fast serving counters. Let the serving times for the two counters be independent random variables with distributions  $ZIED(\varepsilon_1, \lambda, \phi)$  and  $ZIED(\varepsilon_2, \lambda, \phi)$  respectively. Here we assume  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ . Then their respective are as follows:

$$f(x_1) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon_1} I(0 < x_1 < \varepsilon_1) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_1}$$

$$f(x_2) = \frac{\phi}{\varepsilon_2} I(0 < x_2 < \varepsilon_2) + \lambda(1 - \phi)e^{-\lambda x_2}$$

Now if one has to go through both the counters, one may be interested to know what should be total time taken in the two serving counters. In other words, we are interested to find the distribution of the convolution of these two random variables. Let  $Y_2$  be the convolution sum of them. Then, we find out which is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} h(y_2) &= \frac{\phi^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} y_2 + \lambda^2(1 - \phi)y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \phi(1 - \phi) \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} \right) (1 - e^{-\lambda y_2}) \quad 0 < y_2 < \varepsilon_1 \\ &= \frac{\phi^2}{\varepsilon_2} + \lambda^2(1 - \phi)y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \frac{\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon_1} \left( e^{-\lambda(y_2 - \varepsilon_1)} - e^{-\lambda y_2} \right) + \frac{\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon_2} \left( 1 - e^{-\lambda y_2} \right) \quad \varepsilon_1 < y_2 < \varepsilon_2 \\ &= \frac{\phi^2}{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - y_2) + \lambda^2(1 - \phi)y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon_i} \left( e^{-\lambda(y_2 - \varepsilon_i)} - e^{-\lambda y_2} \right) \quad \varepsilon_2 < y_2 < \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \\ &= \lambda^2(1 - \phi)y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\phi(1 - \phi)}{\varepsilon_i} \left( e^{-\lambda(y_2 - \varepsilon_i)} - e^{-\lambda y_2} \right) \quad y_2 > \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Al-Saleh, Mohammad F., Al-Batainah, Fatima K., 2003: Estimation of the proportion of sterile couples using the negative binomial distribution. *Journal of data science*, 1, p.261-274.
- [2] Angers, Jean-Francois, Biswas, Atanu, 2003: A bayesian analysis of zero-inflated generalized poisson model, *Computational statistics and data analysis*, 42, p.37-46.
- [3] Cohen, A.C., 1960: Estimating the parameters of a modified poisson distribution. *Journal of American Statistical Association*. 55, p.139-143.
- [4] Consul, P.C., Jain, G.C., 1973: A generalization of the poisson distribution. *Technometrics* 15(4), p.791-799.
- [5] Ghosh S.K., Mukhopadhyay, P., Lu, J.c., 1999: Bayesian analysis of zero inflated regression models, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 136 (4), p.1360-1375.
- [6] Gning A., Mihaylova L and Abdallah F. Mixture of Uniform probability density functions for non linear state estimation using interval analysis. *13th conference on Information Fusion*, 1-8, 2010.
- [7] Goraski, A., 1977: Distribution Z-Poisson. *Publications de l'Institut de statistique de l'Universite de Paris*, 12, p.45-53.
- [8] Heilborn, D.C., Gibson, D.R., 1990: Shared needle use and health beliefs concerning AIDS regression modeling of zero heavy count data. *Poster session. Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on AIDS*, San Francisco, CA.



[9] Janvresse E. and De La Rue T. From Uniform distribution to Benford's law. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 41, 1203-1210 (2004).

[10] Kemp, A.W., 1986: Weighted Discrepancies and maximum likelihood estimation for discrete distribution. *Commun. Stat. A-Theory and methods*, 15, p.783-803.

[11] Lambert, D., 1992: Zero Inflated Poisson regression with an application to defects manufacturing. *Technometrics* 34, p.1-14.

[12] Nicholas P Jewell. Mixture of exponential distributions. *Ann Stat. Vol-10, Number 2*, 479-484 (1982).

[13] Paul R Rider. The method of moments applied to a mixture of two exponential distributions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, Vol 32, No 1, 143-147, (1961).

[14] Sing, S.N., 1963: A note on Inflated Poisson Distribution. *J. Indian Stat. Assoc.*, 1, p.140-144.

## BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Sougata Dhar was born in 1986 in a small town called Berhampore. His permanent address is 105/1, Nilmani Bhattachariya Lane, Kadai, Berhampore, Murshidabad, Pin-742101, West Bengal, India. He went to a local High School. He studied Electronics & Communication Engineering in his undergraduate program at Techno India, Saltlake in 2008. He did his masters degree in mathematics from University of Texas Pan American. He graduated in August 2011. After that He went to the department of mathematics at Northern Illinois University to join their PhD program in the fall 2011.